



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	SPECIAL MEETING FOR THE 2006/2007 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS OF THE
2	LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
3	MONDAY, JUNE 26, 2006, 9:30 A.M.
4	
5	
6	
7	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MONDAY JUNE 26, 2006 MEETING OF THE
8	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERVISORS WILL BEGIN. THIS IS THE DAY WE
9	DO OUR BUDGET DELIBERATIONS, SO WE'LL TURN IT OVER TO OUR
10	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, DAVID JANSSEN.
11	
12	C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. WE HAVE 37
13	ITEMS TO GO THROUGH TODAY. QUITE A FEW OF THEM ARE REPORT,
14	REPORTS BACK ON ITEMS REFERRED TO BUDGET BY YOUR BOARD. ITEM
15	AND WE'LL JUST GO THROUGH THEM IN ORDER. ITEM NUMBER 1. ITEM
16	NUMBER IS A REQUEST FOR APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE
17	CURRENT YEAR BUDGET. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT '05/'06 IN THIS
18	DOCUMENT, NOT THE PROPOSED BUDGET, AND IT, BY AND LARGE, IS
19	ANTICIPATING FUND BALANCE THAT WILL BE LEFT OVER AT THE CLOSE
20	OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, '05/'06, THAT WE WILL SEE FOR CERTAIN IN
21	AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER, SO IT IS OVER \$400 MILLION AT THIS TIME
22	AND PROBABLY WILL BE MORE BY THE TIME WE GET TO SEPTEMBER BUT
23	IT IS MOVING \$436 MILLION. AND SOME OF THE PRIMARY AREAS:
24	HOMELESS, THE \$80 MILLION HOMELESS TRUST FUND THAT YOUR BOARD
25	INDICATED APPROVAL OF IN THE SPRING IS BEING PUT IN A



- 1 DESIGNATION IN THIS BOARD LETTER. WE'RE ALSO SETTING ASIDE
- 2 \$168 MILLION FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS AND JAILS, YET TO BE
- 3 DECIDED. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF REPORTS LATER. WE'LL TALK MORE
- 4 ABOUT THAT BUT PROBABLY A JULY 11TH CONVERSATION ABOUT JAILS
- 5 BUT WE'RE SETTING ASIDE \$168 MILLION ONE-TIME MONEY FOR JAILS.
- 6 PROPERTY TAX ASSESSOR IS DEVELOPING -- HAS BEEN DEVELOPING FOR
- 7 SOME TIME A REPLACEMENT SYSTEM FOR THE PROPERTY TAX SYSTEM IN
- 8 THE COUNTY. IT IS VERY OLD. WE'RE SETTING ASIDE \$20 MILLION.
- 9 THAT IS JUST A DOWN PAYMENT. MOST LIKELY WE WON'T KNOW UNTIL
- 10 WE GET BIDS IN LATER THIS YEAR WHAT THE FULL COST OF THAT
- 11 SYSTEM WILL BE. WE'RE SETTING ASIDE \$21 MILLION FOR SECURITY
- 12 IN JAILS. WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IN APRIL AND INDICATED THAT IT
- 13 WAS OUR INTENT TO SET ASIDE ONE-TIME MONEY FOR JAIL SECURITY
- 14 YET TO BE IDENTIFIED BUT VIDEO, I THINK ONE OF THE PRIMARY
- 15 THINGS THE SHERIFF IS LOOKING AT IS ENHANCED VIDEO EOUIPMENT
- 16 IN THE JAILS TO HELP MANAGEMENT. \$20 MILLION FOR L.A.C./U.S.C.
- 17 REPLACEMENT PROJECT, WHICH IS WHAT WE ANTICIPATE IS NEEDED TO
- 18 COMPLETE AN INCREDIBLY SUCCESSFUL CAPITAL PROJECT UNDERTAKING.
- 19 AND \$10 MILLION FOR THE CORONER, STORAGE AND CORONER'S
- 20 PROJECT, WHICH WE'LL TALK ABOUT IN ONE OF THE LATER ITEMS. WE
- 21 HAVE A REFERRAL ON THAT AS WELL. SO THOSE ARE THE PRIMARY
- 22 ADJUSTMENTS. THERE ARE A FEW ADJUSTMENTS IN THE PROBATION
- 23 DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS, CORONER'S DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS,
- 24 TRANSFERRING SALARY MONEY FROM CENTRAL UNITS INTO DEPARTMENTS,



- 1 CLOSING OUT THE FISCAL YEAR, IN OTHER WORDS. SO I'D BE HAPPY
- 2 TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I ASK A CLARIFYING OUESTION? I WANT TO
- 5 UNDERSTAND HIS. THIS BUDGET ADJUSTMENT ARE FOR ITEMS IN BUDGET
- 6 ADJUSTMENT FOR 2005/2006, SO THAT MEANS THERE WERE ALLOCATIONS
- 7 OR P.F.U.S THAT WERE SET ASIDE?

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S MONEY THAT WE ANTICIPATE HAVING LEFT AT
- 10 THE END OF '05/'06.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. AND SO-- BUT IT'S NOT ROLLING OVER THIS
- 13 TIME, IT'S PUT UNDER THIS KIND OF A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. WE'RE PUTTING IT INTO DESIGNATIONS NOW.
- 16 WE COULD WAIT UNTIL SEPTEMBER TO SET UP ANY OF THESE
- 17 DESIGNATIONS EXCEPT FOR THE HOMELESS, WE NEED TO DO THAT NOW,
- 18 BUT WE'RE JUST ANTICIPATING MONEY THAT WILL BE LEFT OVER. IN
- 19 '06/'07, YOU'LL BE APPROVING THE EXPENDITURE OF THESE ITEMS.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: SO LET ME UNDERSTAND. ARE WE GOING TO BE
- 22 DISCUSSING THE SHERIFF'S ITEM NOW UNDER THIS ITEM?

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. THIS IS JUST SETTING THE MONEY ASIDE. IT
- 25 DOESN'T SPEND THE MONEY, IT DOESN'T DECIDE WHERE TO SPEND THE



- 1 MONEY. ALL IT DOES IS IT PUTS IN A DESIGNATION FOR YOUR USE IN
- 2 '06/'07 WHATEVER YOU DECIDE TO DO WITH IT. WE'RE TYING UP THE
- 3 MONEY FOR JAILS.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW, BUT DOES-- IS THIS-- ON OUR BUDGET, IS
- 6 THIS THE ITEM THAT IS GOING-- THE ONLY ITEM? OR IS THERE
- 7 ANOTHER AGENDA ITEM THAT ADDRESSES...

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. THE PROPOSED BUDGET '06/'07...

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: ... THE JAIL ISSUE, FACILITIES ISSUE.

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE FACILITIES ISSUE WILL ACTUALLY BE
- 14 ADDRESSED JULY THE 11TH BECAUSE WE HAD ANTICIPATED, AND YOU'LL
- 15 SEE IN REPORTS LATER, ANTICIPATED HAVING THAT DISCUSSION
- 16 TODAY.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A WHOLE GROUP OF PEOPLE HERE FROM CITY
- 19 TERRACE, LET ME GET TO THAT, AND IS THIS THE APPROPRIATE PLACE
- 20 WHERE THEY WOULD...

21

22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, IT IS NOT.

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: THEN TELL ME WHERE, WHAT ITEM ON OUR AGENDA
- 25 TODAY?

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OH, FOR THEIR TESTIMONY? 3 SUP. MOLINA: YES. 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WOULD THAT BE NUMBER 1? 6 7 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. I'M THINKING, IF THEY WANT TO TESTIFY ABOUT SYBIL BRAND, THEY CAN DO IT UNDER THIS ITEM, YES. 10 SUP. MOLINA: SO THIS IS THE ONLY PLACE WE'RE GOING TO BE 11 DISCUSSING THESE ISSUES? 12 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. 14 15 16 SUP. MOLINA: TODAY. 17 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. 19 20 SUP. MOLINA: AS FAR AS FACILITIES ARE CONCERNED? 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THERE ARE REPORTS ON JAIL MASTER PLAN 22 23 LATER IN THE DAY. THEY COULD TESTIFY UNDER THOSE EXCEPT THERE

ARE NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS IN THOSE REPORTS. THIS IS



- 1 PROBABLY THE ONLY PLACE THAT THERE'S SPECIFICALLY A
- 2 RECOMMENDATION WITH RESPECT TO DOLLARS AFFECTING JAILS.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: IN ORDER FOR THE FOLKS-- IS THERE A WAY THAT THEY
- 5 COULD GET A BRIEF BRIEFING AS TO WHAT THE STATUS IS OF THIS
- 6 SET ASIDE MONEY? THERE'S A LOT OF CONFUSION AND I KNOW I HAVE
- 7 ONE SET OF FACTS AND INFORMATION BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 8 WE ALL UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE AT. IS THAT POSSIBLE? I THINK
- 9 VICTOR HAD THE MOST INFORMATION THE LAST TIME.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, HE'S HERE. HE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT, IF
- 12 YOU'D LIKE, THE STATUS OF THE JAIL PLANNING.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: AS TO HOW WE UNDERSTAND THIS ADJUSTMENT. AND IF
- 15 THEY WOULD CLARIFY THAT FOR US, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

- 17 VICTOR RAMPULLA: GOOD MORNING. VICTOR RAMPULLA, SHERIFF'S
- 18 DEPARTMENT, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES. WHAT WE UNDERSTAND, FROM
- 19 THIS DESIGNATION THAT THE SALE IS PROPOSING IS THAT WE WOULD
- 20 BE BACK HERE, AS WE INDICATED LAST WEEK, ON JULY 11TH WITH THE
- 21 CUSTODY MASTER PLAN, WHICH WOULD LAY OUT THE VARIOUS OPTIONS
- 22 THAT WOULD BE TO ADDRESS OUR BED SPACE ISSUE, OUR HIGH
- 23 SECURITY CELLS AND THE ISSUE OF THE EARLY RELEASE. SO, WHEN WE
- 24 SPOKE LAST WEEK OR TESTIFIED LAST WEEK, IT WAS TELLING THE
- 25 BOARD THAT WE HAD HOPED TO HAVE BEEN BACK TODAY BUT, SINCE WE



- 1 NEED A LITTLE MORE TIME TO GET THIS ADDRESSED, SPECIFICALLY SO
- 2 WE COULD HAVE ALL THE OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD, WE HAD
- 3 INDICATED THAT WE WOULD BE BACK ON JULY 11TH. SO WHAT WE
- 4 BELIEVE THE SALE IS DOING TODAY IS JUST IDENTIFYING SOME
- 5 DOLLARS THAT WOULD BE SET ASIDE AND, ON JULY 11TH, THE BOARD
- 6 WOULD HAVE THE FULL DISCUSSION AND THEN MAKE A DECISION ON
- 7 WHERE THOSE DOLLARS WOULD BE ALLOCATED.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO, JUST TO CLARIFY, IT'S 168 MILLION
- 10 THAT IS GOING TO BE SET ASIDE AND YOU'RE GOING TO COME BACK ON
- 11 JULY THE 11TH, WHICH IS THE FACILITIES MANAGEMENT PLAN THAT WE
- 12 HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR, RIGHT?

13

14 VICTOR RAMPULLA: CORRECT.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AND IN THAT-- FROM THAT, YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE A
- 17 SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO HOW TO EASE THE OVERCROWDING IN
- 18 OUR FACILITIES AND HOPEFULLY OUTLINE FOR THAT?

19

20 VICTOR RAMPULLA: CORRECT.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT ISN'T EXCLUSIVELY TO REOPEN SYBIL BRAND?

23

24 VICTOR RAMPULLA: NO, IT'S NOT.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT-- I MEAN, IT'S
- 2 ONE OF THE OPTIONS-- IT'S UNDERSTOOD IT'S ONE OF-- BUT IT'S
- 3 NOT-- IT IS ABOUT LOOKING AND EVALUATING ALL OF THE OTHER
- 4 FACILITIES, CORRECT?

5

6 VICTOR RAMPULLA: CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: AND THAT'S THE SAME THING THAT THIS ISSUE, I KNOW
- 9 THAT WE HAVE, I THINK IT'S HERE AND I'M GOING TO ASK THAT IT
- 10 BE CONTINUED AND THAT'S THAT WHOLE STATE CONTRACT ISSUE
- 11 BECAUSE IT'S JUST A RECEIVE AND FILE AND WE CAN'T MAKE THOSE
- 12 DECISIONS UNTIL WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WE HAVE. BUT I WANT TO
- 13 UNDERSTAND THAT THAT'S WHERE WE ARE BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF--
- 14 IT'S CONFUSING AS TO HOW THIS IS BEING ADDRESSED AND WHAT IS
- 15 GOING TO BE ADDRESSED.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU ARE NOT BEING ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION
- 18 TODAY ABOUT WHAT TO DO ABOUT SYBIL BRAND. THAT IS NOT BEFORE
- 19 YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AT THIS POINT IN TIME, IT IS BASICALLY TO SET
- 22 ASIDE THE MONEY?

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: TODAY IT IS NOT BEFORE YOU. RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD WE ASK, I HAVE A
- 2 GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE COME IN FROM CITY TERRACE.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DO YOU WANT TO CALL THEM UP?

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: OH, GOOD. SURE. AND THEY ARE INTERESTED IN
- 7 ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE. I ASK THEM TO BE BRIEF BUT I THINK IT'S
- 8 IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO BE A PART OF THE DISCUSSION. AND, IF I
- 9 CAN BEGIN, I'LL START CALLING FOLKS UP. DO YOU WANT TO RESERVE
- 10 YOUR DISCUSSION FOR JUST A MINUTE? THERE'S QUITE A FEW OF YOU,
- 11 WHERE SOME OF YOU WANT TO GIVE UP YOUR TIME TO OTHERS SO IT
- 12 COULD BE LONGER, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO US. LET ME
- 13 BEGIN BY CALLING UP DINA CARRANZA, MS. CARRANZA? LUZ LOZA, AND
- 14 JAVIER PEREZ. I THINK-- IS THERE A FOURTH CHAIR THERE? THREE
- 15 CHAIRS. OKAY. I WAS GOING TO SAY, GLORIA, COULD YOU JOIN US,
- 16 GLORIA CHAVEZ? IS THERE A FOURTH CHAIR? DO YOU WANT TO BEGIN,
- 17 DINA?

- 19 DINA CARRANZA: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 20 MY NAME IS DINA CARRANZA. I REPRESENT THE BEULAH NASH. I HAVE
- 21 BEEN A RESIDENT OF CITY TERRACE FOR OVER 43 YEARS AS A PARENT
- 22 OF TWO AND AN EMPLOYEE OF A NEARBY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. I FEEL
- 23 THAT OUR CHILDREN IN OUR COMMUNITY DESERVE MUCH MORE THAN A
- 24 PRISON IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. MANY OF OUR SCHOOLS GO ON
- 25 WALKING DAY TRIPS TO CAL STATE LOS ANGELES, OUR PUBLIC



- 1 LIBRARY, PARK AND VISIT LOCAL BUSINESSES LEARNING ABOUT
- 2 POSITIVE ASPECTS OF OUR COMMUNITY. I HAVE SPOKEN TO MANY
- 3 COWORKERS AT OUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, AS WELL AS OTHERS, AND WE
- 4 ALL AGREE THAT WE FEAR FOR OUR YOUNG AND VERY IMPRESSIONABLE
- 5 THAT THEY WILL BELIEVE IT IS NORMAL TO HAVE A PRISON IN THEIR
- 6 NEIGHBORHOOD. WE ARE VERY CONCERNED FOR THEIR PHYSICAL AND
- 7 MENTAL SAFETY BECAUSE THEY WILL BE EXPOSED TO THE PRISON SCENE
- 8 ON A DAILY BASIS. OUR COMMUNITY HAS COME A LONG WAY FROM THOSE
- 9 DAYS AND PROGRESS IS BEING MADE EVERY YEAR, SLOWLY BUT
- 10 STEADILY. I BELIEVE THAT HAVING A PRISON IN OUR AREA AGAIN
- 11 WILL ONLY SET OUR PROGRESS BACK. I SPOKE TO SOMEONE WITH
- 12 DIRECT KNOWLEDGE OF INCIDENTS THAT OCCURRED OUTSIDE THE WALLS
- 13 OF SYBIL BRAND AND SOME OF THE INCIDENTS INVOLVED GANG
- 14 CONFRONTATIONS WITH VISITORS, DRUG SALES AND EVEN SALES OF
- 15 DRUGS TO WOMEN BEING RELEASED, AS WELL AS RAPE. ASK YOURSELF
- 16 IF YOU WANT THIS IN YOUR COMMUNITY. I STATE FOR THE RECORD
- 17 THAT I AM IN OPPOSITION TO THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND JAIL.
- 18 THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. NEXT, MISS LOZA.

- 22 LUZ LOZA: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE SUPER-- BOARD OF
- 23 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS LUZ LOZA. I AM NOW A MEMBER OF THE
- 24 CARSBY ORGANIZATION WHICH WE ARE OPPOSING THE OPENING OF SYBIL
- 25 BRAND INSTITUTE. I AM A MOTHER OF FOUR AND I AM REALLY



- 1 CONCERNED FOR THE OPENING BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT WE NEED TO
- 2 HAVE SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS. I BELIEVE THAT OUR KIDS CAN BE OUT IN
- 3 THE STREET WITHOUT HAVING TO WORRY WITH ANY OTHER OCCURRENCES
- 4 AND I THINK THAT A JAIL IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD IS NOT A NORMAL
- 5 THING TO HAVE. I'VE SPOKEN TO MY KIDS ABOUT THIS AND THEY HAVE
- 6 ALL EXPRESSED THAT THEY WILL BE WORRIED IF THE JAIL IS OPENED
- 7 AGAIN. WE ARE REALLY CONCERNED WITH THE OVERALL PROBLEMS THAT
- 8 WE WILL BE HAVING WITH TRAFFIC WITH THE SCHOOL BEING AROUND
- 9 THE JAIL AND JUST WITH THE SAFETY WITH OUR COMMUNITY. SO, FOR
- 10 THE RECORD, MY FAMILY AND MYSELF ARE OPPOSING TO THE REOPENING
- 11 OF SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. MR. PEREZ?

- 15 JAVIER PEREZ: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS JAVIER
- 16 PEREZ, CAPTAIN OF THE HAZARD MASH, MEMBER OF THE CARSBY
- 17 COMMITTEE. I WAS BORN AND RAISED IN EAST LOS ANGELES AND
- 18 CURRENTLY LIVE IN CITY TERRACE, WHERE I PLAN TO RAISE MY SON.
- 19 GROWING UP, WE HAD TWO PARKS IN OUR AREA: CITY TERRACE PARK
- 20 AND OREGON PARK, BOTH OF WHICH WE NEVER VISITED BECAUSE OF THE
- 21 GANG PRESENCE AND THE VIOLENCE. AS A RESULT, OUR PARENTS
- 22 FORBID US FROM GOING ANYWHERE NEAR THE PARKS AND THAT WAS OVER
- 23 15 YEARS AGO. TODAY, BECAUSE OF THE EFFORTS OF THE COMMUNITY,
- 24 THE COUNTY AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, MY WIFE, MY SON AND I
- 25 NOW WALK TO CITY TERRACE PARK, WHERE MY TWO-YEAR-OLD SON CAN



- 1 BE A LITTLE BOY, A LITTLE BOY WHOSE ONLY WORRY IS THAT MOM AND
- 2 DAD ARE GOING TO TELL HIM HE NEEDS TO GO HOME AT SOME POINT.
- 3 HE'S NOT WORRIED ABOUT THE CITY TERRACE GANG, NOT THE GARRITY
- 4 GANG, NOT THE ROCKWOOD GANG, NOT LOPEZ MARAVIA OR ANY OTHER
- 5 GANG, FOR THAT MATTER, MUCH LESS ANY OTHER POTENTIAL THREATS
- 6 BY PEOPLE COMING INTO CITY TERRACE ON VISITATIONS TO SYBIL
- 7 BRAND. IT HAS TAKEN AT LEAST 15 YEARS OF A CONSTANT BATTLE TO
- 8 IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN OUR COMMUNITY. THE BATTLE TO
- 9 BREAK THE HOLD THAT GANGS HAVE ON THE YOUTH OF EAST LOS
- 10 ANGELES IS FAR FROM OVER. AS A VETERAN OF THE UNITED STATES
- 11 MARINE CORPS, I SERVED IN OPERATION SOUTHERN WATCH, DESERT FOX
- 12 AND, IN MARCH OF 2003, I WAS CALLED BACK TO ACTIVE DUTY IN
- 13 SUPPORT OF OPERATIONS ENDURING FREEDOM AND IRAQI FREEDOM. I
- 14 HAVE SERVED OUR COUNTRY IN ITS EFFORTS TO END THE REPRESSION
- 15 OF THE IRAQI PEOPLE, DIMINISH A TYRANT'S ABILITY TO WAGE WAR
- 16 ON ITS NEIGHBORS AND DESTROY TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS AROUND
- 17 THE GLOBE. HERE AT HOME, RESIDENTS OF CITY TERRACE AND EAST
- 18 LOS ANGELES ARE FIGHTING A WAR EVERY DAY. IN LIGHT OF THE
- 19 PROGRESS THAT HAS BEEN MADE IN THE PAST 15 YEARS, I'M ASKING
- 20 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO
- 21 CONTINUE TO SUPPORT OUR COMMUNITY. TOGETHER, WE HAVE MADE
- 22 HUNDREDS OF SMALL STEPS FORWARD, OPENING SYBIL BRAND WILL BE
- 23 ONE HUGE STEP BACKWARDS AND A MESSAGE TO THE COMMUNITY OF EAST
- 24 LOS ANGELES THAT WE HAVE BEEN IGNORED AND FORGOTTEN ONCE
- 25 AGAIN. SHERIFF BACA TOLD THE "L.A. TIMES" ON JUNE 12TH THAT



- 1 HIS NUMBER ONE PRIORITY IS TO UNIFY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND
- 2 COMMUNITIES TO TURN THE GANG PROBLEM AROUND. I'M ASKING THE
- 3 SHERIFF TO START UNIFYING OUR COMMUNITY AND HIS DEPARTMENT BY
- 4 LISTENING WHEN WE SAY NO PRISONS IN EAST LOS ANGELES. THANK
- 5 YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. BEFORE I CALL ON MS. CHAVEZ, COULD WE
- 8 HAVE MARGARITA FERNANDEZ, EDDIE TORRES AND SERVANO ORWELES.
- 9 MS. CHAVEZ?

- 11 GLORIA CHAVEZ: GOOD MORNING, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 12 GLORIA CHAVEZ. I AM PRESIDENT OF THE CITY TERRACE COORDINATING
- 13 CONSUL AND CO-CHAIR OF CARSBY. I AM HERE REPRESENTING THE MANY
- 14 PEOPLE THAT WERE UNABLE TO ATTEND THIS MORNING, SIMPLY BECAUSE
- 15 WE ARE A WORKING COMMUNITY AND THEY HAVE HAD TO GO TO WORK.
- 16 HOWEVER, WITH THESE PETITIONS, THEY HAVE GIVEN ME THE
- 17 AUTHORITY TO COME BEFORE YOU AND TELL YOU THAT OUR COMMUNITY
- 18 NEITHER NEEDS OR WANTS A JAIL. WE HAVE HAD BAD EXPERIENCES
- 19 WITH SYBIL BRAND. SYBIL BRAND IS RIGHT IN FRONT OF MY FRONT
- 20 YARD. WE KNOW WHAT IT DOES TO OUR COMMUNITY, WE KNOW THE
- 21 VIOLENCE, WE KNOW THE GANGS. WE ALSO KNOW THAT THE VISITORS
- 22 COME IN HERE AND THEY LEAVE THEIR AMMUNITION AND THEY LEAVE
- 23 THEIR DRUGS, PRIOR TO VISIT THE INMATES IN SYBIL BRAND. UNLIKE
- 24 WHAT SHERIFF LEE BACA SAYS, THAT WE ARE NOT SENSITIVE TO THE
- 25 POOR WOMEN THAT ARE BEING IMPRISONED, WE ARE VERY SENSITIVE



- 1 BUT WE ARE MORE SENSITIVE TO OUR COMMUNITY, TO OUR CHILDREN
- 2 GROWING UP THAT ARE ATTENDING CITY TERRACE ELEMENTARY THAT HAD
- 3 TO BE FACED WITH THE PROSTITUTES GETTING OUT OF SYBIL BRAND
- 4 AND LYING IN THE FRONT YARD. MR. YAROSLAVSKY, EVERY TIME WE
- 5 COME HERE OR I COME BEFORE THE BOARD, YOU TALK ABOUT GROWING
- 6 UP IN CITY TERRACE. THAT WAS THEN. THIS IS NOW. I REMEMBER YOU
- 7 GROWING UP IN CITY TERRACE. WE HAD PLAZA MARKET, WE HAD CITY
- 8 TERRACE THEATRE, WE HAVE THE FISH MARKET, BARB AND AL'S DRUG
- 9 STORE, I'M SURE YOU REMEMBER ALL THAT. WE ARE TRYING TO BRING
- 10 ALL OF THIS BACK TO OUR COMMUNITY. WE ARE TRYING TO MAKE THIS
- 11 A LIVABLE COMMUNITY. MANY OF OUR YOUNG ADULTS ARE COMING BACK.
- 12 UNLIKE SHERIFF LEE BACA IS TELLING US, "WHY DO YOU WANT YOUR
- 13 FAMILIES LIVING BACK IN CITY TERRACE? YOU SHOULD ENCOURAGE
- 14 THEM TO GET OUT." WE ARE ENCOURAGING OUR YOUNG TO COME BACK
- 15 AND MAKE THIS A VIABLE COMMUNITY, PERHAPS AS IT WAS WHEN YOU
- 16 WERE LIVING HERE, MR. YAROSLAVSKY. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

18 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR-- MS. MOLINA, IF I COULD JUST
- 21 TAKE A MOMENT. I GREW UP IN BOYLE HEIGHTS. WE COULDN'T AFFORD
- 22 TO LIVE IN CITY TERRACE. THAT WAS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE
- 23 TRACKS.

24

17



- 1 GLORIA CHAVEZ: THAT'S SADDER EVEN YET BUT YOU DID KNOW CITY
- 2 TERRACE. BUT YOU WERE VERY FAMILIAR WITH OUR COMMUNITY.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY PARENTS HAD A SCHOOL IN CITY TERRACE, AND
- 5 SO WE HAD ROOTS THERE TO, THANK YOU AND I REMEMBER THE CITY
- 6 TERRACE, THE TERRACE THEATRE, VERY WELL.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: VERY GOOD. MISS FERNANDEZ.

9

- 10 MARGARITA FERNANDEZ: HI. MY NAME IS MARGARITA FERNANDEZ AND
- 11 I'M JUST HERE AS PART OF THE COMMUNITY TO LET YOU KNOW THAT I
- 12 WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE THE SYBIL BRAND OPENED. I GREW UP THERE,
- 13 I'VE GONE ON AND GRADUATED FROM COLLEGE, I WENT TO OCCIDENTAL
- 14 AND THEN GRADUATED FROM CAL STATE LONG BEACH WITH MY MASTER'S
- 15 AND I KNOW OF A LOT OF US THAT ARE COMING BACK AND SEEING THE
- 16 COMMUNITY, AND IT'S BEEN SHAMEFUL TO SEE. WE'RE TRYING TO GET
- 17 TOGETHER AND EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT WE DON'T WANT THIS TO HAPPEN
- 18 AND IT'S KIND OF SAD TO HAVE TO DELIVER ALL OUR FLIERS WITH
- 19 OUR CHILDREN, CHILDREN, WITH MY NEPHEWS AND MY NIECES AND HAVE
- 20 THEM COME HOME WITH A FLIER THAT SAYS "NO JAIL," AND IT'S SO
- 21 SAD TO HAVE TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT HOW IT'S GOING TO BE OR HOW
- 22 IT'S GOING TO AFFECT THEM SO I JUST WANTED TO COME HERE AND
- 23 LET YOU KNOW THAT I OPPOSE OPENING OF THE SYBIL BRAND IN OUR
- 24 COMMUNITY. [APPLAUSE]



1 SUP. MOLINA: EDDIE TORRES.

2

3 EDDIE TORRES: MAY I DEFER TO SERVANO FIRST SO I CAN...

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT.

- 7 SERVANO ORWELES: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 8 MY NAME IS SERVANO ORWELES. I AM THE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE
- 9 EAST LOS ANGELES CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. I AM ALSO A RESIDENT AND
- 10 BUSINESS OWNER IN UNINCORPORATED EAST L.A. I'M HERE TO DISCUSS
- 11 PROPERTY VALUES AND HOW REOPENING THIS JAIL WILL IMPACT
- 12 BUSINESS AND THE REAL ESTATE ASPECT OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREA
- 13 OF EAST L.A. I AM A REAL ESTATE BROKER AND I AM THE BROKER OF
- 14 FOUR OFFICES HERE IN THE L.A. AREA. EAST L.A., CITY
- 15 TERRACE/EAST L.A. AREA HAS A GROWING POPULATION, WE KNOW THAT.
- 16 ALONG WITH THAT, WE HAVE A GROWING MIDDLE CLASS POPULATION. WE
- 17 ALSO HAVE A LACK OF SPACE, WE HAVE A LACK OF HOME CONSTRUCTION
- 18 AND WE KNOW THAT WE'VE ALSO HAD A LACK OF SCHOOLS HERE. A JAIL
- 19 WILL INHIBIT PROPERTY VALUES. A JAIL IN THAT AREA IS NOT
- 20 SUPPORTING THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE FOR REAL ESTATE IN THAT
- 21 AREA. IT WOULD EITHER BE A SCHOOL OR HOME CONSTRUCTION. THAT
- 22 BETTER WORK FOR THAT COMMUNITY. A SCHOOL OR RESIDENTIAL
- 23 DEVELOPMENT WILL IMPROVE OUR NEIGHBORHOODS AND BRING THEM
- 24 CLOSER TOGETHER. A JAIL IS NOT GOING TO DO THAT. IF WE LOOK AT
- 25 OTHER COMMUNITIES WHERE THERE ARE OTHER JAILS, WE'RE BUILDING



- 1 JAILS OUT IN THE DESERT. EAST LOS ANGELES IS NOT A DESERT,
- 2 OBVIOUSLY. WE NEED OTHER OPTIONS. THIS OPTION JUST SIMPLY DOES
- 3 NOT WORK. IT'S NOT CONDUCIVE TO THIS COMMUNITY, TO BRINGING
- 4 THIS COMMUNITY CLOSER TOGETHER, TO IMPROVING IT. AND I MUST
- 5 REMIND YOU ALL HERE THAT-- AND WE ALL KNOW IT, WHAT IS THE ONE
- 6 TOOL THAT HELPS CREATE WEALTH, THAT BRINGS US -- THAT IS REAL
- 7 ESTATE. SO, BY BRINGING THE PROPERTY VALUES DOWN, WE'RE NOT
- 8 SUPPORTING THE GROWING MIDDLE CLASS, WE'RE NOT HELPING OTHER
- 9 PEOPLE LIVE THE AMERICAN DREAM, AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
- 10 ALL HERE FOR AND THAT'S WHAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS
- 11 TRYING TO PROMOTE IN OUR COMMUNITIES, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN. SO
- 12 A JAIL WILL INHIBIT RATHER THAN PROMOTE REAL ESTATE VALUES IN
- 13 EAST L.A. AND ALSO INHIBIT THE GROWTH AND SUPPORT OF OUR
- 14 COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. BEFORE I CALL ON MR. TORRES, COULD WE
- 17 HAVE HENRY CARRANZA, SILVIA ELIZARRARAZ, AND YVETTE FUERTE.
- 18 MR. TORRES?

- 20 EDDIE TORRES: GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS EDDIE TORRES, JR. I'M THE PRESIDENT OF
- 22 THE EAST LOS ANGELES CHAMBER OF COMMERCE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, A
- 23 RESIDENT AND ALSO A BUSINESSMAN. ON BEHALF OF THE EAST LOS
- 24 ANGELES CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, I'D LIKE TO MENTION AND OPPOSE
- 25 THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND, AND LET'S NOT KID OURSELVES.



- 1 IT'S NOT AN INSTITUTE, IT'S A PRISON. THE NEGATIVE STIGMA OF
- 2 EAST LOS ANGELES IS CHANGING, DUE TO THE HARD WORK OF THE
- 3 SUPERVISOR GLORIA MOLINA'S OFFICE, STAFF AND COMMUNITY-BASED
- 4 ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS OURSELVES. THE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT
- 5 HAVE BEEN PUT INTO AND SPENT FOR ECONOMIC REDEVELOPMENT, THE
- 6 NEW PRISON WOULD JUST HINDER THAT. THE NEW PROPOSAL, ALSO, FOR
- 7 THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE WHITE SIDE OF EAST LOS ANGELES WILL
- 8 HAVE A GRAVE AND NEGATIVE REPERCUSSION. WHEN LOOKING FOR
- 9 DEVELOPERS, INVESTORS, BUSINESSES AND ESPECIALLY ANCHOR
- 10 TENANTS FOR ANY KIND OF COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT, IT'S A
- 11 HINDRANCE TO HAVE A PRISON ANYWHERE IN THE SAME COMMUNITY. WE
- 12 HAVE A NEW LIBRARY, BRAND NEW STATE-OF-THE-ART, WE HAVE A NEW
- 13 COUNTY HALL THAT WE'RE ALL PROUD OF AND, COMING SOON, WE HAVE
- 14 THE EAST SIDE METRO GOLD LINE. THESE ARE BOTH POSITIVE IMPACTS
- 15 ON EAST LOS ANGELES UNINCORPORATED. LET'S BE CRYSTAL CLEAR.
- 16 THIS IS A PRISON. YOU CAN CALL IT AN INSTITUTE, YOU CAN CALL
- 17 IT A JAIL, YOU CAN CALL IT ANYTHING YOU WANT BUT IT'S A
- 18 PRISON. BUT WE WERE TOLD YEARS AGO, WHEN WE WANTED TO USE THAT
- 19 SAME SITE FOR A NEW HIGH SCHOOL FOR THE OVERCROWDING OF
- 20 GARFIELD AND ROOSEVELT, THAT IT WAS CONTAMINATED. SO WE
- 21 STOPPED FROM USING THAT SITE FOR A HIGH SCHOOL BUT NOW IT'S
- 22 OKAY TO REOPEN IT FOR SYBIL BRAND PRISON, TO HOUSE PRISONERS.
- 23 THEY'RE HUMAN BEINGS, TOO, AND EVEN IF IT WAS JUST A FARCE AND
- 24 IT WASN'T TO PREVENT FROM USING THAT PROPERTY FOR A SCHOOL, IT
- 25 STILL IS RIGHT IN THE HEART OF LOVING PEOPLE THAT HAVE



- 1 INVESTED THEIR WHOLE LIVES AND DESERVE TO BE PROTECTED BY THE
- 2 LAW, NOT BY THROWING A PRISON RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE ACROSS THE
- 3 STREET FROM SOME PEOPLE THAT HAVE GREW UP HERE AND INVESTED
- 4 THEIR LIFE SAVINGS TO HAVE SOMETHING TO SHOW FOR THEIR FUTURE.
- 5 GOD BLESS YOU AND I HOPE YOU AGREE WITH THE-- AS A MATTER OF
- 6 FACT, WOULD ALL OF THE COALITION PLEASE STAND UP, FROM CITY
- 7 TERRACE AND AROUND EAST L.A., PLEASE STAND UP. WE COULDN'T GET
- 8 A BUS TO ACCOMMODATE MORE THAT WANTED TO COME, SO, ON BEHALF
- 9 OF THEM, I'D LIKE TO SAY PLEASE STOP THE REOPENING OF SYBIL
- 10 BRAND. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

11

13

20

22

24

12 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. MR. CARRANZA.

14 HENRY CARRANZA: YES, BOARD MEMBERS, MY NAME IS HENRY CARRANZA

- 15 AND I AM A PROPERTY OWNER IN CITY TERRACE AND HAVE BEEN SINCE
- 16 1962. MY CONCERN IS THAT A PRISON OF ANY KIND IN MY AREA WILL
- 17 BE A THREAT ON THE VALUE OF MY PROPERTY AND OF WHICH I'VE
- 18 WORKED HARD TO MAINTAIN AND TO IMPROVE. PLEASE, AGAIN, "NO" TO
- 19 SYBIL BRAND PRISON. THANK YOU.
- 21 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. IS IT MR. ELIZARRARAZ?
- 23 **YVETTE FUERTE:** NO. YVETTE FUERTE.
- 25 **SUP. MOLINA:** OKAY. YVETTE.



1

- 2 YVETTE FUERTE: HI, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. GOOD MORNING. I'M
- 3 HERE TO ADDRESS THE SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE PROJECT. I'M OPPOSED
- 4 TO IT. MY FAMILY HAS BEEN A RESIDENT IN CITY TERRACE SINCE
- 5 1930. WE'VE BEEN GENERATIONAL. HOWEVER, I'VE ALSO LIVED IN
- 6 OTHER AREAS. I'VE GREW UP IN BRENTWOOD, SPENT MOST OF MY TIME
- 7 THERE AND NOW I AM A SHERMAN OAKS RESIDENT AND BUSINESS OWNER.
- 8 I AM AN ELECTRO MECHANICAL ENGINEER THAT'S DONE A LOT OF
- 9 PROJECTS WITH J.P.L. AND, WHEN I CAME TO KNOW OF THE SYBIL
- 10 BRAND INSTITUTE PROJECT, I WAS TOTALLY ADAMANT AND I'VE BEEN
- 11 PRETTY VOCAL ABOUT IT AND I'VE EXERCISED A LOT OF EFFORT TO
- 12 OPPOSE IT. I WOULD LIKE SOMETHING CONDUCIVE TO A PRODUCTIVE
- 13 CITY TERRACE AND I WOULD PREFER THEM TO PUT SOMETHING THAT IS
- 14 POSITIVE IN THAT SPACE THERE. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. NEXT WE HAVE AL CARLOS, SIENA
- 17 PENNACCHIO AND OLIMPIA SONATO. SILVIA?

- 19 SILVIA ELIZARRARAZ: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS SILVIA
- 20 ELIZARRARAZ AND I'M HERE ON REGARD-- ON BEHALF OF THE COUNCIL,
- 21 THE CREATIVE THINKING PROGRAM. I'M THE SUPERVISOR FOR THE
- 22 CREATIVE THINKING PROGRAM. I AM ALSO OPPOSED OF REOPENING
- 23 SYBIL BRAND, BEING THAT WE ARE IN CHARGE OF A SUMMER PROGRAM
- 24 WHERE WE ENCOURAGE OUR KIDS TO CONTINUE THEIR EDUCATION AND
- 25 HAVE THEM HAVE MORE OF A POSITIVE ROLE FOR THEM. HAVING SYBIL



- 1 BRAND IS GOING TO SET THEM BACK A SIDE LEADING THAT, YOU KNOW,
- 2 BEING CLOSE TO A JAIL DOESN'T GIVE THEM MUCH HOPES FOR THEM TO
- 3 CONTINUE ON ANYTHING ELSE BEYOND THEIR LIVES. WE WOULD HOPE
- 4 THAT WE HAVE YOUR SUPPORT AND NOT TO OPEN SYBIL BRAND. THANK
- 5 YOU.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. AL CARLOS?

- 9 AL CARLOS: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS ALFREDO CARLOS, I'M A
- 10 VIETNAM VETERAN. OUR FAMILY HAS BEEN IN CITY TERRACE SINCE
- 11 1956. NOW, I LEFT FOR 34 YEARS AND I'VE BEEN BACK IN CITY
- 12 TERRACE FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS AND I'VE SEEN CITY TERRACE GO
- 13 BACKWARDS INSTEAD OF FORWARD. AND SINCE IT'S BEEN MENTIONED,
- 14 WE'VE LOST TWO GAS STATIONS, A HARDWARE STORE, CLINIC, A FISH
- 15 MARKET, A PHARMACY, A THEATRE, A SHOE SHOP, THE FIVE AND 10
- 16 CENT STORE. IN OTHER WORDS, CITY TERRACE SEEMS TO BE GOING
- 17 BACKYARDS INSTEAD OF FORWARDS. HOMICIDE CRIME IS NOW SO COMMON
- 18 IN CITY TERRACE THAT IT'S NOT EVEN REPORTED BY THE MEDIA, NOT
- 19 BY THE NEWSPAPERS, NOT BY TELEVISION OR RADIO. A GUY GOT
- 20 KILLED NOT TOO LONG AGO. IT DIDN'T EVEN MAKE THE NEWSPAPER AT
- 21 ALL, AS IF WE'RE NOT EVEN WORTH REPORTING. NOW, REOPENING UP
- 22 SYBIL BRAND WOULD ONLY BE A GIANT STEP BACKWARDS AND ADD TO
- 23 THE CRIMINAL ELEMENT OF CITY TERRACE. PLEASE DO NOT, DO NOT
- 24 REOPEN UP SYBIL. IT WILL BE COUNTERPRODUCTIVE. LET'S NOT MOVE
- 25 BACKWARDS, LET'S MOVE FORWARD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] 2 3 SUP. MOLINA: AND, FINALLY, WE HAVE MISS TONI PEREZ, IF SHE 4 5 WOULD JOIN US. SIENA, YOU'RE NEXT. 6 SIENA PENNACCHIO: MY NAME IS SIENA NORMA PENNACCHIO. I'M NINE 7 8 YEARS OLD AND I GO TO SCHOOL NEAR SYBIL BRAND JAIL. I DON'T WANT THE JAIL TO BE REOPENED BECAUSE I WANT TO FEEL SAFE IN MY 9 NEIGHBORHOOD. THE PEOPLE IN JAIL WILL BE GETTING VISITORS AND 10 THAT MEANS THERE WILL BE MORE STRANGERS IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD AND 11 MAYBE EVEN PEOPLE WANTING TO START TROUBLE. I WANT TO GROW UP 12 IN A SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH MY FRIENDS AND FAMILY. THANK YOU. 13 14 [APPLAUSE] 15 16 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, SIENA... (SPEAKING SPANISH). 17 18 (VOICE OF INTERPRETER): GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS OLIMPIA 19 SONATO. I AM THE MOTHER OF FOUR KIDS. I HAVE LIVED IN THE COMMUNITY SINCE 1979. I HAVE SEEN ALL THE CHANGES THAT HAVE 20 HAPPENED EVER SINCE. SOME OF THEM ARE VERY GOOD, VERY 21 22 POSITIVE, BUT LATELY WE'VE BEEN HEARING ALL THIS ISSUE ABOUT 23 OPENING THE JAIL AGAIN. WE'RE VERY CONCERNED AND VERY WORRIED ABOUT OUR KIDS. MY DAUGHTER HAS BEEN ASKING ME WHAT'S GOING TO 24 HAPPEN TO US NOW THAT WE DON'T HAVE THAT SECURITY. THEY CAN GO 25



- 1 TO THE LIBRARY RIGHT NOW VERY PEACEFUL WITHOUT A PROBLEM BUT,
- 2 AFTER THAT, WE DON'T THINK WE CAN DO THAT ANY MORE. INSTEAD OF
- 3 OPENING THE JAIL, WE ARE REQUESTING THAT THEY WOULD OPEN A
- 4 CLINIC. PHARMACY IS THE ONLY THING THAT'S POSITIVE FOR THE
- 5 COMMUNITY. WE ALSO NEED A SIGNAL LIGHT BY THE EXIT OF THE
- 6 FREEWAY ON CITY TERRACE. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO HAVE A SIGNAL
- 7 LIGHT. WE NEED MORE PERSONNEL TO HAVE THE KIDS CROSS THE
- 8 STREETS. I WORK FOR THE SCHOOL, KENNEDY, AND I CAN SEE THE
- 9 NEED FOR THE KIDS TO BE SECURE. WE DO NOT WANT THE JAILS TO BE
- 10 OPENED.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: GRACIAS. [APPLAUSE]

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: MISS PEREZ?

- 16 TONI PEREZ: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. I'M WITH THE COMMON
- 17 LEE MASH GROUP. I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF CITY TERRACE SINCE
- 18 1961. OUR WATCH GROUP DEVELOPED A NEIGHBORHOOD BOOK CLUB
- 19 CALLED ROAD TO SUCCESS. OUR NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH GROUP PROGRAM
- 20 DECIDED TO DO THIS BECAUSE WE WANTED TO GO A STEP FURTHER TO
- 21 CREATE POSITIVE ACTIVITIES TO SAFEGUARD THE WELLBEING OF OUR
- 22 YOUNGSTERS IN THE COMMUNITY AND BEYOND THE NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH
- 23 GROUP AND THE BOOK CLUB. WE OPPOSE THE OPENING OF SYBIL BRAND.
- 24 I DID GRADUATE FROM WILSON HIGH SCHOOL, 1974, AND MR.
- 25 ANTONOVICH WAS MY INSTRUCTOR AND I'M SURE HE'S PROUD THAT



- 1 WE'RE HERE FIGHTING AGAINST THE OPENING OF SYBIL BRAND. THANK
- 2 YOU.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: THAT CONCLUDES ALL OF OUR SPEAKERS. AND, DAVID,
- 7 THE REASON I WAS ASKING IF THIS WAS THE APPROPRIATE PLACE, I
- 8 THINK IT IS BUT I'M NOT SURE, I'M GOING TO READ THIS MOTION IN
- 9 THAT WE'LL PASS IT OUT. THE C.A.O. AND THE SHERIFF ARE
- 10 CURRENTLY EXPLORING SEVERAL OPTIONS TO EXPAND INMATE CAPACITY
- 11 IN OUR COUNTY JAILS IN ORDER TO ADDRESS A MYRIAD OF ISSUES
- 12 FACING OUR CUSTODY SYSTEM, SUCH AS OVERCROWDING, EARLY RELEASE
- 13 AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW CLASSIFICATION AND HOUSING
- 14 SYSTEM. TODAY, OUR BOARD WILL DESIGNATE 168 MILLION, WHICH
- 15 WILL PARTIALLY IMPLEMENT SOLUTIONS. ANY OPTION THE COUNTY
- 16 PICKS WILL UNDOUBTEDLY IMPACT SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES. THE
- 17 COUNTY HAS AN OBLIGATION TO ENSURE THE RESIDENTS' VOICES ARE
- 18 HEARD AND THAT THEIR CONCERNS ARE ADDRESSED, AS WELL AS
- 19 MITIGATED. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE C.A.O., ALONG WITH THE
- 20 SHERIFF, REPORT BACK BEFORE THE FINAL BUDGET CHANGES IN
- 21 SEPTEMBER WITH A DETAILED PLAN TO ADDRESS COMMUNITY CONCERNS
- 22 AND IMPACTS. ANY PLANS SHALL INCLUDE A FULL E.I.R., OTHER
- 23 PROPOSED JAIL SPACE EXPANSION. THE E.I.R. SHOULD INCLUDE AN
- 24 ANALYSIS OF REAL ALTERNATIVES, THE COST OF MITIGATING
- 25 LEGITIMATE IMPACTS MUST BE FACTORED INTO ANY PROPOSAL'S BUDGET



- 1 AND COMMUNITY INPUT IS INVALUABLE AND IS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO
- 2 DEVISE A SUCCESSFUL PROJECT. THE C.A.O. AND THE SHERIFF MUST
- 3 CREATE AN INCLUSIVE PROCESS THROUGH WHICH RESIDENTS AND
- 4 STAKEHOLDERS HAVE A VOICE. NOW, I HAD PLACED THIS FOR THE
- 5 SEPTEMBER DEADLINE. SHOULD I LEAVE IT AT THAT OR SHOULD WE
- 6 CHANGE IT TO THE JULY?

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK I'D CHANGE IT TO JULY THE 11TH.

10 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. AND THE ONLY OPTION I'D CHANGE THERE

- 11 IS THE JULY THE 11TH BECAUSE THAT'S WHEN WE WILL DISCUSS THE
- 12 CUSTODY PLAN, SO I MOVE MY MOTION AT THAT TIME, THAT IT BE
- 13 INCLUDED IN THERE. ALL RIGHT.
- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.
- 17 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN APPROVE IT NOW OR WE
- 18 JUST...

7

9

14

16

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THAT COMPLETES THE DISCUSSION ON-- YOU
- 21 MAY WANT TO JUST HANDLE HER MOTION SEPARATELY AND THEN WE'LL
- 22 DO NUMBER 1.
- 24 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I MEAN, THE QUESTION I WANT, THE MOTION IS
- 25 PREMATURE, I THINK, BASICALLY UNTIL YOU GET THE JULY REPORT,



- 1 ISN'T THAT CORRECT? YOU ARE TAKING ACTIONS WITH THEM-- WE JUST
- 2 SAW THIS MOTION BUT IT APPEARS TO ME THAT WE'RE TAKING ACTION
- 3 INSIDE OF THIS TO SLOW THE PROCESS DOWN.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO IT SHOULD BE ON THE AGENDA FOR
- 6 JULY.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, IT SAYS THAT IF, IN FACT, WE'RE GOING TO DO
- 9 ANYTHING, THAT WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO DO A FULL E.I.R. THE
- 10 OPTIONS HAVE TO BE INCLUSIVE. I THINK THE SHERIFF HAS AGREED
- 11 THAT, IN ANY OF THE REVIEWS THAT THEY DO, THAT THEY'RE GOING
- 12 TO DO AN E.I.R...

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: COULD MR. TANAKA COME UP HERE?

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AND I THINK THE E.I.R. IS THE ONLY ONE THAT
- 17 REALLY ALLOWS AN EVALUATION OF VARIOUS OPTIONS AS WELL AS
- 18 GIVES AN OPPORTUNITY TO AT LEAST LOOK AT MITIGATIONS TO AN
- 19 ISSUE.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: NO, I UNDERSTAND BUT, I MEAN, I THINK THIS MOTION
- 22 MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE AFTER WE HEAR THEIR REPORT BACK ON
- 23 JULY THE 11TH.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THAT'S WHY I WAS ASKING THE C.A.O., SHOULD
- 2 WE POSTPONE IT UNTIL WE HEAR THE CUSTODY PLAN, SINCE WE DON'T
- 3 KNOW WHAT IT IS AS OF YET? AND THEN...

4

5 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I THINK-- I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT I'M THINKING.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T YOU PUT THIS MOTION ON THE JULY
- 8 11TH AGENDA SO THAT IT CAN BE CONSIDERED WITH EVERYTHING ELSE?

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S WHY I WAS ASKING HOW TO MOVE
- 11 FORWARD ON IT BECAUSE, YOU'RE RIGHT, WE DON'T KNOW AT THIS
- 12 POINT IN TIME AS TO WHAT THE MIX WILL BE. OUR ONLY INTEREST IS
- 13 THAT, WHATEVER IT IS, AND CERTAINLY ON THE SYBIL BRAND ISSUE,
- 14 IT'S THE ONLY PLACE...

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: INVOLVE THE COMMUNITY.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: ...TO PUT IN THE MITIGATIONS. SO I'M HAPPY TO DO
- 19 IT AT THAT TIME.

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO JULY THE 11TH.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I WAS GOING TO ASK THE SHERIFF'S
- 24 DEPARTMENT TO COMMENT BUT WE'LL PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR JULY
- 25 11TH.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. WHEN THE CUSTODY PLAN COMES BACK. ALL
- 3 RIGHT. THAT'S FINE.

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. IF THERE ARE NO OTHER ISSUES ON ITEM
- 6 NUMBER 1, I RECOMMEND APPROVAL.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, CAN I ASK ANOTHER QUESTION ON THAT? THIS IS
- 9 SEPARATE FROM THE FACILITIES. SO BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS THAT ARE
- 10 BEING MADE, LIKE WE HAVE A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT THAT-- ON THE
- 11 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TOMORROW, SO IS THAT...

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHICH IS-- IS THAT BEING APPROVED TOMORROW?
- 16 ARE WE APPROVING IT TODAY?

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, IF YOU APPROVE IT TODAY, IT STILL NEEDS
- 19 APPROVAL TOMORROW TO IMPLEMENT.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: I SEE. ALL RIGHT.

22

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO YOU BASICALLY HAVE TWO SHOTS AT A COUPLE OF
- 24 THE ITEMS.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: FINE. OKAY. AS LONG AS WE STILL HAVE THE
- 2 OPPORTUNITY TO APPROVE IT TOMORROW.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON ONE? IF NOT,
- 5 KNABE MOVES, I'LL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM
- 6 NUMBER 2?

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER IS AN UPDATE ON THE STATUS OF THE
- 9 '06/'07 BUDGET. THE LEGISLATURE, WHICH I KNOW EVERYBODY KNOWS
- 10 DID NOT ADOPT A BUDGET ON TIME, THEIR DEADLINE WAS JUNE 15TH,
- 11 THE NEXT DEADLINE IS JULY THE 1ST FOR APPROVED BY...

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ARE THEY MEETING TODAY OR ARE THEY
- 14 MEETING...?

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY CONTINUE TO MEET. THE ISSUES ARE ACTUALLY
- 17 VERY SMALL. THERE'S AN ISSUE ON FUNDING FOR CHILDREN'S HEALTH
- 18 INSURANCE PROGRAM. THE GOVERNOR, ACTUALLY, APPEARS TO HAVE
- 19 DIFFERENCES WITH THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUS ON THAT ISSUE.
- 20 EXTENSION OF PROP 36 FUNDING, WHICH IS A DRUG PROPOSITION THAT
- 21 WAS PASSED ON DRUG REHAB PROGRAM. THERE WAS A DISPUTE OVER
- 22 THAT. AND THEN VARIOUS LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS. THE GOVERNOR
- 23 HAD INCLUDED IN HIS MAY REVISE INCREASES, AUGMENTATIONS FOR
- 24 COPS, JUVENILE JUSTICE, MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER RECIDIVISM,
- 25 VERTICAL PROSECUTION AND BOOKING FEES. SO THOSE ARE THE OPEN



- 1 ISSUES THAT REMAIN IN THE STATE BUDGET. AT THIS POINT, IT
- 2 DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE A DOLLAR ISSUE, IT REALLY IS A POLICY
- 3 ISSUE BETWEEN THE VARIOUS PLAYERS, SO WE ARE OPTIMISTIC THAT
- 4 THEY CONCLUDE BY JULY THE 1ST. WE WILL COME BACK, AS WE
- 5 USUALLY DO, IN SEPTEMBER WITH ANY POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE
- 6 STATE BUDGET BUT, AS WE REPORTED EARLIER, MAY REVISE ACTUALLY
- 7 AUGMENTED OUR BUDGET RATHER THAN DECREASED IT. WITH RESPECT TO
- 8 THE '06/'07 BUDGET, OUR BUDGET, I'LL DEFER THAT TO ITEM 6,
- 9 WHICH IS THE CHANGE LETTER. SO THAT WAS JUST A REPORT. ITEM
- 10 NUMBER 3-- NO ACTION IS REQUIRED ON ITEM NUMBER 2. ITEM NUMBER
- 11 3 IS A RECEIVE AND FILE, A REPORT SUMMARIZING ALL THE ISSUES
- 12 THAT WERE RAISED AT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND WE CAN DISCUSS
- 13 INDIVIDUAL ITEMS AS WE GO THROUGH THE CHANGES IN THE BUDGET AS
- 14 APPROPRIATE BUT THIS IS A RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, ITEM NUMBER
- 15 3.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ALL RIGHT. RECEIVE AND FILE.

18

19 SUP. KNABE: MOVE IT.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOLINA SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 22 ORDERED. ONE QUESTION, JUST TO GO BACK ON ONE THAT WE'VE
- 23 ALREADY APPROVED, THERE ARE A LOT OF LOOSE ENDS THAT NEED TO
- 24 BE TIED AND NUMEROUS PENDING MATTERS WHICH HAVE A DRAMATIC
- 25 IMPACT ON OUR ULTIMATE PLAN FOR THE JAIL, SO, BY THE JULY



- 11TH, WE COULD HAVE A DISCUSSION OF ALL THOSE ISSUES FOR US TO 1
- HAVE A FULL PICTURE OF WHAT LIES AHEAD. FOR EXAMPLE, THEY 2
- 3 SHOULD DISCUSS THE AUDIT WE HAVE AUTHORIZED FOR OUR JAILS AND
- WHAT CAN BE EXPECTED, AND THEN WHEN THE PENDING LITIGATION 4
- 5 ENHANCES TO SAFETY AND SECURITY WITHIN THE JAILS, THEY ISSUES
- RESOLVING AROUND CONTRACTING WITH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF 6
- CORRECTIONS, THE STATUS OF BACKFILLING TOWER TWO AND NOW THAT 7
- 8 FEMALES HAVE BEEN RELOCATED TO LYNWOOD AND ALL THESE ISSUES
- AND MORE IMPACT OUR DECISIONS AND THE FUTURE OF OUR JAILS, 9
- WHICH MUST BE PRESENTED AS A WHOLE WHEN THE BOARD MAKES THOSE 10
- DECISIONS ON THE 11TH AND ALSO AN UPDATE ON THE COMMITTEE THAT 11
- THE FEDERAL JUDGE CREATED AS TO THE IMPACT THAT WOULD HAVE AND 12
- I KNOW HE HAS THREE OR FOUR SCHEDULED MEETINGS TO TAKE PLACE 13
- WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS, GIVE US AN IMPACT. 14

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COUPLE DAYS. RIGHT.

15

17

19

21

24

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. ITEM NUMBER 4.

C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 4 IS THE... 20

- 22 SUP. BURKE: PARDON ME. ARE WE TAKING UP ALL THE SHERIFF'S
- 23 MOTIONS NOW? IS THAT WHAT'S HAPPENING?



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S JUST INFORMATION FOR THE JULY 2 3 11TH MEETING. 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT DEALS WITH ITEM NUMBER 30. 6 7 SUP. BURKE: SO ARE WE TAKING THEM NOW OR SHOULD WE WAIT? 8 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU CAN DO THEM EITHER WAY, AS LONG AS THEY DON'T INVOLVE MONEY. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: (LAUGHTER). 12 13 SUP. BURKE: WELL... 14 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IF THEY INVOLVE MONEY, WE SHOULD WAIT. 17 18 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I-- IS THE SHERIFF GOING TO ASK FOR US TO 19 HEAR THE ISSUES THAT ARE ON THE MEETING FOR TOMORROW, THOSE 20 ADJUSTMENTS, THOSE BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS? ARE THOSE GOING TO BE CONSIDERED NOW? 21 22 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. TOMORROW.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: THEY'RE GOING TO BE CONSIDERED TOMORROW. THEY ARE
- 2 NOT GOING TO BE CONSIDERED TODAY.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY ARE -- SOME OF THEM ARE PART OF THE
- 5 BUDGET, WHICH WOULD ALLOCATE THE MONEY, BUT IT CANNOT BE SPENT
- 6 UNLESS YOU APPROVE THE ITEMS TOMORROW.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: I'M REALLY CONCERNED...

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS NOT THE ITEM-- PLACE TO HAVE THAT
- 11 DISCUSSION, IT'S ITEM NUMBER 6. HE WAS JUST ASKING FOR
- 12 INFORMATION RELATIVE TO THE CAPITAL PLAN. ALL RIGHT. ITEM
- 13 NUMBER 4 IS COUNTY COUNSEL LITIGATION COST MANAGERS ANNUAL
- 14 REPORT. YOU HAVE THAT BEFORE YOU. MR. NAGLE AND MR. FORTNER
- 15 ARE BOTH HERE IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THAT. IT'S A
- 16 PRETTY THOROUGH REPORT, WE'RE MAKING PROGRESS. YOU'VE ALSO
- 17 ASKED THAT THE AUDITOR, UNDER AN EARLIER MOTION BY SUPERVISOR
- 18 MOLINA, DO AN AUDIT OF THE LITIGATION PROCESS, WHICH IS EITHER
- 19 UNDER WAY OR WILL SOON BE UNDER WAY. IN ANY EVENT, ITEM NUMBER
- 20 4 IS A RECEIVE AND FILE.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: COULD I ASK THAT, INSTEAD OF RECEIVING AND FILING
- 23 IT, THAT WE CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL SEPTEMBER? THE REASON IS
- 24 THAT WE DON'T HAVE THE LAST QUARTER REPORT, AND I THINK THAT



- 1 IF, IN FACT, WE CONTINUE IT TO SEPTEMBER, WE'LL HAVE THE
- 2 FINAL...

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, FINAL QUARTER OF THE YEAR.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S GOOD.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: SO I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE AT LEAST THE DISCUSSION
- 11 UNTIL THEN, UNTIL WE SEE THE WHOLE REPORT.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: UNLESS SOMEBODY ELSE HAD OTHER QUESTIONS.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO ON 4, WE'LL CONTINUE THAT TO
- 18 SEPTEMBER 1ST.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT. UNTIL THE SEPTEMBER BUDGET.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 23 SO ORDERED.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 5 IS ALSO A RECEIVE AND
- 2 FILE, A STATUS REPORT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOMELESS AND
- 3 HOUSING PROGRAMS. YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU, COVERING EACH
- 4 OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE PLAN, THE HOUSING TRUST FUND, THE \$80
- 5 MILLION, WHICH HAS GENERATED A GOOD DEAL OF DISCUSSION, BOTH
- 6 AMONG THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, AS WELL AS STAKEHOLDERS, THERE
- 7 HAS BEEN A STAKEHOLDER -- EXTENSIVE STAKEHOLDER MEETING AND
- 8 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE BEST WAY TO SPEND THAT MONEY. AN UPDATE
- 9 ON THE CENTERS THAT ARE GOING TO BE ESTABLISHED, THE HELP
- 10 CENTERS, TRANSITION CENTERS, THE SKID ROW FAMILY ACCESS
- 11 CENTER, PROTOTYPE COURT, HOUSING LOCATORS AND DATABASE, ET
- 12 CETERA. AS I SAID, THIS IS SIMPLY AN UPDATE ON WHERE WE ARE.
- 13 THE BOARD APPROVED THE PLAN IN APRIL BUT, UNTIL THE BUDGET'S
- 14 APPROVED, WE REALLY CAN'T START SPENDING ANY OF THE MONEY AND
- 15 THAT IS IN ITEM NUMBER 6. SO THIS IS A RECEIVE AND FILE.

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A MOTION UNDER THIS ITEM. THIS IS A MOTION
- 18 BY MYSELF AND SUPERVISOR BURKE AND I'LL HAVE MY STAFF PASS IT
- 19 OUT. THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AFFECTS
- 20 MANY FAMILIES. AS ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES, WE PROVIDE
- 21 RESOURCES TO THOSE FAMILIES WHO ARE CHALLENGED WITH LOW WAGES,
- 22 HIGH HOUSING COSTS, MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUES.
- 23 CHILDREN WHO ARRIVE ON SKID ROW WITH THEIR PARENTS MUST BE
- 24 ASSESS AND HAD PLACED IN TRANSITIONAL HOUSING OUTSIDE OF SKID
- 25 ROW WHERE THEY MAY REGAIN EMOTIONAL, SOCIAL AND EDUCATIONAL



- 1 STABILITY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. WE CAN BEST SERVE FAMILIES BY
- 2 PROVIDING PERMANENT TOOLS TO ATTAIN TRUE SELF-SUFFICIENCY,
- 3 SUCH AS CASE MANAGEMENT, INTENSIVE MENTAL HEALTH THERAPY,
- 4 SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. I THEREFORE
- 5 MOVE THAT A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT BE IMPLEMENTED WITH BEYOND
- 6 SHELTER TO HELP 500 HOMELESS FAMILIES FROM SKID ROW IN 12
- 7 MONTHS TO MOVE INTO PERMANENT HOUSING IN RESIDENTIAL
- 8 NEIGHBORHOODS AND PROVIDE FAMILIES WITH SIX FULL MONTHS OF
- 9 CASE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT TO HELP THEM ACHIEVE STABILITY AND
- 10 PREVENT FUTURE HOMELESSNESS. THIS PROGRAM WILL ENROLL FAMILIES
- 11 IN THE HOUSING FIRST MODEL AND PROVIDE EMERGENCY AND
- 12 TRANSITIONAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE WHILE HELPING THEM MOVE INTO
- 13 RENTAL HOUSING. CASE MANAGEMENT AND CHILD FOCUSED IN-HOME
- 14 PARENTING WILL BE PROVIDED. SECOND OF ALL, DIRECT THE C.A.O.
- 15 TO USE TWO MILLION OF THE 80 MILLION DESIGNATION FOR THE
- 16 HOMELESS AND HOUSING PROGRAM TO FUND THE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT
- 17 WITH BEYOND SHELTER. AND, 3, AN EVALUATION COMPONENT MUST BE
- 18 INCORPORATED IN THE BEYOND SHELTER CONTRACT IN ORDER TO ASSESS
- 19 THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SERVICES TO THE 500 FAMILIES. THE
- 20 EVALUATION SHALL ASSESS THE FAMILY'S SUCCESS IN ACHIEVING THE
- 21 FOLLOWING: TRANSITIONAL PERMANENT HOUSING, GAINFUL EMPLOYMENT,
- 22 ACCESS TO APPROPRIATE NECESSARY MENTAL HEALTH OR SUBSTANCE
- 23 ABUSE TREATMENT, EDUCATIONAL STABILITY FOR CHILDREN,
- 24 SOCIALIZATION, RECREATIONAL STABILITY FOR CHILDREN, SERVICES
- 25 TO ASSIST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIMS AS WELL AS OTHER



- 1 SUPPORTIVE SERVICES WHICH ASSIST FAMILIES TO ATTAIN SELF-
- 2 SUFFICIENCY. TO DIRECT THE ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM
- 3 ADMINISTRATION TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH A REPORT BACK IN
- 4 SEPTEMBER, TO-- ON THE CURRENT CAPACITY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE,
- 5 DETOXIFICATION OF RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT BEDS AS WELL AS
- 6 OUTPATIENT SERVICES, ANY GAPS IN SERVICE AND WAITING TIMES
- 7 UNDER THE CURRENT SYSTEM AND THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING REQUIRED TO
- 8 INCREASE ACCESS FOR HOMELESS PERSONS REQUESTING IMMEDIATE
- 9 SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT.

11 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. MAYOR?

13 SUP. BURKE: I'LL SECOND IT.

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

17 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE PILOT PROJECT AS WELL. I THOUGHT THESE WERE

- 18 SUPPOSED TO BE BROUGHT IN UNDER ITEM NUMBER 7 WAS MY
- 19 UNDERSTANDING. SHOULD WE-- WE'RE DEALING WITH PILOT PROJECTS.
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, I THINK YOU PROBABLY CAN DO IT UNDER
- 22 EITHER ITEM 7 IS THE ITEM TO ADJUST THE BUDGET, SO YOU CAN
- 23 EITHER DO ALL OF THEM NOW OR HOLD IT TO 7.

24

10

12

14

16



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I JUST DID IT UNDER THE HOMELESS PROJECT SINCE
- 2 YOU...

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS WAS JUST A RECEIVE AND FILE, ITEM NUMBER
- 5 5 SO...

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN IS LARRY SHEEHAN'S REPORT SUPPOSED TO
- 8 BE COMPLETED?

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE REPORT...

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OR THE PLAN, IMPLEMENTATION PLAN?

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THAT'S THE QUESTION I WAS ASKING AS WELL,
- 15 BECAUSE YOU MENTIONED A LOT OF THINGS, DAVID, BUT THIS IS
- 16 WHAT'S GOING TO FUND. WE HAVEN'T SEEN THE FINAL REPORT.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SEPTEMBER?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I KNOW THERE ARE A NUMBER OF MOTIONS, SO WE
- 21 MIGHT AS WELL HAVE THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PLAN, WHERE THE
- 22 PLAN IS.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE ARE, I MEAN, I THINK WE ALL HAVE
- 25 MOTIONS BUT I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION WE WERE GOING TO WAIT



- 1 UNTIL YOUR OFFICE HAD PRESENTED US WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN,
- 2 WHICH PRESUMABLY WOULD INCLUDE THE INPUT ALL OF THE
- 3 STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING THE FIVE SUPERVISORIAL OFFICES AND ALL
- 4 THAT WOULD BE LOOKED AT IN COMPREHENSIVELY. I WAS SURPRISED--
- 5 I'M SURE THERE'S NOTHING-- I'M SURE THIS IS A WORTHY PROJECT,
- 6 AS ARE THE OTHERS THAT ARE-- INCLUDING THE ONES THAT WE'VE
- 7 DRAFTED BUT I'M PREPARED TO WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE AN INTEGRATED
- 8 PLAN IF IT'S NOT GOING TO BE FOREVER. SO, IT'S NOW VIRTUALLY
- 9 GETTING TO JULY, AND WHEN DO YOU EXPECT TO HAVE IT BACK?

10

- 11 LARI SHEEHAN: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WE ARE
- 12 PLANNING TO COME BACK IN SEPTEMBER WHEN YOU TAKE UP YOUR
- 13 SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES TO THE BUDGET.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IS IT POSSIBLE THAT YOUR-- THAT YOU
- 16 WOULD HAVE A-- AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN READY BEFORE THEN OR IS
- 17 IT GOING TO TAKE YOU UNTIL THEN TO GET THAT PLAN OR ARE YOU
- 18 JUST HOLDING IT UNTIL THAT TIME BECAUSE IT'S WHEN WE'RE GOING
- 19 TO TAKE UP THE FINAL BOOK CLOSING?

- 21 LARI SHEEHAN: NO, THE STAKEHOLDER PROGRESS, THIS IS FOR THE 80
- 22 MILLION ONE-TIME FUNDS AND THE STAKEHOLDER PROCESS IS GOING TO
- 23 BE TAKING SOME TIME AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF MEETINGS SET
- 24 THROUGH JULY AND INTO THE FIRST PART OF AUGUST AND THEN THE



- 1 IDEA IS TO COMPLETE THE PLAN AND BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD IN
- 2 SEPTEMBER.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT SOME OF THESE
- 5 IDEAS GET BEFORE YOU -- AS WE START TALKING ABOUT THE PLAN.
- 6 THERE'S SOME THINGS THAT ARE TIME SENSITIVE, TOO, AND, IF WE
- 7 WAIT UNTIL SEPTEMBER, I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE TOO LATE TO
- 8 APPROACH A COUPLE. I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE A MOTION ALSO THAT I
- 9 KNOW IS TIME SENSITIVE THAT WE CANNOT POSSIBLY WAIT UNTIL
- 10 SEPTEMBER TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT BECAUSE IT HAS A LOT OF
- 11 BROAD IMPLICATIONS.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU KNOW, COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHY THE
- 14 JUNE 1ST MEETING REGARDING INPUT ON \$80 MILLION INPUT WITH
- 15 COMMUNITY ADVOCATES WAS UNSUCCESSFUL?

16

17 LARI SHEEHAN: WHY IT WAS UNSUCCESSFUL?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES.

- 21 LARI SHEEHAN: WELL, I THINK, MR. MAYOR, THE QUESTION ABOUT
- 22 WHETHER IT WAS UNSUCCESSFUL OR NOT IS PROBABLY AN INTERPRETIVE
- 23 OUESTION. IT WAS A DIFFICULT MEETING, WE HAD ALMOST 200 PEOPLE
- 24 THERE, WE HAD THEM IN FOUR DIFFERENT GROUPS AND WE ROTATED
- 25 WITH SOME CONCEPTUAL IDEAS THAT WE, AS STAFF FROM THE VARIOUS



- 1 DEPARTMENTS, HAD PREPARED BEFOREHAND. IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT
- 2 THEY NEEDED MORE TIME AND THAT WE COULD NOT COMPLETE IT IN ONE
- 3 MEETING. THAT WAS THE MOST UNSUCCESSFUL PIECE OF IT BUT THAT
- 4 PROBABLY PROBABLY ILL-ADVISED OF US TO THINK THAT WE COULD DO
- 5 THAT IN ONE MEETING.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ONCE YOU BEGIN THE REGIONAL
- 8 STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS, WHAT PROCESS WILL TAKE PLACE IN
- 9 ADDRESSING THOSE CONCERNS OF THOSE COMMUNITIES AND CITIES?

10

- 11 LARI SHEEHAN: THAT WILL BE FILTERED IN. WE HAVE TWO MEETINGS
- 12 SET IN YOUR-- IN REGIONAL AREAS WITHIN YOUR DISTRICT, MR.
- 13 MAYOR, AND A THIRD ONE TO BE SET AND I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO BE
- 14 HAVING OTHER MEETINGS AROUND THE COUNTY AND THAT INPUT WILL BE
- 15 TAKEN IN AND WE WILL TRY TO COME UP WITH A CONSENSUS ABOUT HOW
- 16 TO SPEND THAT \$80 MILLION, BASED ON A VARIETY OF INPUT BECAUSE
- 17 THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE A PIECE OF...

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW ARE YOU GOING TO ADDRESS THE NEED
- 20 FOR HOMELESS COURTS WITHOUT STABILIZATION CENTERS IN THOSE
- 21 COMMUNITIES AND CITIES THAT WANT A HOMELESS COURT ESTABLISHED
- 22 WITHOUT THE STABILIZATION CENTER?

- 24 LARI SHEEHAN: WE ARE DISCUSSING THAT, WE ARE IN DISCUSSIONS
- 25 WITH THE SUPERIOR COURT PERSONNEL RIGHT NOW ABOUT HOW TO DO



- 1 THAT. I MEAN, THIS IS SUPPOSED TO BE A MOVING COURT AND WE ARE
- 2 PREPARING A PLAN NOW SO THAT IT HOPEFULLY CAN OPERATE, THE
- 3 HOMELESS COURT CAN OPERATE OUTSIDE OF STABILIZATION CENTERS
- 4 BUT ALSO WITH STABILIZATION CENTERS AND WE ARE, INCIDENTALLY,
- 5 TRYING TO FIND A DIFFERENT NAME FOR THOSE.

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT IS CRITICAL THAT THE C.A.O. OBTAIN
- 8 INPUT FROM THE CITIES AND HOMELESS SERVICE PROVIDERS ON HOW TO
- 9 ALLOCATE THE \$80 MILLION IN HOUSING PROGRAM DESIGNATION. THE
- 10 MOTIONS INTRODUCED TODAY OUGHT NOT TO COMPROMISE THAT PROCESS
- 11 THAT NEEDS TO TAKE PLACE TO OBTAIN INPUT ON THE NEEDS
- 12 IDENTIFIED BY CITY OFFICIALS AND HOMELESS SERVICE PROVIDERS IN
- 13 EACH OF THE SERVICE PLANNING AREAS. HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME
- 14 AREAS THAT WE MUST ADDRESS THE IMMEDIATE PROBLEM THAT SKID ROW
- 15 HAS BEYOND THE SHELTER, HELPING THE WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN SKID
- 16 ROW AND THE WEINGART, WHICH IS THE LARGEST TRADITIONAL HOUSING
- 17 SHELTER ON SKID ROW, \$900,000. SUPERVISOR BURKE AND I HAVE
- 18 AUTHORED THE FOLLOWING MOTION AT THE WEINGART CENTER
- 19 ASSOCIATION: PROVIDE 600 TRANSITIONAL HOUSING BEDS TO THE
- 20 HOMELESS AND A SCHOOL AND COUNSELING EMPLOYMENT CENTER IN THE
- 21 DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES AREA. IT'S ESSENTIAL THAT WE ADDRESS THE
- 22 NEEDS OF THE WEINGART CENTER ASSOCIATION TO CONTINUE SERVICES
- 23 TO THE HOMELESS FOR INDIVIDUALS IN THAT AREA. WE WOULD MOVE
- 24 THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO ALLOCATE \$900,000 ONE-TIME
- 25 FUNDING FROM THE \$80 MILLION HOMELESS HOUSING PROGRAM



- 1 DESIGNATION TO FUND THE WEINGART CENTER FOR OPERATIONAL COST
- 2 DEFICIT.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: I'LL SECOND THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR, I HAD-- IF WE'RE GOING TO DO IT, THEN I
- 7 HAVE A MOTION AS WELL.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

- 11 SUP. KNABE: WE-- OBVIOUSLY, AN IMAGE THAT MANY OF US STILL
- 12 REMEMBER WAS THAT MENTALLY ILL WOMAN THAT WAS RELEASED TO SKID
- 13 ROW FROM THE HOSPITAL AND, OBVIOUSLY, WITH THE CONDITIONS THAT
- 14 EXIST DOWN THERE, IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO TAKE A
- 15 SERIOUS LOOK AT, AND, OBVIOUSLY, YOU ADD TO THAT THE SEVERE
- 16 SHORTAGE OF SHELTER BEDS, TRANSITIONAL HOUSING AND OTHER KINDS
- 17 OF SUPPORTS NEEDED FOR THIS POPULATION, WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE SOME
- 18 ISSUES THAT ARE UNACCEPTABLE TO ALL OF US. WE HAVE A GENUINE
- 19 OPPORTUNITY TO MEANINGFULLY ADDRESS THIS TRAGIC ISSUE. THE
- 20 HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 21 HEALTH SERVICES HAVE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE LOS ANGELES
- 22 CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY TO DEVELOP ACCESS HOUSING FOR HEALTH
- 23 PROJECT. THIS PROGRAM WOULD PROVIDE SECTION 8 HOUSING VOUCHERS
- 24 TO ANY HOMELESS PERSON DISCHARGED FROM THE HOSPITAL THAT MEETS
- 25 A CERTAIN CRITERIA. FUNDING IS REQUIRED TO APPROPRIATELY



- 1 SHELTER THESE INDIVIDUALS UPON THEIR RELEASE FROM THE HOSPITAL
- 2 FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME WHILE THE SECTION 8 PROCESS
- 3 TAKES PLACE, MAKING TRANSITION TO PERMANENT HOUSING. SO I
- 4 WOULD MOVE THAT THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AND THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES REPORT BACK WITH A PLAN AND
- 6 IDENTIFY THE NECESSARY COSTS WITHIN SEVEN DAYS TO FULLY
- 7 IMPLEMENT THE ACCESS TO HOUSING FOR HEALTH PILOT PROJECT AND
- 8 ALSO MOVE THAT THE C.A.O. SET ASIDE 1.5 MILLION FOR THE A.A.H.
- 9 PILOT PROJECT FROM THE \$80 MILLION DESIGNATION FOR HOMELESS
- 10 PROGRAMS.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?**

13

14 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN?

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE, THEN SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY.

- 19 SUP. BURKE: THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND THE CITY OF LOS
- 20 ANGELES HAVE EMBARKED UPON A HISTORIC PARTNERSHIP TO ASSIST
- 21 THE NUMBER OF HOMELESS HUMAN BEINGS SLEEPING ON OUR PUBLIC
- 22 STREETS. THE CREATION OF A PROGRAM TO PROVIDE SHELTER DURING
- 23 COLD, WET WEATHER HAS MATURED INTO THE OBVIOUS NEED TO PROVIDE
- 24 YEAR-ROUND SHELTER BEDS. THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES HAS COMMITTED
- 25 \$1 MILLION TO TRANSFORM THE 800 COLD WET WEATHER BEDS INTO



- YEAR-ROUND SHELTER BEDS. AS PART OF THIS PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN 1
- THE COUNTY AND THE CITY, IT WOULD BE PRUDENT FOR A COUNTY TO 2
- 3 MATCH THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTION OF ONE MILLION FOR THE 2006/2007
- FISCAL YEAR. SUCH FUNDING SHOULD BE ALLOCATED FROM THE 80 4
- 5 MILLION ALREADY IDENTIFIED TO ADDRESS HOMELESS CRISIS
- THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF 6
- SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER TO 7
- 8 ALLOCATE \$1 MILLION IN ONE-TIME FUNDING FROM THE DESIGNATION
- FOR HOMELESS AND HOUSING PROGRAMS TO MATCH THE CITY OF LOS 9
- ANGELES' ONE MILLION COMMITTED FOR THE TRANSFORMATION OF THE 10
- COLD WET WEATHER BEDS TO A YEAR-ROUND SHELTER. I FURTHER MOVE 11
- THAT THIS BOARD DELEGATE AUTHORITY TO THE C.A.O. TO EXECUTE AN 12
- AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF 13
- THESE FUNDS, CONTINGENT UPON THE CITY'S 100% MATCH, NOT TO 14
- EXCEED \$1 MILLION IN ONE-TIME FUNDING. FINALLY, I MOVE THAT 15
- 16 THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO WORK IN CONCERT WITH THE LOS
- ANGELES HOMELESS SERVICE AUTHORITY TO REPORT BACK QUARTERLY 17
- 18 WITH OUTCOME DATA FROM THESE ENHANCED HOMELESS SHELTER
- 19 SERVICES.

- SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK THE C.A.O., CAN WE GIVE 21
- 22 FUNDS DIRECTLY TO PROVIDERS INSTEAD OF PASSING THEM THROUGH
- 23 THE CITY?

24



- 1 LARI SHEEHAN: MR. MAYOR, I BELIEVE THE CITY IS PLANNING ON
- 2 DOING THIS THROUGH L.A.H.S.A., SO IT WOULD BE-- THE COUNTY
- 3 WOULD PROBABLY DO THE SAME THING, AND RATHER THAN GOING TO THE
- 4 CITY, WE WOULD GO THROUGH L.A.H.S.A. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO IT'D BE GOING THROUGH L.A.H.S.A.,
- 7 THEN?

8

9 LARI SHEEHAN: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT HOW YOU'RE GOING TO HANDLE THE
- 12 WEINGART CENTER, TOO?

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I DON'T KNOW. I THINK IT SHOULD GO
- 15 DIRECTLY TO WEINGART.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: I THINK IT GOES DIRECTLY TO WEINGART.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT'S THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION? CAN WE
- 20 GIVE MONEY DIRECTLY TO PROVIDERS?

21

22 LARI SHEEHAN: I'M CERTAIN THAT WE CAN.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY PROHIBITION AGAINST
- 25 DOING THAT. YOU CAN IF YOU DIRECT IT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT-- BY THE WAY, ON THE WEINGART, IS
- 3 THAT GOING TO THE ONE WHERE THEY'VE LOST THEIR FUNDING?

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THERE'S NO NET-- THIS IS JUST TO
- 8 MAINTAIN-- THERE'S NO NET GAIN, IT'S JUST TO MAINTAIN WHAT
- 9 WE'VE HAD? IS THAT RIGHT?

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE DID THAT FUNDING-- WHERE DID THEY LOSE
- 14 THAT FUNDING? WHO WAS THEIR FUNDER BEFORE?

15

- 16 LARI SHEEHAN: I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT. I'D
- 17 BE HAPPY TO GET IT FOR YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU KNOW WHO-- WHAT WAS THE SOURCE OF
- 20 FUNDING THAT THEY LOST? WAS IT THE CITY OF L.A.?

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THE ANSWER IS "YES", I'M NOT SURE.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE CITY-- SO WE HAVE BECOME THE DEEP
- 25 POCKET FOR THE CITY, IS THAT WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE? I JUST



- 1 WANT TO KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. I WANT TO KNOW
- 2 WHETHER IT'S THE CITY OR THE STATE. HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE YOU
- 3 TO FIND THAT OUT?

4

5 LARI SHEEHAN: WELL, PROBABLY ABOUT AN HOUR.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IT WOULD BE KIND OF INSTRUCTIVE TO
- 8 KNOW WHY WE ARE-- WHAT THE CONTEXT OF THIS...

9

10 SUP. BURKE: LAST YEAR-- LAST YEAR WE DID IT ALSO.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU KNOW WHERE THEY LOST THE MONEY? WHERE
- 13 WAS-- WHAT WAS THE SOURCE OF MONEY THAT THEY LOST? DO YOU
- 14 KNOW?

15

16 SUP. BURKE: I'M NOT SURE.

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE LETTER FROM WEINGART STATES THAT
- 19 THEY HAVE-- THEY'RE MAKING A DIRECT APPEAL TO TAKE IMMEDIATE
- 20 ACTION, ONE-TIME INCREASE OF \$300,000 IN FUNDING TO PAY FOR
- 21 THE UNREIMBURSED SERVICES THEY PROVIDED OVER THE LAST TWO
- 22 MONTHS OF THEIR CONTRACT, WHICH ENDED MARCH 31ST AND INCREASE
- 23 IN THE ANNUAL FUNDING FOR THE PROGRAM BY \$600,000 WHICH
- 24 ENABLES THEM TO COVER OPERATING COSTS, INCLUDING MEALS ON AN
- 25 ANNUAL, ONGOING BASIS.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHO IS THE CONTRACT WITH THAT EXPIRED IN
- 3 MARCH?

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: I UNDERSTAND IT WAS A STATE CONTRACT FOR PRISON
- 6 BEDS THAT THEY LOST.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FOR PROBATION.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: IT WAS A STATE CONTRACT AND THOSE ARE THE FUNDS
- 11 THAT THEY LOST. UNFUNDED MANDATES OF THE CITY ALSO.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: HALLELUJAH.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WE'RE GOING TO BACKFILL THE CUT IN THE
- 16 STATE, IS BASICALLY THAT'S WHAT THIS DOES? I JUST WANT TO MAKE
- 17 SURE EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS THAT.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. IT WON'T BE THE ONLY TIME THIS HAPPENS
- 20 TODAY.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND THAT.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: WELL, THE FACT IS THAT THEY PROVIDE AN EXCELLENT
- 25 SERVICE AND IT'S TRUE, IT IS IN SKID ROW, IT HAPPENS TO BE IN



- 1 THE SECOND DISTRICT, RATHER THAN IN THE FIRST DISTRICT. IT IS
- 2 A SERVICE THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED AND I THINK WE ALL AGREE THAT
- 3 WEINGART HAS ALWAYS PROVIDED GOOD SERVICE.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY'RE EXCELLENT.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: BUT THE FACT, IF THEY LOSE MONEY, WE EITHER SAY
- 8 OKAY, WELL, FORGET ABOUT IT OR WE TRY TO MAINTAIN THE LEVEL OF
- 9 THAT SERVICE AND I THINK WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS TO
- 10 MAINTAIN THE LEVEL OF SERVICE TO PEOPLE AND IT'S SOMETHING
- 11 THAT YOU SEE EVERY DAY IN TERMS OF WHAT HAPPENS AS FAR AS
- 12 PROVIDING THOSE SERVICES.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IF THEY LOST A CONTRACT FOR PROBATION, THEN IT
- 15 COULD BE A DIFFERENT CLIENTELE THAT'S GOING TO BE HELPED, THEN
- 16 OR WOULD IT BE THE SAME CLIENTELE?

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: WELL, CONCEIVABLY THERE MIGHT BE A DIFFERENT
- 19 CLIENTELE IF YOU TRY TO TRACE THOSE FUNDS BUT, IN TERMS OF
- 20 THOSE SERVICES THAT ARE OVERALL, MY UNDERSTANDING IS WEINGART
- 21 IS BEING THREATENED IN TERMS OF THEIR REAL ABILITY TO CONTINUE
- 22 ANY SERVICES AS A RESULT OF LOSS OF THESE MONEYS.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN...



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE YOU GO, I HAD A MOTION...

2

3 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: [INAUDIBLE]

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: ON THIS ISSUE, JUST A LITTLE BIT, MR.
- 6 YAROSLAVSKY? ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT'S BEING RAISED HERE IS I
- 7 THINK AN ONGOING CONCERN THAT WE HAVE, IS THAT THERE'S A LACK
- 8 OF COORDINATION WITH THE CITY. NOW, IN THIS SO-CALLED PLANNING
- 9 MEETING THAT YOU ALL HAD, WAS THE CITY PRESENT TO PARTICIPATE?

10

- 11 LARI SHEEHAN: THERE WAS A MEMBER AT OUR FIRST MEETING ON JUNE
- 12 1ST, THERE WAS A MEMBER OF THE CITY'S HOUSING DEPARTMENT
- 13 THERE. I'VE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE MAYOR'S HOMELESS PERSON,
- 14 TORRE OSBOURNE. SHE DID NOT ATTEND A MEETING THAT WE HAD ON
- 15 THE 19TH BUT PLANS TO BE AT THEIR NEXT MEETING ON JULY 6TH.

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE SIGNIFICANT
- 18 ISSUES HERE, THAT WE HAVE TO RECKON WITH COORDINATED PLANNING
- 19 HERE ON THE UTILIZATION OF THESE FUNDS. CERTAINLY THE WEINGART
- 20 ISSUE, AS YOU KNOW, I RAISED IT FOUR, FIVE MONTHS AGO WITH THE
- 21 MAYOR'S OFFICE AS TO HOW THEY WERE GOING TO-- THEY HAVE NEVER
- 22 RESPONDED. I ASKED JAN PERRY TO RESPOND. DAVID WAS THERE WHEN
- 23 WE ASKED THE OUESTION ABOUT WHAT'S THE SITUATION WITH
- 24 WEINGART. WE'VE ASKED L.A.H.S.A. AS WELL. EVERYBODY HAS JUST
- 25 SORT OF LEFT IT OUT THERE. NOW, WE ALL DO KNOW THAT IT



- 1 PROVIDES VERY VALUABLE SERVICES AND THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE
- 2 ARE VERY WELL DONE. THE PROBLEM IS THAT NOBODY'S COMING IN AND
- 3 BEING EFFECTIVE IN ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES, BECAUSE WE DO-- WE
- 4 ARE, IN FACT, THE DEEP POCKET, AS ZEV SAID, AND SO EVERYBODY
- 5 IS DIPPING IN HERE WITHOUT ANY COORDINATION. SO THERE IS A
- 6 LITTLE BIT OF A GAP THERE THAT WE NEED TO COORDINATE AND
- 7 ADDRESS BECAUSE I'M AS CONCERNED AS ANYONE ELSE THAT, YOU
- 8 KNOW, LOSE YOUR FUNDING IN THE CITY AND COME OVER AND JUST
- 9 LAND ON THE COUNTY AND WE'RE NOT ADDRESSING A COMPREHENSIVE
- 10 APPROACH TO HOMELESSNESS. WE'RE JUST DOING MORE OF THE SAME,
- 11 WHICH IS OKAY, I GUESS, BUT MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT IT DOES--
- 12 I HOPE THAT, AS YOU MOVE FORWARD IN THE DEVELOPING OF THIS
- 13 PLAN, THAT IT'S GOING TO BE LOCK STEP WITH THE CITY. THEY'VE
- 14 BEEN COMPLAINING DIRECTLY AND INDIRECTLY TO ME, AND I DON'T
- 15 KNOW IF THEY'VE COMPLAINED TO ANYONE ELSE HERE...

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: OF COURSE THEY COMPLAIN TO US BECAUSE THEY SAY,
- 18 WELL, IT'S A COUNTYWIDE PROBLEM EVEN THOUGH IT'S LOCATED IN
- 19 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, WE KNOW IT'S A COUNTYWIDE PROBLEM.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: AND THAT WE ARE THE ONES WHO PROVIDE SOME OF THE
- 24 SERVICES LIKE THE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THE ISSUE IS THAT THEY HAVE TO COME IN AND
- 2 PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSIONS. THEY CAN'T COME IN AND JUST
- 3 LAY PROJECTS ON THE LINE AND SAY "FUND THIS" BECAUSE IT IS A
- 4 COUNTYWIDE ISSUE, I AGREE, AND THAT'S MY ONLY CONCERN, IS
- 5 THAT, YOU KNOW, DROPPING FUNDING ON CERTAIN PROJECTS, HOPING
- 6 THAT THEY JUST CAN GET FUNDED THROUGH THE COUNTY IS NOT
- 7 APPROPRIATE, EITHER.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: AND I THINK THAT, GOING FORWARD, WE HAVE TO
- 10 ADDRESS THAT. WE HAVE TO SIT DOWN AND WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE
- 11 THAT THEY ARE AT THE TABLE AND RECOGNIZE THAT, WHILE WE
- 12 PROVIDE THE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND WHILE WE OFTEN PROVIDE
- 13 THOSE D.P.S.S. SERVICE AND CHILDREN'S SERVICES, THAT THERE ARE
- 14 ALSO RESPONSIBILITIES THAT THE CITY HAS TO MOVE FORWARD AND
- 15 PROVIDE AND THAT IT'S NOT POSSIBLE FOR US TO TAKE ON THAT LOAD
- 16 FOR-- BECAUSE WE ARE THE ONES WHO PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES. AND
- 17 I THINK WE HAVE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN LONG RANGE VERSUS
- 18 SHORT-TERM PROBLEMS AND I'M SUPPORTING THIS AS FAR AS WEINGART
- 19 BECAUSE I SEE THIS AS A SHORT-TERM ISSUE THAT WE'RE ADDRESSING
- 20 AS IT RELATES TO A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME BUT, AS FAR AS
- 21 LONG-TERM, THESE ARE ISSUES THAT HAVE TO BE SOLVED AND THEY
- 22 HAVE TO BE WORKED OUT WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND ALSO
- 23 WITH WEINGART TO SEE EXACTLY WHAT THEIR ROLE WILL BE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS JUST-- ON THIS POINT, I
- 2 HAVE NO QUARREL WITH THE WEINGART PROGRAM AT ALL, I THINK WE
- 3 ALL UNDERSTAND THE VALUE OF THE PROGRAM AND OF THE FACILITY.
- 4 WHAT I WOULD HAVE PREFERRED TO HAVE SEEN US DO IS LEVERAGE OUR
- 5 DOLLARS. THAT WAS THE WHOLE IDEA OF THE SETTING ASIDE OF THESE
- 6 \$80 MILLION IN THE FIRST PLACE, WAS TO SEE HOW WE COULD
- 7 LEVERAGE THEM. IT WOULD BE-- I'D FEEL A LOT BETTER IF THE CITY
- 8 WOULD HAVE PUT UP HALF OF THIS MONEY, WE LEVERAGE HALF OF
- 9 OURS, IF THIS IS 900,000, THEY'D PUT UP 450, WE'D PUT UP 450,
- 10 KEEP THE WEINGART CENTER OPERATING AT THE LEVEL THEY'D BEEN
- 11 OPERATING INSTEAD OF -- I MEAN, LET ME ASSURE YOU THAT THERE
- 12 ARE HUNDREDS OF AGENCIES THAT ARE WATCHING WHAT WE DO AND THEY
- 13 HAVE ALREADY GOTTEN THE IMPRESSION THAT THE COUNTY IS GOING
- 14 TO-- IS GOING TO BE THE DEEP POCKET, IT'S GOING TO BACKFILL
- 15 ALL KINDS OF THINGS. I'VE HAD-- I'VE SAID "NO" TO A LOT OF
- 16 AGENCIES IN MY PART OF TOWN OR I'VE TRIED TO LEVERAGE IT WITH
- 17 CITIES IN MY PART OF THE COUNTY. IT ISN'T EASY BUT, YOU KNOW,
- 18 MS. MOLINA IS CORRECT IN TERMS OF THE COORDINATION WITH THE
- 19 CITY. I ACTUALLY THINK THAT WE'VE BEEN, AND LARRY IN
- 20 PARTICULAR HAS BEEN, THE POINT PERSON ON THIS, THE QUARTERBACK
- 21 ON TRYING TO GET THE CITY-- THE CITY IS AT THE TABLE. I THINK
- 22 WE ALL NEED TO UNDERSTAND THAT, AT SOME POINT, THE CITY HAS
- 23 GOT TO BITE THE BULLET AND SAY, "WE'RE GOING TO PARTICIPATE,
- 24 WE'RE GOING TO ANTE UP, WE'RE GOING TO BE IN THIS AND WE'RE
- 25 GOING TO PUT SOME OF OUR SKIN IN THE GAME AND NOT JUST SNIPE



- 1 AT THE COUNTY AND NOT JUST, YOU KNOW, WHEN THINGS GO SOUR
- 2 WITHIN THEIR CITY LIMITS, THEY LOOK FOR SOMEBODY TO BLAME AND
- 3 IT'S BEEN A LITTLE DISCONCERTING IN THAT REGARD. IN THE
- 4 MEANTIME, THIS BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW THAT THE CITY HAS GOT
- 5 SCHEMES COOKING WHERE THEY WOULD LIKE TO CREATE NEW-- A NEW
- 6 REDEVELOPMENT LAW, NEW REDEVELOPMENT POLICY THAT WOULD MAKE
- 7 ALL OF THIS ALMOST MEANINGLESS BY COMPARISON AND SUCK UP A
- 8 HUGE AMOUNT OF OUR TAX BASE AND OUR ABILITY TO-- OF OUR TAX
- 9 REVENUE AND OUR ABILITY TO PROVIDE SOME OF THE SERVICES THAT
- 10 WE-- THAT WE DO PROVIDE AND ARE CHARGED WITH PROVIDING. SO I'M
- 11 NOT GOING TO MAKE A BIG DEAL OUT OF THIS OTHER THAN TO SAY
- 12 THAT I WISH THAT, ON OUR OWN SIDE, WE WERE A BIT COORDINATED
- 13 IN TRYING TO LEVERAGE THE DOLLARS. I THINK, IF WE HAD GONE TO
- 14 THE CITY OF L.A., TO THE MAYOR OR TO MISS OSBOURNE AND SAID
- 15 WE'RE WILLING TO PUT UP 450, WILL YOU PUT UP 450, THEY WOULD
- 16 HAVE BEEN HARD-PRESSED NOT TO DO IT. BUT NOW WE'LL GOING TO
- 17 PUT UP THE WHOLE 900 SO THEY WILL NEVER PUT UP A NICKEL FOR
- 18 WEINGART AND, NEXT YEAR WHEN WEINGART HAS THE SAME EXACT
- 19 PROBLEM, THEY'RE GOING TO BACK HERE. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GO
- 20 TO THE CITY. THEY'RE GOING TO SAY, "YOU GAVE IT TO US LAST
- 21 YEAR, GIVE IT TO US AGAIN THIS YEAR."

- 23 SUP. KNABE: MAYBE THEY CAN-- MAYBE WE CAN REWRITE THIS
- 24 \$900,000 LIKE THE LAST MOTION THAT SUPERVISOR BURKE PUT ON THE
- 25 TABLE THAT, CONTINGENT UPON THEIR MATCH, SPLIT IT UP 450/450



- 1 AND PUT IT AS A MATCH KIND OF A SITUATION, IF THEY'D BE
- 2 WILLING TO DO THAT.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO TAKE A SHOT AT THAT?

- 6 SUP. BURKE: I'D BE WILLING TO SAY THAT THERE SHOULD-- THAT WE
- 7 WOULD DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO GO FORWARD AND ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN A
- 8 MATCH BUT I'D HATE TO SEE THEM CLOSE DOWN WHILE WE'RE FIGHTING
- 9 BACK AND FORTH OVER WHO'S GOING TO PAY WHICH PORTION OF IT, OF
- 10 THE \$450,000. I THINK THAT, IN THE LONG-TERM, THE MATCH IS AN
- 11 APPROPRIATE APPROACH BUT I WOULD NOT WANT TO SAY THAT THIS IS
- 12 CONTINGENT ON A MATCH. I'D RATHER SAY THAT WE WOULD SEEK TO
- 13 HAVE A PARTICIPATION JUST LIKE THEY CAME TO US AND WANTED US
- 14 TO GIVE THE MILLION, WE WOULD SEEK TO DO THAT BUT THAT, IN NO
- 15 EVENT, SHOULD THIS BE SOMETHING THAT IS CONTINGENT ON WHETHER
- 16 OR NOT THEY TAKE ON THEIR RESPONSIBILITY. YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES
- 17 WE CAN'T JUST SAY, OKAY, I'M GOING TO TAKE ON MY
- 18 RESPONSIBILITY IF YOU TAKE ON YOUR RESPONSIBILITY. THERE ARE
- 19 PEOPLE WHO ARE IRRESPONSIBLE BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THOSE
- 20 WHO WERE SUFFERING MUST SUFFER BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME WHO ARE
- 21 IRRESPONSIBLE. NOW, IF THE CITY WANTS TO TAKE AN IRRESPONSIBLE
- 22 POSITION HERE, I THINK WE SHOULD BE VERY CRITICAL OF THEM AND
- 23 WE SHOULD CONTACT THEM AND SAY, ARE YOU GOING TO STEP UP TO
- 24 YOUR RESPONSIBILITY? BUT I WOULD NOT WANT TO SEE PEOPLE
- 25 SUFFERING AS A RESULT OR TO SEE AN IMPORTANT FACILITY CLOSED



- 1 OR CERTAINLY MINIMIZED AS WE SIT HERE AND TRY TO GET OTHERS TO
- 2 TAKE ON THE RESPONSIBILITY.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I THINK WE SHOULD TRY AND I THINK...

5

6 SUP. BURKE: I WOULDN'T WANT IT CONTINGENT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND SO THE ODDS ARE THEY'RE
- 9 GOING TO JUST SAY NO AND...

10

11 SUP. BURKE: AND THEY MAY SAY NO.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT'S OKAY, THAT'S OKAY.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: YEAH, THE NEXT TIME, WE KNOW HOW WE WOULD REACT
- 16 WHEN THEY ASK US TO HELP.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE ARE MANY CITIES IN THIS COUNTY THAT DO
- 19 STEP UP AND ONE OF THEM IN PARTICULAR DO FAIRLY WELL IN MY
- 20 DISTRICT AND WE'VE HAD A GREAT PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CITY OF
- 21 SANTA MONICA. THEY TAKE A LOT OF HEAT FOR IT, BUT THERE'S A
- 22 PARTNERSHIP AND IT INVOLVES BOTH POLICY, SERVICE DELIVERY AND
- 23 FUNDS AND CAPITAL AND THE MOTION I WANT TO INTRODUCE, AS SOON
- 24 AS WE'RE DONE WITH THIS DISCUSSION, IS ANOTHER SUCH EXAMPLE.



- 1 BUT, ANYWAY, I THINK WE OUGHT TO-- IT OUGHT TO BE A TWO-WAY
- 2 STREET SO I AGREE WITH THAT.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: WHY DON'T WE DO THAT, YVONNE? WHY DON'T WE JUST
- 5 PUT IN THE MONEY, HALF OF IT UNTIL SEPTEMBER, AND BEGIN THESE
- 6 DISCUSSIONS. I GOT TO TELL YOU, I'M EQUALLY AS FRUSTRATED WITH
- 7 THE MAYOR'S OFFICE IN TRYING TO GET A HANDLE AS TO EXACTLY
- 8 WHAT THEY'RE DOING. THEY NEVER RESPONDED TO ME ON THE WEINGART
- 9 BEDS. NEVER, EVER, EVER. I MEAN, OTHER THAN WRITING A TELEGRAM
- 10 TO THEM TO LET THEM KNOW, THEY KEEP TELLING ME THEY'RE GOING
- 11 TO ADDRESS IT. I ASKED JAN PERRY AS WELL, WHO IS THE
- 12 COUNCILWOMAN IN THAT AREA, AS TO WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO. I
- 13 WANT TO SAVE THEM. I'M AS INTERESTED AS YOU ARE IN WEINGART
- 14 BUT I REALLY DO THINK THE CITY OWES US AT LEAST A RESPONSE.
- 15 "NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO FUND IT." THEY JUST WOULD NEVER SAY
- 16 ANYTHING. AND I DON'T THINK IT WAS APPROPRIATE. I'M JUST
- 17 SAYING DO IT UNTIL SEPTEMBER, PUT THE 450 IN NOW, SEE IF
- 18 THEY'LL MATCH US AND, IF THEY DON'T, I MEAN, IT'S NOT FAIR FOR
- 19 US TO SAY WE'LL HAVE TO DO IT BUT I JUST REALLY THINK IT HAS
- 20 TO BE PUT ON THE TABLE FOR THEM.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, LET ME TELL YOU, AS OF THIS
- 23 MORNING, WEINGART HAS NOT RECEIVED ANY FUNDING FROM THE CITY.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WE UNDERSTAND THAT. THEY'VE GOTTEN NO RESPONSE,
- 2 EITHER. I THINK THEY WOULD BE AS HAPPY AS WE ARE IF WE FORCE
- 3 THEM TO RESPOND.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT WE'RE CAUGHT UP IN A COUPLE OF
- 6 THINGS. THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO DON'T WANT WEINGART TO BE THERE,
- 7 BECAUSE THEY DON'T-- WELL, I THINK THAT I'VE BEEN CONTACTED BY
- 8 PEOPLE WHO SAY, WE WANT NO MORE SERVICES IN SKID ROW, SO THE
- 9 QUESTION...

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: WE WANT NO MORE ADDITIONAL SHELTER BEDS ADDED. I
- 12 HAVE BEEN STRAIGHT UP FRONT WITH THE MAYOR ABOUT THAT. THIS IS
- 13 NOT IN ADDITION TO. THIS IS JUST MAINTAINING THE STATUS QUO.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT. BUT I'M NOT SO SURE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO
- 16 EVEN WANT THE STATUS QUO MAINTAINED.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE. THERE'S NO REASON WHY
- 19 YOU STILL CAN'T CHALLENGE THEM FOR THE MONEY.

- 21 SUP. BURKE: BUT I THINK THAT I WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO
- 22 ACCEPT THE IDEA THAT YOU WOULD CONTACT THE CITY AND ATTEMPT TO
- 23 GET THEM TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE BUT THAT THIS SHOULD NOT BE
- 24 CONTINGENT, WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD WITH PROVIDING PART OF IT



- 1 IMMEDIATELY AND THEN THE REMAINDER AT SUCH TIME THAT WE HAVE
- 2 DECIDED-- HAVE...

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND IF THEY DON'T, WE MOVE FORWARD
- 5 WITHOUT LEAVING THOSE PEOPLE WITHOUT SHELTER.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WHEN THEY CALL US, THEY'RE
- 8 GOING TO CALL US AND ASK US TO PARTICIPATE AGAIN, TOO, AND I
- 9 THINK IT SHOULD BE MADE VERY CLEAR THAT THIS IS A TWO-WAY
- 10 STREET. SO, YOU KNOW, DON'T CALL US AGAIN ON SHELTER BEDS IF
- 11 YOU'RE NOT WILLING TO HELP US ON SOME OF THESE OTHER THINGS.

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, COULD I...

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR-- MR. JANSSEN.

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FREUDIAN SLIP. [LAUGHTER] THIS IS VERY
- 18 FRUSTRATING BECAUSE, FOR MANY REASONS, BUT ONE IS WE DON'T
- 19 KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE FUNDING OF THE WEINGART CENTER. THERE
- 20 ISN'T ANYBODY IN THIS ROOM, INCLUDING MY STAFF, THAT KNOWS HOW
- 21 BIG THEIR BUDGET IS, WHERE THE MONEY COMES FROM, WHAT THEY
- 22 SPEND IT ON. WE JUST KNOW THEY'VE ASKED US FOR \$900,000. THE
- 23 CITY MAY BE FULLY FUNDING THE WEINGART BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO
- 24 PUT IN ANY MORE. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. SO
- 25 I THINK THE IDEA OF APPROPRIATING 450,000 AND DEFER THE REST



- 1 UNTIL WE ACTUALLY HAVE INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON AT
- 2 THE WEINGART CENTER WAS A GOOD IDEA. I'M NOT SURE WHOSE IT WAS
- 3 BUT THAT'S WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AND THEN WE FIND OUT EXACTLY
- 4 WHAT THE SITUATION IS. THE CITY MAY VERY WELL BE PUTTING MONEY
- 5 INTO WEINGART. WE DON'T KNOW.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, FROM THEIR COMMUNICATION WITH
- 8 US, THEY'RE NOT AND, IF THEY WERE IN THAT COMMUNICATION THAT
- 9 LARI WILL HAVE WITH THE CITY, THEY WILL ANSWER THAT WE ALREADY
- 10 PUT THE MONEY IN, SO IT'S NOT NEEDED OR WE HAVE ALREADY PUT IN
- 11 \$450,000, YOU NEED TO PUT IN 450,000. BUT WHAT WE OUGHT TO DO
- 12 IS MOVE FORWARD WITH THE MOTION WITH LARI HAVING THAT
- 13 AUTHORITY TO MAKE THOSE INQUIRIES BUT OUR FAILURE TO ACT, IF
- 14 THEY HAVE NOT, MEANS 150 OF THEIR EMPLOYEES BEING TURNED OUT
- 15 WITH 500 BEDS BEING REMOVED FROM THE CURRENT HOUSING SUPPLY AT
- 16 WEINGART.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: AND THIS ISN'T NEW. THEY'VE BEEN CONTACTING US
- 19 ABOUT THIS FOR SIX MONTHS.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY'VE BEEN CONTACTING US EVER SINCE WE SET
- 22 ASIDE \$120 MILLION.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: IT WAS BEFORE THEN. IT WAS BEFORE THAT TIME.



1 SUP. MOLINA: BUT EVEN THEN, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO ANSWER...

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE: IT WAS BEFORE THAT TIME THAT THEY FIRST STARTED
- 4 MAKING IT CLEAR WHAT THE SITUATION WAS.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OTHER MOTIONS THAT PEOPLE...

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I DO.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT'S THE MOTION GOING TO BE, THEN, ON
- 13 THE WEINGART? IS IT GOING TO BE 450 THROUGH SEPTEMBER?

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WEINGART WOULD BE TO PROVIDE THE
- 16 FUNDING AND SEE IF THE CITY WOULD PROVIDE HALF OF IT AND, IF
- 17 NOT, WE WOULD PROVIDE THAT 900.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IS IT 450 UNTIL SEPTEMBER? IS THAT-- IS
- 20 THAT THE SIX-MONTH...

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT WASN'T WHAT HE SAID. THAT'S NOT WHAT I
- 23 HEARD HIM SAY.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: WELL, SHE'S GOING TO HAVE A CHANCE TO TALK TO THE
- 3 CITY, TALK TO WEINGART TO FIND OUT WHAT THE SITUATION IS, TO
- 4 TALK TO THE CITY AND SEE IF THEY WILL COME FORWARD AND WHAT
- 5 THEIR REASONING IS AND WHY DON'T WE HAVE A REPORT BACK IN 30
- 6 DAYS ON THIS?

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND APPROPRIATE THE FULL 900,000?

9

10 SUP. BURKE: WE WOULD APPROPRIATE 450 AND THEN...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T YOU APPROPRIATE 450 AND SET ASIDE
- 13 THE OTHER 450.

14

15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COME BACK IN 30 DAYS, NOT SEPTEMBER.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: WELL, PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT IF THE C.A.O. DOESN'T
- 18 KNOW HOW BIG THEIR BUDGET IS AND SOME OTHER ISSUES, I THINK IT
- 19 WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: WE WOULD APPROPRIATE THE NINE, BUT WE WOULD...

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DO THE 9 AND HAVE LARI DO THE
- 24 DISCUSSIONS WITH THE CITY AND L.A.H.S.A. BUT TO LEAVE THESE



- 1 PEOPLE VULNERABLE IF THE CITY DOES NOT COME FORWARD WOULD BE
- 2 IRRESPONSIBLE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT LEAVING THEM
- 5 VULNERABLE BUT I THINK YOU WANT TO CREATE SOME KIND OF
- 6 INCENTIVE FOR THE CITY TO PARTICIPATE AND, IF YOU SAY TODAY
- 7 THAT IF THE CITY DOESN'T PARTICIPATE, WE'LL DO IT ALL, THEN
- 8 YOU KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER FROM THE CITY IS GOING TO BE. THEY'VE
- 9 GOT OTHER PRIORITIES.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WELL, COME BACK IN 30 DAYS WITH THE
- 12 RESPONSE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT'S JUST-- I WILL MOVE AS A SUBSTITUTE
- 15 THAT WE APPROPRIATE 450,000-- NOT A SUBSTITUTE. AMEND YOUR
- 16 MOTION TO SAY THAT WE'LL APPROPRIATE 450,000 NOW, SET ASIDE
- 17 THE OTHER 450,000 IN A P.F.U. FOR THIS PURPOSE AND, IN THE
- 18 MEANTIME, DIRECT THE STAFF TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE CITY, OKAY?
- 19 SO THE MONEY IS THERE IF WE CHOOSE TO USE IT.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THAT'S FINE.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU ACCEPT THAT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AS AMENDED, SO ORDERED ON THAT WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. I'LL TRY TO NOT READ THE WHOLE
- 5 THING. THIS DEALS WITH THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA, WHICH FUNDS A
- 6 CONTINUUM OF CARE WHICH PROVIDES AN EXTENSIVE RANGE OF
- 7 SUCCESSFUL HOMELESS SERVICES. THE CITY HAS PROPOSED A PILOT
- 8 HOMELESS COMMUNITY COURT WHICH WOULD ADD AN ADDITIONAL TOOL TO
- 9 THE CONTINUUM BY SERVING CHRONICALLY HOMELESS CLIENTS WHOSE
- 10 ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD TOWARD SELF-SUFFICIENCY IS HAMPERED BY
- 11 OUTSTANDING LEGAL VIOLATIONS, TICKETS AND WARRANTS. THE COURT
- 12 WOULD ALSO SERVE AS A MECHANISM TO COMPEL INDIVIDUALS TO SEEK
- 13 SERVICES AND WOULD HELP LESSEN THE REVOLVING DOOR IMPACT ON
- 14 THE COURT SYSTEM OF PERSONS CITED FOR REPEATED QUALITY OF LIFE
- 15 VIOLATIONS. THE TOTAL ESTIMATED COUNTY COST FOR ONE YEAR, HALF
- 16 DAY PILOT FOR A HOMELESS COURT IN SANTA MONICA WOULD BE
- 17 \$476,237, APPROXIMATELY \$42,000 IN COURT COSTS AND 434,000 FOR
- 18 STABILIZATION SERVICES WHICH WOULD SUPPORT THE GOALS OF THE
- 19 COURT. THESE COSTS WOULD BE HIGHLY LEVERAGED WITH 1.96 MILLION
- 20 DOLLARS IN SERVICES IN PERMANENT HOUSING FUNDED THROUGH THE
- 21 FOLLOWING CITY OF SANTA MONICA PROGRAMS: HOUSING FOR PERSONS
- 22 WHO ARE HOMELESS AND ADDICTED TO ALCOHOL, THE SANTA MONICA
- 23 HOUSING AUTHORITY'S RENTAL SUBSIDY PROGRAM FOR CHRONICALLY
- 24 HOMELESS SENIORS, AND THE CHRONIC HOMELESS PROGRAM TEAM. I
- 25 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. TO, NUMBER



- 1 ONE, COLLABORATE WITH THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA TO ESTABLISH A
- 2 PILOT HOMELESS COMMUNITY COURT FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS
- 3 PERSONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006/'07. NUMBER 2, FUND A PILOT HALF
- 4 DAY HOMELESS COMMUNITY COURT TO BE HELD ONCE PER MONTH FOR AN
- 5 INITIAL YEAR WITH A NEW COUNTY HOMELESS PREVENTION INITIATIVE
- 6 FUNDING NOT TO EXCEED \$500,000. REPORT BACK IN 90 DAYS ON THE
- 7 PROGRESS OF ESTABLISHING THIS HOMELESS COMMUNITY COURT AND,
- 8 FOUR, MONITOR AND REPORT BACK AT THE END OF THE INITIAL YEAR
- 9 OF THE OUTCOMES OF THIS PILOT PROJECT, INCLUDING ANY REDUCTION
- 10 IN CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS IN THE SANTA MONICA AREA AND THE
- 11 POTENTIAL FOR REPLICATING THE HOMELESS COMMUNITY COURT MODEL.
- 12 THAT'S MY MOTION.
- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION. ANY DISCUSSION?
- 16 SUP. BURKE: ISN'T THE HOMELESS COURT PART OF OUR PROGRAM...
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION-- SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 21 **SUP. BURKE: ...**OF THE GRETA PROGRAM?
- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AS PART OF OUR STRATEGY. NOW, DID YOU ACT ON
- 24 THE EARLIER MOTIONS, MR. MAYOR, ALL OF THEM? SACHI? YES?

25

13

15

17

20



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, HE ACTED ON HIS BUT I DON'T THINK ON... 2 3 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T THINK WE'VE ACTED ON THE OTHERS. 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON MOLINA'S AND THIS ONE, NOT YET. 6 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T THINK SO, EITHER. 8 9 SUP. MOLINA: DON HAS A MOTION. 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DON, YOUR MOTION? 11 12 13 SUP. KNABE: I ALREADY PUT MY MOTION ON THE TABLE. 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SECOND DON'S MOTION, WITHOUT 15 16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 17 18 SUP. BURKE: I HAD ONE. 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND SUPERVISOR BURKE'S MOTION. 20 21 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 22 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND MINE. 24

SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YEAH, WE DID YOURS.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE DID YOURS. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S APPROVED. OKAY. 4 5 SUP. BURKE: WE DIDN'T ACT ON IT, I DON'T THINK. 6 7 8 SUP. MOLINA: AND I DID ONE WITH YVONNE. 9 SUP. BURKE: THAT ONE WASN'T ACTED ON. 10 11 SUP. KNABE: MY MOTION WAS... 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THEY'RE ALL APPROVED. 14 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM. 17 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND THE TOTAL-- LET ME JUST SAY THAT IT'S \$5.9 19 MILLION WAS THE SUM OF THE MOTIONS OUT OF THE 80 MILLION, WITH 450,000 IN P.F.U. ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 6. ITEM NUMBER 6 IS 20 21 THE CHANGE LETTER TO THE PROPOSED BUDGET AND THE BUDGET, IN 22 TOTAL, WENT DOWN IN PROPOSED FROM PREVIOUS YEAR. IT NOW, AS 23 ONLY IT CAN IN COUNTY BUDGET LAND, IS GOING UP, SO IT IS ABOUT \$154 MILLION HIGHER THAN THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET FOR A TOTAL 24 OF 20 BILLION, 34 MILLION DOLLARS, WITH AN INCREASE IN STAFF 25



- 1 AS WELL. FROM AN OVERALL PICTURE, HOWEVER, WE, IN THE PROPOSED
- 2 BUDGET, ALLOCATED OR PROPOSED TO ALLOCATE \$557 MILLION OF
- 3 GENERAL FUND. THAT IS NOT BEING INCREASED BY ANY OF THESE
- 4 TRANSACTIONS IN ITEM 6. ALL OF THE TRANSACTIONS EITHER DEAL
- 5 WITH SPECIAL FUND REVENUE OR MOVING MONEY FROM ONE ACCOUNT TO
- 6 ANOTHER, SO THERE IS NO INCREASE IN GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURE,
- 7 NO INCREASE IN REVENUES ASSUMED IN THE CHANGE LETTER. THE
- 8 PRIMARY INCREASES IN POSITIONS, SOCIAL SERVICES, SECOND YEAR
- 9 OF THE STATE MEDI-CAL STAFFING ADJUSTMENT, WHICH IS VERY GOOD
- 10 NEWS FOR US, THEY'RE ADDING 334 POSITIONS. MENTAL HEALTH,
- 11 WE'RE BRINGING IN MHSA INTO THE BUDGET. HEALTH SERVICES
- 12 DEPARTMENT AND I-- ONE OF OUR LATER ITEMS, ITEM 17 ON HEALTH
- 13 SERVICES ADMINISTRATION WE'LL WANT TO PULL FORWARD, I THINK,
- 14 AS PART OF THIS DISCUSSION AND HAVE THAT DISCUSSION ABOUT
- 15 WHERE THOSE ARE BEING ALLOCATED. CARRYOVER, 172 MILLION.
- 16 CAPITAL PROJECTS IS 64 MILLION OF THAT. WE ARE FUNDING THE
- 17 AFTER SCHOOL ENRICHMENT PROGRAM OF 6.5 MILLION. MENTAL HEALTH
- 18 STRUCTURAL DEFICIT, WE'RE RECOMMENDING 15.2 MILLION DOLLARS
- 19 WORTH OF BRIDGE FUNDING IN THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT AND A
- 20 NUMBER OF THE ITEMS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE CHANGE LETTER ARE
- 21 RESPONSIVE TO BOARD DIRECTION, AS INDICATED IN THE LATER
- 22 REPORTS ON THE AGENDA TODAY. PROBATION DEPARTMENT, WE'RE
- 23 SETTING ASIDE \$2 MILLION WORTH OF ONGOING, \$8 MILLION OF ONE
- 24 TIME. WE NEED TO COME BACK WHEN WE HAVE BETTER INFORMATION ON
- 25 PROBATION. H.I.V./A.I.D.S., COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT, WE'RE



- 1 SETTING ASIDE 2.2 MILLION IN PFU FOR THE SHORTFALL OF THE
- 2 KNOWN SHORTFALL THAT EXISTS IN A.I.D.S. PROGRAM. WE'RE ADDING
- 3 NUISANCE ABATEMENT TEAMS AND COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT TEAMS TO
- 4 THE BUDGET. \$1.2 MILLION IN FUNDING INCREASES FOR THE
- 5 UNINCORPORATED ARE, VARIOUS ITEMS AND POSITIONS. ANIMAL
- 6 CONTROL, ONE OF THE BOARD MOTIONS WAS TO TAKE A LOOK AT ADDING
- 7 20 ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS, THAT'S INCLUDED IN THE CHANGE
- 8 LETTER. WE'RE ALSO INCLUDING A MILLION DOLLARS FOR
- 9 IMPLEMENTATION OF A COUNTY CHANNEL. THAT BOARD LETTER SHOULD
- 10 BE BEFORE YOUR BOARD WITHIN THE FIRST TWO MEETINGS OF JULY FOR
- 11 A POLICY DECISION ABOUT HOW BEST TO DEVELOP OR WHETHER TO
- 12 DEVELOP A COUNTY CHANNEL. HOMELAND SECURITY GRANTS ARE PART OF
- 13 THE MONEY THAT'S OFFSET. WE'RE FUNDING THE PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 14 SERVICES, THE PASC, BACKUP PROGRAM FOR I.H.S.S., ALLOW THEM TO
- 15 DEVELOP A RELIEF LIST OF PROVIDERS. WELFARE INVESTIGATION,
- 16 INCREASING BY SIX INVESTIGATORS IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND
- 17 \$1.1 MILLION WELFARE FRAUD. VETERINARIANS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 18 ANIMAL CONTROL, THIS WAS A BOARD REFERRAL AS WELL, WE'RE
- 19 ADDING HALF A MILLION DOLLARS, THREE VETERINARIAN POSITIONS,
- 20 AS WELL AS DEALING WITH THE SHORTAGE IN OUR INABILITY TO HIRE
- 21 VETERINARIANS. PUBLIC WORKS ROAD FUND, ADDITIONAL FINANCING OF
- 22 53.4 MILLION DOLLARS, 28 FOR INTERSTATE 5, HASLEY CANYON ROAD
- 23 AND PROP 42 FUNDS, ADDITIONAL 25 MILLION OUT OF THE MAY REVISE
- 24 FROM THE GOVERNOR AND THE RETURN OF PROP 142 FUNDS TO LOCAL
- 25 GOVERNMENT. THOSE ARE THE-- THOSE ARE THE MAIN ITEMS. AS I



- 1 INDICATED, WE SET ASIDE \$32 MILLION IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET OF
- 2 GENERAL FUND REVENUES, THIS UNALLOCATED, THE CHANGE LETTER
- 3 SPENDS ALL BUT 5.7 MILLION OF THOSE DOLLARS, SO THERE'S STILL
- 4 5.7 MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF GENERAL FUND THAT'S UNALLOCATED.
- 5 LET ME STOP THERE AND ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOUR BUDGET PROPOSES AN INCREASE OF
- 8 \$391,000 FOR THE 2-1-1 INFOLINE. ARE THERE ANY PLANS TO
- 9 INCLUDE TRANSFER CAPABILITIES SO THAT, WHEN SOMEBODY CALLS THE
- 10 NUMBER, THEY DON'T JUST GET A NUMBER, BUT THEY CAN BE
- 11 TRANSFERRED TO THAT...

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. WE'VE ALREADY TALKED TO THEM ABOUT THAT
- 14 AND WE'LL REPORT BACK ON WHAT IT TAKES TO DO THAT. BUT WE
- 15 RECOGNIZE THAT THAT IS A REAL PROBLEM, THAT PEOPLE CALL IN AND
- 16 THEY SIMPLY GET A PHONE NUMBER INSTEAD OF BEING TRANSFERRED.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT, BUT THAT'S NOT A IMPOSSIBILITY,
- 19 IS IT?

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DO NOT BELIEVE IT'S AN IMPOSSIBILITY.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ALSO, ONE ITEM, YOU HAVE AN ADDITIONAL
- 24 INCREASE OF 176,000 DOLLARS TO BRING THE MALL GARAGE AND MODE
- 25 OF CONTRACT IN-HOUSE. WHAT'S THE RATIONALE BEHIND THAT?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK I'D ASK MR. LAMBERTSON, DIRECTOR OF
- 3 INTERNAL SERVICES, TO ANSWER THAT. HE'S COMING UP TO DO THAT.

- 5 DAVID LAMBERTSON: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS
- 6 DAVE LAMBERTSON. I'M THE DIRECTOR OF INTERNAL SERVICES
- 7 DEPARTMENT. WE DO ABOUT \$5 MILLION IN AUTOMOTIVE CONTRACTING
- 8 SYSTEM-WIDE. I THOUGHT IN-SOURCING THE HALL WAS A GOOD IDEA
- 9 FOR SEVERAL REASONS. ONE, THE HALL OF ADMIN IS A HIGH SECURITY
- 10 BUILDING AND I HAVE SECURITY-RELATED ISSUES. IN THE AREA OF
- 11 BOTH CUSTODIAL AND PARKING, WE USE COUNTY STAFF RATHER THAN
- 12 CONTRACTING STAFF, AND THAT IS SO I DON'T HAVE TO CHANGE
- 13 WORKERS OVER WHENEVER WE CHANGE CONTRACTS OUT. THAT HAPPENS
- 14 EVERY FIVE YEARS SO, FOR THE DOLLAR DIFFERENCE, WHICH IS
- 15 NICKEL ON THE DOLLARS GIVEN THE SIZE OF THE CONTRACT, THAT
- 16 MADE SENSE FROM A CONTINUITY PERSPECTIVE AS WELL AS FOR
- 17 SECURITY PERSPECTIVE. IN ADDITION, I BELIEVE IT'S VALUABLE
- 18 THAT I RETAIN SOME LEVEL OF EXPERTISE WITH COUNTY STAFF IN
- 19 SOME OF THE SERVICE AREAS THAT I PROVIDE. UNLIKE OTHER
- 20 DEPARTMENTS WHO MAY CONTRACT CUSTODIAL OR CONTRACT SECURITY OR
- 21 PARKING AS AN ANCILLARY PART OF THEIR MISSION, THEIR MAIN
- 22 MISSION FUNCTIONS FROM MY DEPARTMENT AND I THINK IT'S WISE
- 23 THAT I RETAIN SOME COUNTY IN-HOUSE EXPERTISE IN THOSE
- 24 FUNCTIONS. SO BASICALLY THAT'S THE RATIONALE FOR BRINGING THE
- 25 SEVEN STAFF IN.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: QUESTIONS? THANK YOU. DAVID, THE
- 3 BUDGET INCLUDES A \$2.2 MILLION COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT ON
- 4 THE A.I.D.S. PROGRAM, OFFICE OF A.I.D.S. IS THAT FUNDING TO
- 5 BACKFILL CUTS FROM FEDERAL OR IS THAT AMOUNT SOLELY THE COST
- 6 OF LIVING INCREASES?

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHAT WAS THE REST OF THAT?

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OFFICE OF A.I.D.S.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE PUTTING IT IN A P.F.U. AT THIS POINT.
- 13 WE'RE NOT READY TO ALLOCATE IT TO THE DEPARTMENT UNTIL THE
- 14 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS COMPLETED ITS WORK ON ITS BUDGET, SO IT
- 15 IS A PART TO DEAL WITH, A SHORTFALL OF POSSIBLE RYAN WHITE.
- 16 THE OTHER IS THE COUNTY IS NOT INCREASED A COLA TO ITS OWN
- 17 EXPENDITURES FOR MANY, MANY YEARS IN THIS AREA AND IT WOULD
- 18 FAR EXCEED THE \$2.2 MILLION WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, I THINK, IF
- 19 WE WERE TO HAVE GIVEN COLA, SO YOU CAN LOOK AT IT EITHER WAY.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW MUCH WERE WE OVERMATCHED ABOVE THE
- 22 M.O.E. FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES IN 2005/'06?

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THE PURE GENERAL FUND IS ABOUT \$172
- 25 MILLION. THE M.O.U.-- M.O.E., I THINK, IS 159. TOTAL GENERAL



- 1 FUND, INCLUDING REALIGNMENT, I THINK, IS CLOSER TO SIX OR \$700
- 2 MILLION.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHAT'S THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE GENERAL
- 5 FUND IN THE D.H.S. BUDGET AND HOW MUCH OF AN INCREASE IS THAT
- 6 OVER THE PREVIOUS YEAR?

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE INCREASE, YEAR TO YEAR, IS 47 MILLION,
- 9 ALLOCATED AS ONE-TIME FOR THE MANAGED CARE RATE INCREASE IN
- 10 '05/'06 AND THEN AN ONGOING \$47 MILLION NEXT YEAR. SO 125
- 11 MILLION THIS YEAR PLUS 47 MILLION NEXT YEAR.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH IS PREDICTING
- 14 THEIR DEFICIT WILL BE BETWEEN 118 MILLION TO \$483 MILLION IN
- 15 LESS THAN TWO YEARS. THE BOARD ADDED 828 NEW POSITIONS TO THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT EARLIER THIS YEAR AND YOU'RE RECOMMENDING AN
- 17 ADDITIONAL 288 POSITIONS IN THE FINAL BUDGET. DOES IT MAKE
- 18 SENSE TO ADD 1,116 NEW POSITIONS TO A BUDGET THAT HAS SUCH A
- 19 DEFICIT FACING IT?

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: INTELLECTUALLY, NO, IT DOESN'T, BUT, AS LONG
- 22 AS WE HAVE 5 HOSPITALS THAT WE HAVE TO OPERATE, WE HAVE TO
- 23 OPERATE THEM AND WE CAN'T, UNFORTUNATELY, DECIDE TO PHASE OUT
- 24 ANY PARTICULAR HOSPITAL WITHOUT BEILENSON HEARINGS AND
- 25 EVERYTHING ELSE. A LOT OF THOSE POSITIONS ARE SIMPLY



- 1 NURSE/STAFF RATIO, STATE LAW THAT REQUIRES US TO HIRE
- 2 ADDITIONAL NURSES. OF THE 287 IN THE CHANGE LETTER, ALMOST 200
- 3 OF THEM HAVE TO DO WITH NURSING AND CLINIC CARE. WE HAVE TO
- 4 MAINTAIN THE QUALITY IN THE INSTITUTIONS AND IT MAKES NO SENSE
- 5 WHATSOEVER, I ABSOLUTELY AGREE, BUT, UNTIL WE CAN ACTUALLY
- 6 CLOSE THE FACILITY, WE HAVE TO MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF CARE.

8 SUP. KNABE: WHAT ABOUT THE COST NEUTRALITY? I MEAN, YOU'RE

- 9 GOING TO REDUCE, THEN, THE AMOUNT SPENT ON REGISTRIES, IS THAT
- 10 CORRECT?

7

11

15

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. IN TERMS OF THE NURSES, IT ALMOST PAYS

- 13 FOR ITSELF, RIGHT. I THINK IT'S MAYBE 500,000, SO THAT IS A
- 14 VERY GOOD INVESTMENT.
- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ARE ANY OF THE NEW POSITIONS PART OF
- 17 THE REENGINEERING PLAN AND ARE ANY OF THE POSITIONS PART OF
- 18 THE PLAN TO RIGHT SIZE AND HOW WILL THESE POSITIONS RIGHT
- 19 SIZE?
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE ANSWER IS YES. I'M JUST THINKING, I THINK
- 22 I WOULD PREFER TO HAVE BRUCE ANSWER THAT TO GIVE YOU A BETTER
- 23 RESPONSE.

24



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. CHERNOF? ANY OF THE NEW POSITIONS,
- 2 ARE THEY PART OF THE REENGINEERING PLAN AND ARE ANY OF THE
- 3 POSITIONS PART OF THE PLAN TO RIGHT SIZE AND, IF SO, HOW WILL
- 4 THE POSITIONS RIGHT SIZE?

5

- 6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: GOOD MORNING, MAYOR, SUPERVISORS. THE
- 7 ANSWER IS, YES, MAYOR, THEY ARE PART OF OUR OVERALL PLAN. AS
- 8 YOU KNOW, THE NEW CHIEF NURSING OFFICER HAS BROUGHT FORWARD A
- 9 NURSING, A STRATEGIC PLAN WHICH HAS BEEN REVIEWED BY ALL OF
- 10 YOU AND YOUR STAFF. THE GOAL OF THIS IS TO RESTRUCTURE OUR
- 11 NURSING AND NURSING-RELATED SERVICES IN A WAY THAT IS MORE
- 12 EFFICIENT AND SAVES US MONEY, SO THE-- MR. JANSSEN IS CORRECT
- 13 THAT A VERY SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT, THE POSITIONS IN THE
- 14 CURRENT BUDGET WITH RESPECT TO NURSING, ARE TO BRING US TO
- 15 LEGALLY REQUIRED LEVELS OF STAFFING BUT THE CONVERSION OF
- 16 VARIOUS KINDS OF NURSING RESOURCES FROM CONTRACT TO COUNTY
- 17 HIRES ACTUALLY RESULTS IN A COST SAVINGS, AS WELL AS IMPROVING
- 18 THE QUALITY OF CARE IN OUR FACILITIES, BECAUSE THESE ARE
- 19 DIRECTLY EMPLOYED FOLKS.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON THIS ISSUE?

24

25 SUP. KNABE: ON THIS ISSUE, AS IT RELATES--



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: NO, MINE IS ON THE ONE FROM BEFORE, ON I.S.D. I
- 3 HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS -- ONE I.S.D. AND ONE ANOTHER ITEM.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE ONE ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE HERE.
- 6 PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES -- I MEAN, I FIND IT VERY HARD TO
- 7 BELIEVE THAT YOU ADD 1,100 POSITIONS AND IT HAS SOMETHING TO
- 8 DO WITH RIGHT-SIZING. YOU KNOW, I MEAN, THAT JUST DOESN'T MAKE
- 9 ANY SENSE BUT THE OTHER THING THAT CONCERNED ME WAS, OF THESE
- 10 288 POSITIONS IN THIS CHANGE LETTER, YOU OR ANY OF YOUR STAFF
- 11 EVER CAME TO US TO EITHER ADVOCATE FOR THOSE POSITIONS OR TALK
- 12 TO US ABOUT THOSE POSITIONS AND THEY JUST APPEARED IN THE
- 13 CHANGE LETTER AND OBVIOUSLY THAT'S OF A CONCERN TO US THAT
- 14 JUST, YOU KNOW, OUT OF THE BLUE, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 288
- 15 ADDITIONAL POSITIONS WITH SOME VERY SIGNIFICANT SHORTFALLS
- 16 THAT WE'RE FACING. AND I UNDERSTAND WHILE YOU'LL SAY NOW THAT
- 17 I'D NEVER, YOU KNOW, LET THOSE POSITIONS GO, I'D MAKE CUTS
- 18 SOMEPLACE ELSE AND, AT LEAST ON THE SURFACE, IT APPEARS WE'RE
- 19 GOING TO HAVE TO DO THAT UNLESS SOMETHING ELSE HAPPENS
- 20 DRAMATICALLY IN OUR FUNDING AND REVENUE SOURCES. SO, YOU KNOW,
- 21 CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY ALL OF A SUDDEN WE GET THESE 288 POSITIONS
- 22 IN A CHANGE LETTER FROM C.A.O. THAT THE DEPARTMENT ITSELF OR
- 23 YOU, AS DIRECTOR, DID NOT ADVOCATE FOR OR TALK TO ANY OF OUR
- 24 OFFICES ABOUT?



- 1 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: FIRST, SUPERVISOR, LET ME BEGIN WITH AN
- 2 APOLOGY BECAUSE ALL OF YOU DESERVE COMPLETE INFORMATION FROM
- 3 MY DEPARTMENT AND THAT IS MY GOAL. WITH RESPECT TO THESE
- 4 POSITIONS, THEY REALLY, OF THE 288 POSITIONS, 261 OF THEM FALL
- 5 INTO TWO CATEGORIES. ONE IS THE CONVERSION OF CONTRACT
- 6 C.N.A.S, CLINICAL NURSING ASSISTANTS, TO FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES
- 7 FROM REGISTRY, AND THE OTHER IS THE CONVERSION OF A
- 8 RESPIRATORY CARE SERVICES CONTRACT IN THE SAME FASHION. THE
- 9 RESPIRATORY CARE SERVICES CONTRACT INVOLVES 61 POSITIONS AND
- 10 IT ALONE WILL DELIVER A 500,000-DOLLAR SAVINGS. WITH RESPECT
- 11 TO THE NURSING ATTENDANTS, IT'S 200 POSITIONS AND, WITH THE
- 12 HELP OF THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE, WE'VE PREDICTED A VERY SMALL COST
- 13 SAVINGS UP FRONT BUT, AS WE GO THROUGH THE CONVERSION OF
- 14 HIRING THOSE POSITIONS, WE SEE THIS AS A SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT
- 15 OF THE MILLION-DOLLAR SAVINGS THAT WE PUT OUT IN OUR DEFICIT
- 16 MANAGEMENT PLAN AND PREVIOUS FORECAST. WITH RESPECT TO YOUR
- 17 QUESTION ABOUT THE DELAY, THESE CHANGES HAVE MOVED ALONG VERY
- 18 SWIFTLY. THERE WERE SOME CONTRACTUAL CHANGES WITH RESPECT TO
- 19 THE RESPIRATORY CARE SERVICES REGISTRY THAT NECESSITATED THIS
- 20 CHANGE AND, AS WE'VE STARTED TO IMPLEMENT THE NURSING
- 21 STRATEGIC PLAN RAPIDLY, WE FOUND A SIGNIFICANT NEED TO CONVERT
- 22 C.N.A.S AS PART OF THAT WORK. AGAIN, MY COMMITMENT TO YOU IS
- 23 TO COME TO YOU EARLY WITH THIS INFORMATION AND WE APOLOGIZE
- 24 FOR THE SHORT NOTICE.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ON ITEM 17, SUPERVISOR KNABE, IS A REPORT BACK
- 2 ON YOUR MOTION ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS. THERE ARE
- 3 105.4 ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS IN THE PROPOSED CHANGES COSTING
- 4 \$4,318,000. 42 OF THEM, OR HALF THE COST, IS CENTRALIZED
- 5 CONTRACT MONITORING AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE. 21 HAS TO DO WITH
- 6 CONSOLIDATING THE BUSINESS OFFICE, MEDI-CAL REDESIGN
- 7 UNDERTAKING, WHICH IS, OBVIOUSLY, VERY IMPORTANT FOR THE
- 8 FUTURE SUCCESS OF THE DEPARTMENT. 11 IN THE OFFICE OF CLINICAL
- 9 AFFAIRS, 11 CLINICAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, WHICH IS SOMETHING
- 10 THAT CAME OUT OF THE WAIVER. SO ITEM 17 DOES ATTEMPT TO
- 11 ADDRESS THE 105.4 POSITIONS, 4.3 MILLION...

12

13 SUP. KNABE: BUT THAT'S OUTSIDE OF THE 280?

14

15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, THE 280 IS ALMOST ALL NURSES.

16

17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ALL C.N.A.S. AND REGISTRY, BASICALLY.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THESE ARE THE-- ITEM 17 WAS TO
- 20 ADDRESS...

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: WELL, THE OVERALL ISSUE, WHICH I STILL HAVE SOME
- 23 ISSUES WITH AS IT RELATES TO THE HUNDRED POSITIONS, BUT...

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE: ON THIS, STILL, GOING BACK TO THE 280, AND I
- 3 REALIZE THAT THEY'RE ALL RELATED TO NURSING, BUT AS I ALSO
- 4 READ IT AND UNDERSTAND IT, IT'S ALL RELATED TO COUNTY U.S.C.
- 5 WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER HOSPITALS? 200 OF THOSE POSITIONS ARE
- 6 RELATED JUST TO COUNTY U.S.C. WHAT ABOUT, YOU KNOW, RANCHO,
- 7 OLIVE VIEW, HARBOR?

8

- 9 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, AT ALL OF OUR FACILITIES USING
- 10 THE NURSING STRATEGIC PLAN, WE ARE INCREASING THE NUMBER OF
- 11 C.N.A.S THAT ARE EMPLOYED AND DECREASING THE NUMBER OF C.N.A.S
- 12 THAT ARE ON CONTRACT. WE'RE DOING THAT PROPORTIONALLY.

13

14 SUP. KNABE: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

15

- 16 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE OTHER FACILITIES ACTUALLY HAVE, JUST
- 17 FOR HISTORICAL REASONS, MANY MORE C.N.A. ITEMS IN THEIR
- 18 BUDGET. U.S.C. HAS JUST RUN FOR MANY, MANY YEARS USING
- 19 REGISTRY ALONE AND DID NOT HAVE C.N.A. ITEMS IN THE BUDGET,
- 20 THUS THE NEED FOR THE ITEMS.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK ON THIS POINT, MR. JANSSEN,
- 23 BY ADDING MORE POSITIONS TO A DEPARTMENT THAT IS DRAWING A
- 24 DEFICIT, ISN'T THIS WHAT WE WERE ACCUSING THE SHERIFF OF DOING



- 1 WHEN HE WAS EXPANDING CONTRACTS WHEN HE WAS DRAWING IN
- 2 VACANCIES?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK, MR. MAYOR, I THINK THE PROBLEM-- OR
- 5 THE DIFFERENCE IN THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET IS A MATTER OF
- 6 COMPETITION BETWEEN CONTRACT, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND
- 7 UNINCORPORATED AREA RESPONSIBILITY AND THE FACT THAT THE
- 8 SHERIFF WAS FORCED TO RESPOND TO CONTRACTS FIRST BEFORE THE
- 9 PRIORITIES OF YOUR BOARD. IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT SITUATION,
- 10 IT REALLY IS, AS YOU VERY FIRST STATED, THE LOGIC OF ADDING
- 11 POSITIONS GENERALLY WHEN YOU'RE FORECASTING A DEFICIT IN THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT AND THAT IS NOT SOMETHING WE'VE DONE IN THE
- 13 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BECAUSE, FRANKLY, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO CUT
- 14 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WHEN WE NEEDED TO BECAUSE WE'RE OVER
- 15 A MAINTENANCE-OF-EFFORT. IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHEN WE
- 16 ATTEMPTED TO DO THAT, A FEDERAL COURT JUDGE STOPPED US. THAT
- 17 RESULTED IN A NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT ON RANCHO AND ON
- 18 L.A.C./U.S.C., SO I THINK THE SITUATIONS ARE VERY DIFFERENT.
- 19 THIS ONE IS MUCH MORE PROBLEMATIC, FRANKLY, THAN THE SHERIFF'S
- 20 SITUATION.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: YES. I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. ONE RELATES TO
- 25 I.S.D. AND THE ELIMINATION OF 14 POSITIONS IN CUSTODIAL AND



- 1 WE'VE TALKED-- I'D JUST LIKE TO FIND OUT WHERE THOSE CUSTODIAL
- 2 POSITIONS ARE, AND I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT THEY MIGHT BE IN
- 3 THE CORONER'S OFFICE OR SOME OF THOSE OTHER OFFICES WHICH I'VE
- 4 BEEN. WE HAD A LONG HEARING HERE IN TERMS OF HOW DIRTY THE
- 5 CORONER'S OFFICE WAS BUT I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THEY ARE. THEY
- 6 AREN'T IN THIS BUILDING, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, RIGHT?

7

- 8 DAVE LAMBERTSON: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS
- 9 DAVE LAMBERTSON, DIRECTOR OF INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT.
- 10 IT'S GOOD TO BE BACK. THEY ARE GENERAL VACANCIES, SUPERVISOR.
- 11 WE HAVE, FOR THE PAST 10 YEARS, CONTRACTED OUT CUSTODIAL
- 12 POSITIONS AS ATTRITION HAS OCCURRED. SO THEY'RE NOT ASSIGNED
- 13 TO ANY ONE PARTICULAR BUILDING. THEY WERE SPREAD THROUGHOUT
- 14 THE COUNTY. WE HAVE NOT CONTRACTED OUT CIVIC CENTER POSITIONS,
- 15 OF WHICH I HAVE 95 COUNTY CUSTODIANS.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I UNDERSTAND, WHEN WE HAD THE HEARING ON THE
- 18 CORONER, THEY SAID THAT THE CONTRACT PEOPLE CAN'T MAKE TWO
- 19 TRIPS AND, AS A RESULT, YOU HAVE AN ACCUMULATION OF PROBLEMS
- 20 THERE FROM MORNING TO EVENING.

21

- 22 DAVE LAMBERTSON: I'M NOT SURE I DO THE CORONER'S BUILDING.
- 23 I'LL HAVE TO DOUBLE CHECK ON THAT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'D LIKE TO HAVE A REPORT ON THIS AND TO PUT
- 2 THIS OVER TO SEPTEMBER UNTIL WE CAN GET A REPORT BACK OF
- 3 EXACTLY WHERE THESE POSITIONS ARE. I'M REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT
- 4 SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT HAVE COME UP ABOUT THE CONTRACTING OUT
- 5 AND REMOVING OF POSITIONS FROM THE STAFF OF JANITORS AND
- 6 CONTRACTING THEM OUT IN TERMS OF OUR QUALITY ASSURANCE, IN
- 7 TERMS OF THE PEOPLE THAT ARE HIRED AND WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES
- 8 ARE AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS. SO I'D LIKE TO HAVE A REPORT ON
- 9 THESE 14 POSITIONS. COULD WE HAVE THAT PUT OVER TO SEPTEMBER?

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE THE CORONER'S ON ITEM 16,

- 12 PERHAPS THAT COULD BE ANSWERED AT THAT TIME?
- 14 SUP. BURKE: PARDON ME? WELL, HE DOESN'T KNOW FOR SURE. THESE
- 15 ARE THE JANITORS. CUSTODIAL.
- 17 DAVE LAMBERTSON: IF YOU GIVE ME ONE SECOND, I THINK I CAN FIND
- 18 OUT IF I DO THE CORONER'S...
- 20 SUP. BURKE: OR EXACTLY WHERE THESE ARE, WHERE THESE 14
- 21 POSITIONS ARE.
- 23 DAVE LAMBERTSON: THEY'RE VACANT, SO THEY'RE NOT ANYWHERE.
- 24

22

10

13

16



- 1 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT, BUT I ASSUME THAT, WHEN YOU FILL THEM, YOU
- 2 FILL THEM WITH CONTRACT?

3

- 4 DAVE LAMBERTSON: YES. WHAT WE'VE DONE, JUST FOR BACKGROUND
- 5 PURPOSES, HISTORICALLY, AS WE'VE ACCUMULATED VACANCIES IN
- 6 CUSTODIAL OVER THE LAST DECADE, WE'VE-- THEY DON'T ALL OCCUR
- 7 IN ONE BUILDING, SO WE'VE AGGREGATED THE VACANCIES TO WHERE WE
- 8 GOT AN ORDINANCE OF MAGNITUDE WHERE WE GOT CONTRACT AT A
- 9 FACILITY AND THEN CONTRACTED OUT THAT FACILITY, REDEPLOYED THE
- 10 CUSTODIANS ELSEWHERE.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: I'D REALLY LIKE TO KEEP SOME OF THESE IN ABEYANCE
- 13 BECAUSE WE'RE JUST GETTING ALL OF THESE ISSUES RAISED IN TERMS
- 14 OF WHAT'S HAPPENING AS YOU CONTINUE TO ADD CONTRACT JANITORS
- 15 AND I'D JUST LIKE TO HOLD THIS IN ABEYANCE UNTIL SOME FUTURE
- 16 TIME.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PERHAPS HE HAS THE ANSWER TO YOUR
- 19 QUESTION.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: WELL, HE SAYS THEY'RE ALL IN DIFFERENT PLACES.

- 23 DAVE LAMBERTSON: THEY SAID THEY DON'T THINK WE DO IT. I DON'T
- 24 KNOW WHAT I PAY THEM FOR. THEY DON'T THINK WE DO IT BUT
- 25 THEY'LL DOUBLE-CHECK.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS OVER UNTIL SEPTEMBER
- 3 BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED ABOUT JUST THE OVERALL PRACTICE.

4

- 5 DAVE LAMBERTSON: THERE SHOULDN'T BE AN OPERATIONAL ISSUE
- 6 BECAUSE I HAVE SUFFICIENT CONTRACT AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE WHAT
- 7 I AM DOING ANYWAY.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: YEAH, AND WHAT'S CONTINUING RIGHT NOW, WHAT WE
- 10 HEARD FROM CORONER WAS THE CONTRACTORS CAN'T GET BACK AND, AS
- 11 A RESULT, WHEN YOU SAY, "WELL, WHY IS IT DIRTY? WHY DO YOU
- 12 HAVE ACCUMULATION OF THESE THINGS IN THE HALL?" THEY SAY,
- "WELL, THEY CAN'T MAKE TWO TRIPS" AND THEY COME IN IN THE
- 14 EVENING AND DO THE WORK. BUT IF YOU HAVE SOMEONE WHO IS THERE
- 15 ALL THE TIME, AND IT'S PROBABLY ONE OF THESE EMPTY STAFF
- 16 PEOPLE, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO COME IN AND TAKE CARE OF IT AS
- 17 THE DAY GOES ALONG, AND THESE ARE THE KIND OF CONCERNS THAT
- 18 SOME OF US HAVE.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE CONVERSION OF THE 14 POSITIONS IS A BOARD
- 21 POLICY DECISION AND IT CAN WAIT UNTIL SEPTEMBER. IT'S UP TO
- 22 THE BOARD AND IT'S UP TO THE BOARD.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO HAVE IT PUT OVER. AND I HAVE, NOW I
- 25 HAVE ANOTHER ISSUE. THAT'S ALL I HAVE ON YOURS.



DAVE LAMBERTSON: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE AN ISSUE, MR. JANSSEN.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: YOU KNOW, WE TALKED ABOUT THE UNFORTUNATE
- 9 SITUATION OF THAT THERE ARE SOME CONTRACTS WITH AGENCIES AND
- 10 ONE THAT I'M FAMILIAR WITH, WAS HERE BEFORE I GOT HERE AND
- 11 THAT WAS WITH THE SUGAR RAY ROBINSON AGENCY, IT'S IN YOUR
- 12 BUDGET. UNFORTUNATELY, WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT THEY HAVE
- 13 ADDITIONAL FUEL COSTS SO THE PROGRAM CONTINUES-- HAD TO BE
- 14 CUT. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT LOTS OF MONEY. WE'RE TALKING
- 15 ABOUT \$40,000. I DIDN'T WANT TO BRING A MOTION IN. WE TALKED
- 16 ABOUT THIS.

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.

- 20 SUP. BURKE: AND I-- YOU DID ALLOT A COST OF LIVING AND I WOULD
- 21 LIKE TO SEE SOME COST OF LIVING INCREASES TO MAKE UP FOR FUEL
- 22 COSTS SO WE DON'T HAVE A REDUCTION IN THESE SUMMER PROGRAMS
- 23 WHEN WE'RE ALLOCATING HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN
- 24 OTHER PLACES WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE JUST \$40,000 TO



- 1 MAKE UP FOR THE FUEL COSTS, AS A RESULT OF THIS YEAR WITH THIS
- 2 SUMMER PROGRAM. COULD YOU REPORT BACK ON THAT FOR US?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. THOSE-- I BELIEVE, SUPERVISOR, THOSE ARE
- 5 COMMUNITY CONTRACTS IN THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. THEY'RE NOT
- 6 ACTUALLY IN OUR DEPARTMENT BUT WE'LL REPORT BACK ON THE STATUS
- 7 OF THOSE...

8

9 SUP. BURKE: I THINK THIS ONE IS.

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. WE LOOKED AND IT ISN'T. IT'S PART OF...

12

13 SUP. BURKE: COULDN'T FIND IT? NO ONE'S BEEN ABLE TO FIND IT?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, NOT IN MY BUDGET. IT'S IN THE-- WELL, IT'S
- 16 A C.B.I., IT'S A COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATION CONTRACT THAT'S
- 17 LOCATED IN PROBATION? IN PROBATION.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: IT'S IN PROBATION. OKAY. WELL, I'LL BRING IT UP
- 20 THERE.

21

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, WE'LL TAKE-- NO, WE'LL REPORT BACK ON
- 23 THAT.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE.



1

2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON 6?

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I HAD TWO MOTIONS. ONE WAS THAT THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATIONAL TRAILS CREW, WHO IS
- 6 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE TRAILS MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR OUR COUNTY,
- 7 INCLUDING THE REMOVAL OF OVERGROWN VEGETATION, FALLEN TREES
- 8 AND TREE LIMBS, ILLEGAL DUMPING, ERODED, WASHED OUT TRAIL
- 9 SECTIONS AND FIRE ROADS AND PROPER MAINTENANCE IS ESSENTIAL TO
- 10 PREVENT HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS FOR HIKERS, BIKERS, EQUESTRIANS
- 11 BUT TO MAINTAIN ACCESS FOR FIRE PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT TO
- 12 EXTINGUISH BRUSH FIRES WHICH WE ARE CURRENTLY IN THE SEASON OF
- 13 AND I KNOW WE JUST HAD ONE THIS WEEKEND IN MY AREA. CURRENTLY,
- 14 THE TRAIL CREWS CONSIST OF 13 POSITIONS. EXPANDING THAT
- 15 SECTION WOULD ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT TO DEAL WITH EXISTING
- 16 ISSUES ON THE 144 MILES OF DEVELOPED COUNTY TRAILS AND DEVELOP
- 17 THE MORE THAN 200 MILES OF DEDICATED TRAILS. MY MOTION WOULD
- 18 DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO IDENTIFY FUNDS AND TO MEET THE NEED AND
- 19 REPORT BACK FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE SEPTEMBER 19TH BUDGET
- 20 HEARING FOR SEPTEMBER. SO THAT'S A MOTION FOR THAT REPORT TO
- 21 COME BACK ON SEPTEMBER 19TH. AND THE SECOND...

22

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, BEFORE YOU GO ON, I THINK YOU'VE
- 24 MOVED ON TO ITEM NUMBER 7.



SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, 6.

2

- 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REVISIONS, ADDITIONS,
- 4 CHANGES, TO THE BUDGET.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, WE HAVE IT AS 6 ON MY...

7

8 SUP. KNABE: I THINK IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE ON ITEM 7.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, WE CAN MOVE THAT FOR...

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, IT DOESN'T MATTER BUT I WOULD NORMALLY
- 13 EXPECT IT TO BE 7.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I'LL DELAY THAT FOR ITEM 7, THEN.

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEN WE NEED A MOTION ON ITEM 6.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON ITEM 6, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 20 MOVES AND I'LL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. NOW WE'RE ON 7.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM 7 WOULD BE THE MOTION ON THE
- 25 TRAILS THAT I WOULD INTRODUCE.



1

2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THEN THERE'S NO OBJECTIONS,
- 5 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 6 AND I HAVE A MOTION WE'D INTRODUCE REGARDING THE SHERIFF'S
- 7 DEPARTMENT'S LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS. THE DEPARTMENT'S
- 8 AUDIT HAS IDENTIFIED 58 SHERIFF DEPUTIES WHO ARE CURRENTLY
- 9 PERFORMING THE DUTIES OF LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS WHO WOULD
- 10 OTHERWISE BE DEPLOYED TO UNINCORPORATED PATROL FUNCTIONS.
- 11 USING THESE TECHNICIANS TO REPLACE THESE 58 DEPUTIES IS SOUND
- 12 FISCAL MANAGEMENT. IT WOULD REDUCE THE VACANCIES IN THE
- 13 UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND THE USE OF OVERTIME TO FILL BASIC
- 14 PATROLS. THE DEPARTMENT IS COMMITTED TO DEPLOYING THESE 58
- 15 SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES TO UNINCORPORATED PATROL FUNCTIONS AS THE
- 16 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS INDICATED. THE COUNTY'S C.A.O. HAS
- 17 ALREADY IDENTIFIED 2.2 MILLION FOR 40 OF THE 58 TECHNICIANS IN
- 18 THE FORTHCOMING BUDGET. WE DID LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE BOARD
- 19 APPROVE THE 2.2 MILLION DOLLAR ALLOCATION FROM THE
- 20 APPROPRIATION FOR CONTINGENCY ONGOING FUNDS FOR THE 40
- 21 ADDITIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS TO THE SHERIFF'S
- 22 DEPARTMENT, APPROVE ONE MILLION ALLOCATION FROM THE
- 23 NONDEPARTMENTAL SPECIAL ACCOUNTS FOR THE ADDITIONAL 18 L.E.T.S
- 24 TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND THE
- 25 DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO ASSIST AND EXPEDITE THE



- 1 SHERIFF'S RECRUITMENT IN HIRING OF THESE TECHNICIANS, REQUEST
- 2 THE SHERIFF TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH THE DEPLOYMENT PLAN FOR
- 3 THESE 58 SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES TO THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES
- 4 ONCE THE TECHNICIAN POSITIONS HAVE BEEN FILLED, AND ENCOURAGE
- 5 THE SHERIFF TO CONTINUE THEIR STAFFING AUDIT AND REPORT BACK
- 6 TO THE BOARD WITH AN UPDATE BEFORE CLOSING IN SEPTEMBER OF
- 7 2006. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, COULD I...

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR-- I MEAN MR. JANSSEN. YOU

12 GOT ME FOOLED.

8

10

13

17

20

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: (LAUGHTER) I'M NOT FOOLED. ON THE ADDITIONAL

- 15 MILLION DOLLARS, LET ME MAKE A PITCH. IT MAY FALL ON DEAF EARS
- 16 BUT THE MILLION-- THE ADDITIONAL MILLION...
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CAN'T HEAR YOU, DAVID, CAN'T HEAR YOU.
- 19 [LAUGHTER]
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, I KNOW. (LAUGHTER). WE SUPPORT THE 58.
- 22 IT IS A VERY QUICK, EASY WAY TO INCREASE COVERAGE IN THE
- 23 UNINCORPORATED AREA AND A COUPLE OF THE LATER REPORTS INDICATE
- 24 THAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE THREE YEARS FOR THE SHERIFF TO FULLY
- 25 FILL THE POSITIONS THAT HE HAS AUTHORIZED, INCLUDING THOSE FOR



- 1 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. WE SET ASIDE LAST YEAR \$12.7 MILLION
- 2 TO INCREASE LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. IT'S
- 3 GOING TO BE A COUPLE OF YEARS BEFORE HE HAS STAFF TO DO THAT.
- 4 YOUR MOTION NUMBER 2 TAKES A MILLION DOLLARS OUT OF ONE-TIME
- 5 RESOURCES, WHICH I THINK IS NOT A GOOD POLICY AND SOMETHING
- 6 THAT WE HAVE PRETTY SUCCESSFULLY RESISTED OVER THE YEARS. I
- 7 WOULD RECOMMEND THAT IT COME OUT OF THE DESIGNATION FOR
- 8 UNINCORPORATED AREA PATROLS, SINCE THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THIS IS
- 9 GOING TO DO. TWO YEARS FROM NOW, IF THE SHERIFF IS READY TO
- 10 FILL THE POSITIONS, WE CAN ADJUST THE BUDGET AT THAT TIME. BUT
- 11 AT LEAST FOR NOW, I WOULD MUCH PREFER IT COME OUT OF AN
- 12 ONGOING ALLOCATION THAN ONE-TIME.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THE ACTION

- 15 ENHANCES SERVICES AND THE FACT IS, WE ARE NOT ENHANCING
- 16 SERVICES BUT MERELY HELPING TO REDUCE THE EXISTING VACANCIES.
- 17 THE DESIGNATION FOR ENHANCED UNINCORPORATED SERVICES WAS
- 18 ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD TO ENHANCE SERVICES, NOT FILL
- 19 EXISTING VACANCIES. THE SHERIFF HAS INDICATED NUMEROUS TIMES
- 20 THAT THEY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ENHANCE SERVICES UNTIL FISCAL
- 21 YEAR '07/'08. THE DESIGNATION ALSO DID NOT PROVIDE FOR FUNDING
- 22 LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS BUT ACTUAL DEPUTIES, WHICH IS NOT
- 23 WHAT THIS ACTION IS ABOUT, IT'S THE LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 24 TECHNICIANS. SO WE'RE ASKING, YOU KNOW, OUR COLLEAGUES TO
- 25 SUPPORT THE MOTION TO REDUCE THE CURRENT VACANCIES BY FUNDING



- 1 THE ENTIRE 58 LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS WITHOUT DIPPING INTO
- 2 A DESIGNATION THAT WAS SET UP TO ENHANCE SERVICES FOR THE
- 3 COMMUNITY.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF THIS,
- 6 THOUGH, IS THE ADDITIONAL 18 POSITIONS. WHERE THE FUNDING
- 7 COMES FROM, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE ISSUES ABOUT THE ONE-TIME
- 8 FUNDING AND YOUR MOTION STATES SOMETHING ELSE, BUT I DON'T,
- 9 YOU KNOW, THINK FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL OF US, WE DON'T WANT TO
- 10 LOSE THE 18 POSITIONS. I MEAN, I THINK THAT'S MOST IMPORTANT.

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, WE SUPPORT THE 58 POSITIONS.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: AND SHOULD THE LANGUAGE SAY THAT IT WILL INCREASE
- 15 THE POSSIBILITY RATHER THAN POSSIBLY INCREASE IT?

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT-- WHAT IS BEFORE US?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: SEVEN. IT'S HIS MOTION.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND MY MOTION.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: INCLUDING THE ADDITIONAL 18 POSITIONS?



1

2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OUT OF ONE-TIME MONEY.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT CLEAR. I THOUGHT YOU JUST SAID THAT
- 5 WE WEREN'T ABLE TO HIRE-- FILL THE POSITIONS THAT WE HAD, WE
- 6 HAD A FUND BALANCE AS A RESULT OF THAT. I WAS NOT UNDER THE
- 7 IMPRESSION THAT-- I MEAN, I HEARD-- LIKE YOU, DAVID, I HAD
- 8 SEEN THE-- OR HEARD OF THE 58 ISSUE. I'D NOT KNOWN THAT WE'RE
- 9 GOING TO GO BEYOND THAT AT THIS STAGE OF THE GAME.

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BEYOND THE 58 OR BEYOND THE 40?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SORRY, THE 40.

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 40. WE HAD ORIGINALLY-- RIGHT, WE HAD
- 16 ORIGINALLY TALKED ABOUT 40. THE SHERIFF WENT BACK, IDENTIFIED
- 17 ANOTHER 18. ANY TIME THE SHERIFF CAN SUBSTITUTE LAW
- 18 ENFORCEMENT ITEMS FOR SOMETHING LESS THAN THAT, WE WANT TO
- 19 ENCOURAGE IT. THE SAME IS TRUE OF ANY CIVILIANIZATION IN THE
- 20 OPERATION. SO THE 58 IS SOMETHING THAT WE SUPPORT. IT'S JUST
- 21 HIS POINT NUMBER TWO IS TO TAKE IT OUT OF A ONE-TIME RESERVE
- 22 THAT'S IN N.D.S.A. THAT'S MY ONLY ISSUE, IS WHETHER IT COMES
- 23 OUT OF THERE OR AN ONGOING REVENUE SOURCE. HE INDICATED
- 24 HIMSELF THAT IT WON'T BE UNTIL '07/'08, WHICH IS YEAR AFTER



- 1 NEXT, IF THEN, THAT THAT MONEY WILL BE USED. IT'S JUST SITTING
- 2 THERE AND THIS IS A PERFECT USE OF THAT MONEY.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS IS A PERFECT USE OF WHICH MONEY?

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE MONEY THAT'S IN THE DESIGNATION FOR
- 7 UNINCORPORATED PATROL, 12.7 MILLION DOLLARS WAS SET ASIDE LAST
- 8 YEAR AND IT'S SITTING THERE BECAUSE HE CAN'T FILL THE
- 9 POSITIONS. AND I DON'T GET A VOTE NO MATTER WHAT YOU SAY.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OBJECTION?

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS YOUR OBJECTION TO THAT? JUST OUT OF
- 14 CURIOSITY.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OURS IS TO ENHANCE.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: RATHER THAN REMOVE.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THIS ISN'T REMOVING, THIS IS ENHANCING.

21

22 SUP. BURKE: WELL...



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THAT OTHER MONEY IS SUPPOSED TO BE THERE TO
- 2 ENHANCE. HE DOESN'T HAVE THE DEPUTIES TO FILL IT. WE WANT THIS
- 3 IN ADDITION TO WHAT THAT'S SUPPOSED TO BE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: BECAUSE IF YOU USE THE UNINCORPORATED PART, THAT
- 6 REDUCES THAT POT BY \$1 MILLION.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: \$1 MILLION.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: A MILLION DOLLARS. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET IT
- 11 BACK. THAT'S WHY THE C.A.O. IS...

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED. NEXT ITEM.
- 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A MOTION HERE UNDER ITEM 7. THE CHILDCARE
- 17 TRAINING INSTITUTE IS A PROGRAM ADMINISTERED BY LOS ANGELES
- 18 COUNTY OF EDUCATION. L.A.C.O.E. CONTRACTED WITH THE LOS
- 19 ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO
- 20 IMPLEMENT THE CHILDCARE TRAINING INSTITUTE WHICH PROVIDES
- 21 SERVICES TO STRENGTHEN CHILDCARE SERVICE QUALITY BY IMPROVING
- 22 THE SKILLS OF LICENSED AND LICENSED EXEMPT PROVIDERS IN A WIDE
- 23 VARIETY OF TOPICS SUCH AS HEALTH AND SAFETY, CHILDREN'S
- 24 ACTIVITIES, MARKETING YOUR BUSINESS, UNDERSTANDING LEGAL
- 25 RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES, COMMUNICATING WITH PARENTS. THESE



- 1 CLASSES AND WORKSHOPS ARE ORGANIZED AT DIFFERENT VENUES
- 2 COUNTYWIDE, THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. FROM JULY TO DECEMBER,
- 3 1,176 CHILDCARE PROVIDERS ATTENDED THESE CLASSES AND
- 4 WORKSHOPS. SINCE THEN, SINCE 1999, OVER 24,000 PROVIDERS HAVE
- 5 ATTENDED THESE WORKSHOPS. CONSEQUENTLY, WE THEREFORE MOVE, AND
- 6 THIS IS THE MOTION THAT IS CO-AUTHORED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE, WE
- 7 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ALLOCATES
- 8 \$600,000 FROM THE PROJECTED FUND BALANCE CARRYING OVER SAVINGS
- 9 IN D.P.S.S. TO FUND THIS PROGRAM, TO DELEGATE TO THE DIRECTOR
- 10 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES THE AUTHORITY TO
- 11 EXTEND THE CONTRACT WITH L.A.C.O.E. FOR THE CHILDCARE TRAINING
- 12 INSTITUTE FOR ONE YEAR FROM JULY 1ST TO JUNE 30TH OF 2007 AND
- 13 TO DELEGATE AUTHORITY TO THE DIRECTOR OF D.P.S.S. TO PREPARE
- 14 AND SIGN THE AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT NOT TO EXCEED 10% OF
- 15 THE TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT WHEN THE INCREASES NECESSITATED BY
- 16 ADDITIONAL SERVICES AND NECESSARY SERVICES THAT ARE REQUIRED
- 17 BY THE CONTRACTOR TO COMPLY WITH CHANGES IN FEDERAL, STATE OR
- 18 COUNTY REQUIREMENTS. AND FINALLY TO REQUEST THAT D.P.S.S. AND
- 19 L.A.C.O.E. SUBMIT QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE BOARD ON THE
- 20 OUTCOMES OF THIS PROGRAM, INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF PROVIDERS
- 21 WHO RECEIVE C.C.T.I. SERVICES.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY

24 BURKE. NO OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.

25



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE ANOTHER. YES, I HAVE ONE MORE. OUR
- 2 PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS ARE CRITICAL TO THE DELIVERY OF
- 3 PRIMARY CARE HEALTH SERVICES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 4 SERVICES PATIENTS AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS COUNTYWIDE.
- 5 DESPITE STEEP RISES IN HEALTHCARE COSTS AND PATIENT LOAD, THE
- 6 LAST RATE INCREASE FOR THE P.P.P. PROVIDER CONTRACT WAS IN
- 7 JANUARY OF 2001, WHICH WAS BASICALLY OVER FIVE YEARS AGO.
- 8 ALTHOUGH BOTH THE F.Y. 2006/2007 PROPOSED BUDGET AND THE FINAL
- 9 CHANGES PROVIDE AN 8% RATE INCREASE FOR THE P.P.P. CONTRACT,
- 10 THIS ADJUSTMENT DOES NOT ADEQUATELY BEGIN TO ADDRESS THE
- 11 ACTUAL COST PER VISIT. BASED ON DATA FROM THE MEDICARE MARKET
- 12 BASKET, THE RATE INCREASE SHOULD BE ADJUSTED TO 12% FOR THESE
- 13 PROVIDERS. THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE HAS ONE-TIME
- 14 SAVINGS IN THE PROVISIONAL FINANCING USES BUDGET WHICH CAN BE
- 15 USED TO BRIDGE THE FUNDING GAP BETWEEN D.H.S. AND THE C.A.O.'S
- 16 RECOMMENDED INCREASE. WHILE THE DEPARTMENT FURTHER DEVELOPS A
- 17 DEFICIT MANAGEMENT PLAN, INCLUDING THE NEED TO FIND ONGOING
- 18 FUNDING FOR APPROPRIATE INCREASES IN THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. WE
- 19 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.A.O.
- 20 TO ALLOCATE \$1.9 MILLION IN ONE-TIME FUNDS WITH PROVISIONAL
- 21 FINANCE USES BUDGET TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION
- 22 BUDGET FOR A RATE INCREASE FOR THE P.P.P. CONTRACTS. IT'S
- 23 \$1,989,000 IS THE ALLOCATION.

24

25 SUP. KNABE: SECOND THAT.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED
- 3 BY SUPERVISOR...

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY, THIS WAS MR. YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION AND
- 6 THEY GAVE IT TO ME.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS JOINT WITH YOU AND ME.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: I APOLOGIZE.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, THAT'S FINE. IT IS YOU AND ME. I'M SURE
- 13 THAT THEY ALL APPRECIATE KNOWING EXACTLY WHO THE AUTHOR IS.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: I'LL WITHDRAW MY SECOND TO ALLOW MR.
- 16 YAROSLAVSKY...

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: I'M A SECOND TO THAT MOTION.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU CAN GET KNABE'S SECOND BUT IT WILL ONLY
- 21 BE 1.1 MILLION OR YOU CAN GET ME TO TAKE IT AS 1.9 MILLION.
- 22 YOU MAKE THE DECISION. (LAUGHTER)

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK MR. JANSSEN, HAVE THE
- 25 PROVIDERS ALREADY AGREED TO AN 8% INCREASE?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 AUDIENCE MEMBERS: NO, NO, NO. 3 SUP. KNABE: ALL OF THOSE PROVIDERS THAT HAVE AGREED TO AN 8 4 5 INCREASE... [LIGHT LAUGHTER] 6 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BEFORE WE COULDN'T HEAR JANSSEN, NOW 8 WE HEAR HIM REAL LOUD AND CLEAR. [LAUGHTER] 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WOW! I WAS WONDERING WHAT ALL THESE PEOPLE 10 WERE DOING HERE. NOW I KNOW. [LAUGHTER] 11 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF THEM WHO WANT TO 14 SPEAK ON IT SO... 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DEPENDS ON WHAT THE VOTE IS, PROBABLY. 17 18 SUP. KNABE: THEY MIGHT WAIVE THEIR SPEAKING SHOULD THERE BE AN 19 APPROPRIATE VOTE. 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY MIGHT, YEAH, SHOULD THE MOTION PASS. 21 22 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IS THE 12% RATE INCREASE ADJUSTMENT 24 DUE TO COST OF LIVING, MR. JANSSEN, ADJUSTMENT?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING, MR. MAYOR. 8%
- 2 IS WHAT'S INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET AND THEY HAVE NOT RECEIVED AN
- 3 INCREASE SINCE 2001. THE 8% WAS BASED ON THE INCREASE COUNTY
- 4 EMPLOYEES HAVE RECEIVED SINCE THAT TIME PERIOD. THAT'S WHAT
- 5 THE 8% WAS BASED ON SO, THERE'S 3.977 MILLION DOLLARS INCLUDED
- 6 IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET. THIS WOULD BE ON TOP OF THAT.

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW DOES THE MEDICARE MARKET BASKET

- 9 DATA COLLECTION METHOD IN DETERMINING INCREASE IN RATES, HOW
- 10 DOES THE 12% DIFFER FROM THE MARKET BASKET RATE?
- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T-- DO WE KNOW THAT? LARI, DO YOU KNOW?
- 14 SUP. KNABE: THE 12% IS THE MEDICARE MARKET BASKET.
- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE 12% IS THE MARKET BASKET.
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. LET ME CALL UP GLORIA RODRIGUEZ,
- 19 ABBEY LAND, ELIZABETH BENSON FORER.
- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I, MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE YOU...
- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

24

7

11

13

15

17

20



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW, JOHN FERRARO USED TO HAVE THIS OLD
- 2 LINE, HE SAYS YOU GOT IN THE BAG OR YOU CAN HAVE A LOT OF
- 3 TESTIMONY AND PUT IT ALL AT RISK. I THINK THE VOTES ARE HERE,
- 4 JUST JUDGING FROM THE BODY LANGUAGE, TO APPROVE THIS AND WE
- 5 HAVE A LONG AGENDA TODAY, SO IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE-- IF
- 6 THERE'S SOMETHING YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THIS, MAYBE ONE PERSON
- 7 CAN SPEAK FOR THE GROUP, BUT I WOULD REALLY ENCOURAGE YOU TO
- 8 LET US MOVE ON, BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE ABOUT TO APPROVE YOUR
- 9 REQUEST AND I THINK IT'S A VALID REQUEST, AS MR. ANTONOVICH
- 10 SOLICITED THE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS. THIS ISN'T JUST A COST
- 11 OF LIVING, THEY WERE CUT AWHILE BACK, TOO.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, IF YOU HAVE
- 14 THE VOTES, IF IT'S OKAY, AND IF WE DON'T HAVE THE VOTES, I'LL
- 15 MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION SO YOU CAN COME UP, OKAY?

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION TO THE MOTION? IF NOT,
- 18 SO ORDERED. THANK YOU. THANK YOU. IT PASSED.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU CAN GO HOME NOW. [APPLAUSE]

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** WAS THAT THE 12% OR 1.2? [LAUGHTER]



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DAVID, COULD YOU REPORT BACK ON THE
- 2 COST OF THIS MARKET BASKET COST FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL
- 3 HEALTH PROVIDERS?

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, WILL DO.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. MAKE THAT A PART OF THE
- 8 MOTION. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 10 SUP. KNABE: YES. I HAVE A COUPLE OF MOTIONS HERE AS WELL. THIS
- 11 ONE, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT-- THIS IS A MOTION BY
- 12 MYSELF AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY IN REGARDS TO THE CALWORKS
- 13 YOUTH JOBS PROGRAM, THE SUMMER PROGRAM AND, AS YOU KNOW, THIS
- 14 WAS ORIGINALLY FUNDED BY THE FEDERAL JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP
- 15 ACT, SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT TRAINING PROGRAM. THIS PROGRAM
- 16 HAS BEEN FUNDED BY THE CALWORKS PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FUNDS UP
- 17 UNTIL LAST SUMMER, WHEN THE FUNDING SOURCE ENDED. THIS BOARD,
- 18 WHICH HAS BEEN SENSITIVE TO THE BENEFITS OF PROVIDING THAT
- 19 TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR AT-RISK AND LOW
- 20 INCOME YOUTH, DIRECTED THE COUNTY TO EXPLORE A MEANS BY WHICH
- 21 TO CONTINUE THE PROGRAM. WHILE THE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY A
- 22 VIABLE, ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR SUMMER YOUTH PROGRAMS
- 23 CONTINUE, ONE TIME FUNDING HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED WITHIN THE
- 24 COUNTY TO SUSTAIN THE YOUTH JOBS PROGRAM. SO WE THEREFORE MOVE
- 25 THAT THE BOARD INSTRUCT THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR



- 1 SERVICES, IN COLLABORATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 2 SOCIAL SERVICES, TO DEVELOP A SUMMER YOUTH JOBS PROGRAM. WE
- 3 FURTHER MOVE THAT THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR
- 4 SERVICES BE GIVEN DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS
- 5 WITH THE APPROPRIATE YOUTH JOB PROVIDERS, INCLUDING THE SEVEN
- 6 WORKFORCE INVESTMENT BOARDS TO SUSTAIN THE YOUTH JOBS PROGRAM
- 7 DURING THE SUMMER, THIS SUMMER OF 2006 AFTER C.A.O. APPROVAL
- 8 AND UPON COUNTY COUNSEL APPROVAL TO FORM. THERE'S NO DOLLAR
- 9 AMOUNT IN THERE BECAUSE WE'D PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED THE DOLLARS
- 10 BUT WE NEED TO INITIATE THE ACTION, SO I MOVE THAT AND
- 11 SECONDED, I THINK, BY ZEV.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 14 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 16 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE ONE OTHER MOTION IN REGARDS TO THE DISTRICT
- 17 ATTORNEY'S CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM WHICH WE KNOW WAS BEGUN IN
- 18 2005 AND, TO ALL OF US, IT'S BEEN A VERY HIGHLY EFFECTIVE
- 19 PROGRAM AND WILL BE WELL SERVED BY THE ADDITION OF THREE
- 20 INVESTIGATIVE TEAMS WHICH WOULD BE REGIONALLY DEPLOYED
- 21 THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY. THESE TEAMS WOULD IMPROVE THE QUALITY
- 22 OF LIFE FOR OUR UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AREAS, SO I WOULD MOVE
- 23 THAT THIS BOARD INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. TO ALLOCATE \$1,949,629 TO
- 24 THE 2006/2007 DISTRICT ATTORNEY BUDGET FOR PHASE II OF THE
- 25 CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE APPROPRIATION...



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED.
- 3 SUPERVISOR BURKE.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: THIS RELATES TO ELDER ABUSE. OF COURSE, THE ISSUE
- 6 OF ELDER ABUSE IS ONE THAT IS A VERY ESSENTIAL COMPONENT OF
- 7 THE COUNTY'S EFFORTS AND, FOR THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS, THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES PARTNERED WITH
- 9 HEALTH SERVICES IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE HEALTHCARE NEEDS OF THE
- 10 ELDERLY, IDENTIFY ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHICH MAY RESULT IN
- 11 PHYSICAL DANGER TO SENIOR CITIZENS. I MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 12 SUPERVISORS ALLOCATE \$271,000 FROM THE APPROPRIATION FOR
- 13 CONTINGENCY ONGOING FUNDS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
- 14 SENIOR SERVICES FOR FULL RESTORATION OF THE ELDER ABUSE
- 15 PREVENTION PROGRAM, WHICH IS CO-LOCATED AT THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 16 HEALTH SERVICES. I FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD DELEGATE
- 17 AUTHORITY TO THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
- 18 SENIOR SERVICES TO AMEND ITS M.O.U.S WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 19 HEALTH SERVICES IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE RESTORATION. FINALLY,
- 20 I MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE DIRECTORS OF THE DEPARTMENT
- 21 OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES AND HEALTH SERVICES TO
- 22 JOINTLY REPORT BACK TO THIS BOARD WITH OUTCOME MEASURES AND
- 23 COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF ELDER ABUSE PREVENTION PROGRAM,
- 24 ELDER ABUSE FORENSIC CENTERS WITHIN 180 DAYS.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

2

3 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE...

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NO. GO AHEAD.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE ANOTHER, AND THIS RELATES TO THE
- 10 CRIMINALIST AND FORENSIC IDENTIFICATION SPECIALISTS WORKING IN
- 11 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND THE CORONER'S
- 12 OFFICE. UNFORTUNATELY, BECAUSE OF THE DISPARITY IN THE
- 13 SALARIES PAID BY THE COUNTY AND OTHER NONCOUNTY AGENCIES,
- 14 WHICH CAN RANGE FROM A DISPARITY OF 3% TO 40%, ONCE THESE
- 15 EMPLOYEES ARE FULLY TRAINED, THEY ARE ACTIVELY RECRUITED AND
- 16 MADE OFFERS FROM OTHER FORENSIC AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES
- 17 AND, BASICALLY, WHAT I'M ASKING IS THAT THE BOARD OF
- 18 SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.A.O. AND THE DIRECTOR OF THE
- 19 DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO WORK IN CONCERT WITH THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION
- 21 AND EVALUATION OF THE DISPARITY IN SALARY AND EMPLOYEE
- 22 BENEFITS BETWEEN COUNTY CRIMINALISTS, FORENSIC SPECIALISTS AND
- 23 THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTERPARTS AT NONCOUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 24 AGENCIES AND TO REPORT BACK IN 45 DAYS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 2 ORDERED.

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WE SEE ALL THESE PEOPLE ON TELEVISION MAKING ALL
- 5 THE DIFFERENCES BUT WE HAVE TO HAVE THEM ACTUALLY WORKING.
- 6 FINALLY, ANOTHER REPORT BACK. IDENTITY THEFT IS ONE OF THE
- 7 FASTEST GROWING CRIMES AFFECTING INDIVIDUALS FROM ALL
- 8 SOCIOECONOMIC BACKGROUNDS. LAST YEAR ALONE, THE FEDERAL TRADE
- 9 COMMISSION IDENTITY THEFT CLEARINGHOUSE IDENTIFIED 255,565
- 10 REPORTED CASES OF IDENTITY THEFT; 45,000 OF THOSE RESIDE IN
- 11 CALIFORNIA. WHILE IT'S NOT A VIOLENT CRIME DEMANDING IMMEDIATE
- 12 TANGIBLE RESPONSE FROM LAW ENFORCEMENT, IT'S A SERIOUS CRIME
- 13 WHICH CAN CAUSE UNDUE FINANCIAL HARM, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND
- 14 NEGATIVELY IMPACT SOMEONE'S HEALTH. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE
- 15 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 16 CONSUMERS AFFAIRS WITH OVERSIGHT BY THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE TO
- 17 WORK IN CONCERT WITH THE DA'S OFFICE TO EXAMINE THE
- 18 FEASIBILITY OF INCREASING RESOURCES TOWARD THE INVESTIGATION
- 19 AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF IDENTITY THEFT. SUCH EXAMINATION
- 20 SHOULD INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO THE CREATION OF AN
- 21 IDENTITY THEFT INVESTIGATION UNIT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 22 CONSUMER AFFAIRS OR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND
- 23 ESTABLISHMENT OF DEDICATED ATTORNEYS. I FURTHER MOVE THAT THEY
- 24 DIRECT-- THAT THEY REPORT BACK PRIOR TO THE BOOK CLOSING IN
- 25 SEPTEMBER AND I BELIEVE THERE'S FEDERAL COORDINATION, FEDERAL



- 1 FUNDS THAT ARE BEING MOVED FORWARD THAT IT SHOULD BE PART OF
- 2 THE IDENTIFICATION OF THIS ON IDENTITY THEFT.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 5 ORDERED.

- 7 SUP. BURKE: OH, I HAVE JUST ONE MORE REPORT BACK. THE COUNTY
- 8 OF LOS ANGELES EXPERIENCES CRIME LIKE NO OTHER. RECENTLY,
- 9 OTHER JURISDICTIONS HAVE INVESTED HEAVILY IN HIGH TECHNOLOGY
- 10 SURVEILLANCE CAMERA SYSTEMS TO COMBAT CRIME IN AN EFFICIENT
- 11 AND COST EFFECTIVE MANNER. AMONG TECHNOLOGICAL DEVICES WHICH
- 12 HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED RECENTLY AS POSSIBLE LAW ENFORCEMENT TOOLS
- 13 AGAINST ILLEGAL GUNSHOT AND GRAFFITI IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 14 AREA ARE AUDIO VISUAL CAMERAS AND MICROPHONES, AND I'D LIKE TO
- 15 SAY, WE'D USE THESE MICROPHONES IN SOME PARTS OF MY DISTRICT
- 16 ON A TEMPORARY AREA IN TORRANCE ON A TEMPORARY BASIS BECAUSE
- 17 PEOPLE ARE AFRAID TO CALL IN, BECAUSE, IF THEY CALL IN,
- 18 THEY'RE IDENTIFIED BECAUSE SOME OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN
- 19 CRIME TODAY DO MONITOR YOUR CALLS TO THE POLICE, SO THAT-- AND
- 20 WHEN THE POLICE COME BACK, THEY IMMEDIATELY KNOW WHO CALLED
- 21 THEM. AND SO I MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO REPORT
- 22 BACK WITH HIS FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE FEASIBILITY
- 23 OF UTILIZING HIGH TECH AUDIO VISUAL EQUIPMENT FOR DETECTION
- 24 AND RECORDING OF ILLEGAL GUNSHOT AND GRAFFITI IN THE
- 25 UNINCORPORATED AREAS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 3 ORDERED.

4

5 SUP. BURKE: THAT CONCLUDES MINE.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO MOVE-- WELL, THE
- 10 ARTS, THIS IS A MOTION DEALING WITH OUR ARTS COMMISSION, OUR
- 11 ARTS GRANTS PROGRAMS, PLAY A CENTRAL ROLE IN THE QUALITY OF
- 12 LIFE FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS. THE ARTS ARE INTEGRAL
- 13 TO YOUTH EDUCATION AND SKILL DEVELOPMENT, DIVERSITY AND
- 14 CULTURAL AWARENESS AS WELL AS THE REGIONAL ECONOMY, ESPECIALLY
- 15 THE REGIONAL ECONOMY. EACH YEAR TO HELP ACHIEVE THESE
- 16 OUTCOMES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPPORTS MORE THAN 250 NONPROFIT
- 17 ARTS ORGANIZATIONS THROUGH THE COUNTY ARTS COMMISSION'S
- 18 ORGANIZATIONAL GRANT PROGRAM. SINCE THE LAST FUNDING INCREASE
- 19 SIX YEARS AGO, REQUESTS HAVE DRAMATICALLY OUTSTRIPPED
- 20 AVAILABLE RESOURCES AND THE NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING
- 21 ASSISTANCE FOR CORE OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS HAS INCREASED BY
- 22 ONE-THIRD. IN ADDITION, INCREASES IN THE COST OF LIVING,
- 23 COUPLED WITH LOW PER CAPITA STATE SPENDING ON THE ARTS, HAVE
- 24 CONTRIBUTED TO AN INCREASINGLY CHALLENGING ENVIRONMENT FOR OUR
- 25 LOCAL, NON-PROFIT ARTS ORGANIZATIONS. ADDITIONAL FUNDS WILL



- 1 NOT ONLY ADDRESS THESE PROBLEMS BUT ALSO ALLOW THE ARTS
- 2 COMMISSION TO PHASE IN A PROCESS FOR A TWO-YEAR FUNDING CYCLE
- 3 THAT WILL PROMOTE ORGANIZATIONAL STABILITY AND UNINTERRUPTED
- 4 CULTURAL SERVICES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 5 SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE TO TRANSFER
- 6 1.159 MILLION DOLLARS FROM APPROPRIATION FOR CONTINGENCIES TO
- 7 THE ARTS, TO THE ARTS COMMISSION, BUDGET FOR ORGANIZATIONAL--
- 8 FOR THE ORGANIZATIONAL GRANT PROGRAM.

10 **SUP. KNABE:** SECOND.

9

11

13

15

17

19

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO ON ITEM NUMBER 7.

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE IT AS AMENDED.

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED, AS

21 AMENDED, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 8.

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. WE HAVE, AT THIS POINT...

24



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. JANSSEN, DO YOU MIND IF I JUST READ IN
- 2 THE SHORT TITLE REAL QUICK FOR THE RECORD?

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T MIND AT ALL.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6,
- 7 SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO THE
- 8 ADDITION AND DELETION OF NON-REPRESENTING CLASSIFICATIONS AS A
- 9 RESULT OF THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007. THANK
- 10 YOU.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 8, WHICH IS A ORDINANCE
- 13 RELATED TO THE CLASSES-- CLASSIFICATIONS IN THE BUDGET AND
- 14 THEN 9 WILL BE THE SALARY ORDINANCE. SO 8 IS BEFORE YOU. I
- 15 RECOMMEND APPROVAL.

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: SECOND.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION. SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER NINE IS THE SALARY ORDINANCE-- OH,
- 22 YOU NEED TO READ THAT, ALSO.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGAIN, I APOLOGIZE. IF I COULD JUST READ
- 25 THIS INTO THE RECORD. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES



- 1 OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE TO REFLECT ORDINANCE POSITIONS
- 2 INCLUDED IN THE FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007 PROPOSED BUDGET.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE, SECONDED, WITHOUT
- 5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: ON WHAT? WHICH ITEM WAS THAT?

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NUMBER 9, THE SALARY ORDINANCE.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: THAT WAS JUST THE MOTION? THE MOTION WAS ON NUMBER
- 12 8 OR NUMBER 9?

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU DID 8. THAT WAS NUMBER 9.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: I WANT TO RECONSIDER BECAUSE THERE'S A SECTION OF
- 17 9 THAT I WANT TO VOTE "NO" ON.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE TO RECONSIDER ITEM
- 20 NUMBER 9. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S WHAT I ASKED.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: WE DIDN'T DO 9 YET.



1 SUP. KNABE: WELL, THAT'S WHAT I ASKED.

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, YOU DID.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: I JUST WANT TO RECORD A "NO" VOTE ON THE HUNDRED
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE HEALTH POSITIONS, THE 105.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE 105?

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** THE 105.

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: POINT FOUR?

15

16 SUP. KNABE: 105, YES. I JUST WANT IT RECORDED THEN.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED
- 19 BY MOLINA WITH KNABE VOTING "NO", SO ORDERED.

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 10 ARE RECOMMENDATIONS ON DEBT
- 22 MANAGEMENT. THIS IS A ROUTINE ITEM. ACTUALLY, IT'S GOOD NEWS
- 23 BECAUSE OF THE SECURITIZATION OF THE TOBACCO, OUR DEBT RATIOS
- 24 ARE ACTUALLY GOING DOWN. THEY'RE PRETTY LOW TO BEGIN WITH BUT
- 25 THEY'RE GOING DOWN EVEN FURTHER. IT ALSO INDICATES IN HERE



- 1 THAT, OF THE ONE G.O. BOND OUTSTANDING, WE ONLY HAVE \$9
- 2 MILLION LEFT ON THE PAYMENT AND THAT WILL PAID OFF, SO I WOULD
- 3 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF ITEM NUMBER 10.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE IT.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED.
- 8 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 11 IS A RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE
- 11 AN AGREEMENT WITH THE FAIR ASSOCIATION TO SUPPORT THEIR
- 12 REHABILITATION OF A EXHIBITION BUILDING THAT'S 40 OR 50 YEARS
- 13 OLD. IT'S NEEDED AS PART OF THE ONGOING DEVELOPMENT OF THE
- 14 FAIR. WE OWN THE FAIRGROUNDS, HAVE ALWAYS BEEN AN ACTIVE
- 15 PARTNER, AND I RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF \$450,000.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 18 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 12 IS AN ISSUE YOU HAVE DISCUSSED
- 21 BEFORE, THIS IS FUNDING OF C.T. SCANNERS AT THE FIVE HEALTH
- 22 FACILITIES. IT IS A 28.3-MILLION-DOLLAR PURCHASE AND WE ARE
- 23 RECOMMENDING THAT IT BE FUNDED OUT OF TOBACCO RESERVES. ITEM
- 24 NUMBER 12.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM-- THEN THE REST OF THESE-- OR MOST OF THE
- 5 REST OF THESE ARE ITEMS REFERRED TO BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. A
- 6 NUMBER OF THEM, WE'VE ALREADY DONE AND I WILL INDICATE AS SUCH
- 7 AS WE DO THEM. ITEM NUMBER 13, ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS, THESE
- 8 ARE IN THE BUDGET AND YOU APPROVED THEM UNDER ITEM 6, SO THIS
- 9 IS A RECEIVE AND FILE.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SO MOVED. SECONDED BY BURKE.
- 12 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 14 IS A REPORT BACK BY THE
- 15 DIRECTOR OF...

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE ALL THE ANSWERS TO MY QUESTIONS, SO...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND I WOULD POINT OUT THAT, SHOULD THE PUBLIC
- 20 EVER WANT ANYTHING TO READ, THE DOCUMENTATION HERE IS
- 21 WONDERFUL. IT IS A TRUE SUCCESS STORY, WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND THE ANSWERS TO
- 23 YOUR QUESTION I THINK REALLY DEMONSTRATE THAT, SUPERVISOR
- 24 MOLINA, SO THIS IS A RECEIVE AND FILE. ITEM NUMBER 15...



SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO DO WE HAVE TO RECEIVE AND FILE?

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED.
- 6 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND NUMBER 15 WAS ALSO A REPORT ON THE VACANCY
- 9 ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED AT AN EARLIER MEETING. THE DEPARTMENT
- 10 HAS REPORTED THAT THEY HAVE FILLED ALL OF THEIR SOCIAL WORKER
- 11 POSITIONS AND ARE MOVING QUICKLY WORKING WITH THE UNION TO
- 12 FILL THE REST OF THE POSITIONS. I RECOMMEND RECEIVE AND FILE.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I'LL MOVE THAT, SECONDED BY
- 15 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 16 IS A REPORT BACK ON THE CORONER'S
- 18 DEPARTMENT. WE HAVE...

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK YOU ON THAT AND I
- 21 APPRECIATE THE C.A.O. AND THE COUNTY COUNSEL WORKING TO
- 22 RESOLVE THE ISSUE OF PRIVACY AND GETTING THAT NEW POLICY IN
- 23 PLACE. THE QUESTION IS, AS EVIDENCED BY THE C.A.O.'S REPORT,
- 24 THERE'S SOME ADDITIONAL STAFF AND CONTRACTS WITH OUTSIDE
- 25 CREMATORIES, THE MANAGEMENT OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO MAKE A



- 1 DRAMATIC IMPROVEMENT IN REDUCING THE OVERCROWDED CONDITIONS IN
- 2 THEIR FACILITY. MANAGEMENT MUST STAY ON TRACK AND HOLD STAFF
- 3 ACCOUNTABLE FOR TRACKING AND MONITORING THE DESCENDENT
- 4 POPULATION IN ORDER TO ENSURE EXPEDITIOUS AND STREAMLINE
- 5 PROCESSING OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS AS WELL AS THE EFFECTIVE
- 6 UTILIZATION OF THE OUTSIDE CREMATORIES. AS I SAID, I APPLAUD
- 7 THE C.A.O.'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS, WHICH INCLUDE THOSE
- 8 PROPOSALS TO ADDRESS THE HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUES, AS WELL AS
- 9 INCREASED CRYPT SPACE FOR MEETING THOSE CORONERS' FUTURE
- 10 NEEDS. QUESTION TO MR. JANSSEN, HOW MANY ADDITIONAL CRYPTS
- 11 WILL THE CORONER GAIN FROM YOUR PROPOSED PROJECT?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR, WE ARE ESTIMATING THAT THE NUMBER
- 14 WOULD GO TO ABOUT 500. THE CORONER THINKS THAT THE NUMBER IS
- 15 AROUND 460/465. IN EITHER EVENT, BOTH OF THOSE WOULD EXCEED
- 16 THE 414 THAT THEY ARE AVERAGING AND WOULD DEAL WITH THE
- 17 ONGOING PROBLEM.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THEN IT'S ACCURATE TO SAY THAT THIS
- 20 ELIMINATION OF THE BACKLOG AND THE ADDITIONAL STAFF, THEIR
- 21 POPULATION WOULD BE BELOW THE MAXIMUM CAPACITY OF 325, WHICH
- 22 WILL RESULT IN ALMOST 50 ADDITIONAL AVAILABLE CRYPTS?

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND ABSENT ANY SPIKES IN THE NEAR
- 2 FUTURE, DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY CRYPTS WILL BE AVAILABLE BELOW
- 3 THE MAXIMUM CAPACITY OF 325? IF YOU HAVE THAT.

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DID HAVE THAT AND IT'S IN MY STACK OF PAPERS
- 6 SOMEWHERE. WHAT'S THE ANSWER, JAN? THIRD QUESTION. ABOUT 50 TO
- 7 75 WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR GROWTH.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THE TIMELINE FOR THE ADDITIONAL
- 10 STAFF TO BE ON BOARD?

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SIX MONTHS.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SIX MONTHS.

15

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND THEY EXPECT TO REDUCE THE BACKLOG IN TWO
- 17 TO THREE MONTHS WITH THE ADDITIONAL CONTRACTS WITH THE...

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AS PART OF THE MOTION, COULD WE HAVE A
- 20 WRITTEN REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS ON THE BACKLOG AND THE
- 21 RELOCATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AND GIVE US A UPDATE?

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: I DIDN'T QUITE UNDERSTAND. NOW, THE CRYPT, ARE
- 24 THESE-- THIS NEW CONSTRUCTION ON THE CRYPTS?



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT WOULD BE-- RIGHT.

2

3 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S THE REFURBISHING AND THE NEW CONSTRUCTION?

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT AND THAT WILL BE BACK IN
- 6 AUGUST FOR-- YOU'RE NOT APPROVING THAT HERE. WE'RE ONLY
- 7 REPORTING ON THAT. HERE, YOU'RE ADDING STAFF TO HELP WITH THE
- 8 INVESTIGATIONS AND SPEED THE PROCESS AND APPROVING CONTRACTS
- 9 FOR CREMATORIUMS. THE REST, WE COME BACK TO YOU WITH.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND MARIA ST. JOHN HAD ASKED TO SPEAK
- 12 ON THIS ISSUE WHEN SHE APPEARED LAST TIME ON THE ISSUE OF
- 13 PRIVACY, WHICH WE NOW HAVE A NEW POLICY COMING IN PLACE. GOOD
- 14 AFTERNOON.

15

- 16 MARIA ST. JOHN: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MAYOR
- 17 ANTONOVICH. I REALLY WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR IMPLEMENTING
- 18 THE POLICY WITH THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE, MAKING IT
- 19 AVAILABLE TO NEXT OF KIN, THAT WE OBTAIN KNOWLEDGE OF WHO HAS
- 20 COPIES OF, YOU KNOW, THE AUTOPSY REPORTS. I'M HERE TODAY...

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT TO OUR
- 23 ATTENTION.



- 1 MARIA ST. JOHN: THANK YOU. I KNOW THAT IT DEVASTATED MY LIFE
- 2 THE WAY I FOUND OUT ABOUT IT BUT I AM CERTAINLY HOPEFUL THAT
- 3 IT WILL HELP OTHERS. AND I KNOW THAT, IN MY HEART, THIS IS IN
- 4 MEMORY OF MY BEAUTIFUL DAUGHTER, JENNIFER. I DO HAVE ANOTHER
- 5 ISSUE WITH THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE AND I WOULD LIKE
- 6 TO KNOW WHY THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE, LIEUTENANT
- 7 MCWILLIE SPOKE SO FREELY TO THE TABLOIDS AND EMBELLISHED FACTS
- 8 WHICH WERE NOT TRUE PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THE
- 9 INVESTIGATION OF MY DAUGHTER'S FATAL AUTO ACCIDENT. IT APPEARS
- 10 THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE GRANDSTANDS WHEN A CELEBRITY
- OR A HIGH PROFILE CITIZEN HAS DIED. WHY IS IT WHEN JANE DOE OR
- 12 JOHN DOE HAS DIED, SUCH GRANDSTANDING IS SILENCED? JUST
- 13 BECAUSE MY DAUGHTER, JENNIFER, WAS THE GIRLFRIEND OF KEANU
- 14 REEVES, THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE CONTINUED TO GIVE
- 15 INFORMATION TO THE TABLOIDS FOR THEIR SPECULATION. I FEEL THAT
- 16 IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT COUNTY COUNSEL TRAIN OR WORK WITH
- 17 THE L.A. COUNTY CORONER AS TO HOW IT RESPONDS TO THE MEDIA,
- 18 ESPECIALLY TO THE TABLOIDS, AND IMPLEMENT A SENSITIVE PROCESS
- 19 OF DISSEMINATING INFORMATION TO THE MEDIA, ESPECIALLY THE
- 20 TABLOIDS, PRIOR TO-- OR ACTUALLY GIVING THEM THE INFORMATION.
- 21 I KNOW THAT IT'S PUBLIC RECORD, BUT THAT THEY GIVE THIS
- 22 INFORMATION TO THE TABLOIDS OR TO THE MEDIA AFTER THE
- 23 INVESTIGATION IS COMPLETE AND NOT DURING.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. LET ME ASK, IS MR. HERNANDEZ
- 2 HERE? TONY? COULD YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION THAT MISS ST. JOHN
- 3 HAD RAISED? THEY HAVE A SOUND SYSTEM IN THE BACK SO THEY'RE
- 4 UNDERSTANDING THE HEARING.

5

- 6 TONY HERNANDEZ: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. ANTHONY HERNANDEZ,
- 7 DEPARTMENT OF CORONER. UNFORTUNATELY, MISS ST. JOHN'S REQUEST,
- 8 WE'RE NOT ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THAT ASPECT OF IT, AND PRIMARILY
- 9 IT'S BECAUSE OF THE LAW. IT'S PUBLIC RECORD INFORMATION. WE'RE
- 10 OBLIGATED TO GIVE INFORMATION THAT'S AVAILABLE TO US AND IF A
- 11 NEWS MEDIA AGENCY OR OUTLET OR THE PUBLIC REQUESTS THAT
- 12 INFORMATION, WE HAVE TO GIVE WHAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE. THE OTHER
- 13 THING THAT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE IS THE ONLY TIME THAT WE
- 14 CANNOT-- AND THIS OCCURS AS SOON AS WE HAVE NOTIFIED THE NEXT
- 15 OF KIN OF THE DEATH AND THERE ARE CASES, FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN WE
- 16 HAVE A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT REQUESTS US TO WITHHOLD
- 17 INFORMATION ON A CORONER'S CASE BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT
- 18 FINALIZED THEIR INVESTIGATION. LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY HAS THE
- 19 ABILITY TO DO THIS UNDER 6254-F OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE. WE DO
- 20 NOT BUT THEY DO AND SO, AS WE GO ALONG THE PROCESS, WE DO
- 21 RECEIVE REQUESTS FROM MEDIA FOR DIFFERENT TYPES OF CASES AND
- 22 WHEN THAT INFORMATION, WHETHER IT BE FROM THE MEDIA OR THE
- 23 PUBLIC, COMES IN, WE GIVE INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE
- 24 TO US AT THAT TIME. WE'RE REQUIRED TO DO SO BY LAW.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: COULD YOU SEEK STATE LEGISLATION THAT
- 2 WOULD ALLOW THE CORONER TO HAVE THE SAME TYPE OF LAW THAT THE
- 3 PUBLIC-- OR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES HAVE RELATIVE TO THIS
- 4 ISSUE?

5

6 TONY HERNANDEZ: THAT MIGHT BE BENEFICIAL TO US.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WOULD YOU EXPLORE THAT?

9

10 TONY HERNANDEZ: SURE, ABSOLUTELY, WE WOULD DO THAT.

11

- 12 MARIA ST. JOHN: I WOULD REALLY APPRECIATE THAT BECAUSE, YOU
- 13 KNOW, MY CONCERN IS THAT INFORMATION IS BEING DISSEMINATED
- 14 PRIOR TO THE INVESTIGATION BEING COMPLETE AND, OF COURSE, THAT
- 15 MAY COMPROMISE, YOU KNOW, THE FINAL, YOU KNOW, INVESTIGATION
- 16 AT THE END.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND MR. FORTNER, COULD YOU EXPLORE
- 19 THAT AS WELL, SEE IF WE COULD-- THE LEGISLATURE IS BASICALLY,
- 20 FOR THIS SESSION, NEW LEGISLATION IS NOT BEING INTRODUCED BUT
- 21 FOR THE NEXT SESSION, IN JANUARY. THIS IS PERHAPS WE COULD
- 22 WORK ON THAT TYPE OF LEGISLATION.

23

24 MARIA ST. JOHN: OKAY.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

2

- 3 MARIA ST. JOHN: THANK YOU AND THANK YOU SO MUCH AGAIN FOR
- 4 IMPLEMENTING THAT NEW POLICY.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT TO OUR
- 7 ATTENTION.

8

9 MARIA ST. JOHN: THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. ITEM 16, ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
- 12 OKAY. MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 13 ORDERED. ITEM 17.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 17 IS A REPORT BACK ON THE HEALTH
- 16 DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION. I THINK YOU'VE ALREADY
- 17 ADDRESSED THAT ISSUE. SUPERVISOR KNABE VOTED "NO" ON THE ITEM.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE?

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RECEIVE AND FILE.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION. SO MOVED, SECONDED BY
- 24 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 18 SIMILARLY IS A REPORT BACK ON THE
- 2 A.I.D.S. 2.2 MILLION DOLLARS, YOU'VE ALREADY TAKEN THAT
- 3 ACTION. THIS WOULD BE A RECEIVE AND FILE.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE TWO SPEAKERS, MR. JANSSEN.
- 6 MIKI JACKSON AND RUEL NOLLEDO.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.

9

- 10 MIKI JACKSON: THE FIRST THING I WANT TO SAY IS THANK YOU VERY
- 11 MUCH...

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MIKI, GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

- 15 MIKI JACKSON: OH, I'M SORRY. MIKI JACKSON. THE FIRST THING I
- 16 WANT TO SAY IS THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR HELPING US OUT IN THIS
- 17 TIME OF NEED WHEN THE OTHER CHOICES WOULD HAVE BEEN SERVICE
- 18 CUTS. AND THERE IS STILL ONE KIND OF PROBLEM THAT BOTHERS ME
- 19 THAT I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FACE SOONER OR LATER. WE
- 20 MANAGE-- MANAGED TO FILL THIS WITH NO-- APPARENTLY NO BUDGET
- 21 CUTS OR ANYTHING ELSE TO THE O.A.P.P. BUT I THINK THAT IS A
- 22 PLACE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RECKON WITH SOONER OR LATER,
- 23 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE FACT THAT SOME CUTS ARE
- 24 GOING TO NEED TO BE MADE. AND I HOPE, YOU KNOW, WE'RE KIND OF
- 25 THINKING ABOUT THAT AHEAD OF TIME BECAUSE THERE'S-- WELL, RUEL



- 1 WILL ADDRESS AN ISSUE ABOUT 0.8 MILLION DOLLARS THAT WE SEEM
- 2 TO FIND FLOATING THAT NO ONE CAN ACCOUNT FOR FROM THE
- 3 O.A.P.P., SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

- 7 RUEL NOLLEDO: HI. RUEL NOLLEDO, A.I.D.S. PROJECT LOS ANGELES
- 8 AND, LIKE MIKI HERE, I WANTED TO THANK THE BOARD OF
- 9 SUPERVISORS FOR SUPPORTING PEOPLE LIVING WITH H.I.V. IT REALLY
- 10 MEANS A GREAT DEAL TO ALL OF US. WE ALSO WANTED TO SUPPORT THE
- 11 C.A.O.'S RECOMMENDATION FOR A 2.2 MILLION ALLOCATION FROM THE
- 12 COUNTY TO OFFSET THE FEDERAL CUTS TO THIS YEAR'S
- 13 H.I.V./A.I.D.S. PROGRAMS. AND, AS MIKI MENTIONED, ONE OF THE
- 14 CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE IS THE LACK OF RESOLUTION TO A 1.6-
- 15 MILLION-DOLLAR CUT THAT WAS PROPOSED BY O.A.P.P. LAST OCTOBER.
- 16 ALTHOUGH THE BOARD INSTRUCTED O.A.P.P. TO FIND ADMINISTRATIVE
- 17 SAVINGS TO OFFSET THESE CUTS TO PROGRAMS, O.A.P.P. ONLY
- 18 IDENTIFIED \$800,000 IN SAVINGS. THE REMAINING 800,000 HAVE SO
- 19 FAR NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED. WE'RE CONCERNED THAT THIS IS GOING TO
- 20 AFFECT THE COMMUNITY ADVERSELY LATER ON AND WE'RE HOPING THAT
- 21 THE BOARD WILL FIND A WAY TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE, POSSIBLY BY
- 22 ASKING O.A.P.P. TO GIVE A REPORT ON THIS AND, IF NECESSARY,
- 23 SECURE FUNDING SUCH THAT THE \$800,000 IS TAKEN CARE OF. ONE
- 24 LAST THING I WANTED TO MENTION IS THAT BOTH I'M SURE THE-- THE
- 25 O.A.P.P. AND COMMISSION ON H.I.V. HAVE INFORMED THE BOARD THAT



- 1 THE RYAN WHITE CARE ACT IS BEING REAUTHORIZED. I'D LIKE TO
- 2 ASSURE YOU THAT A.I.D.S. SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS
- 3 A.P.L.A. ARE WORKING WITH BOTH O.A.P.P. AND THE COMMISSION IN
- 4 TRYING TO SECURE THE BEST POSSIBLE CARE ACT LEGISLATION FOR
- 5 CALIFORNIA AND SPECIFICALLY FOR LOS ANGELES. IN FACT, IN MAY,
- 6 A NUMBER OF LOCAL PROVIDERS, INCLUDING A.P.L.A., THE MINORITY
- 7 A.I.D.S. PROJECT, VISITED MEMBERS OF THE CALIFORNIA'S
- 8 CONGRESSIONAL CAUCUS AND WE WERE, IN FACT, JOINED BY O.A.P.P.
- 9 STAFF AND BY COMMISSION ON H.I.V. REPRESENTATIVES. SO JUST
- 10 WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WE'RE WORKING TO MAKE SURE THAT...

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OKAY. ON
- 13 THAT ITEM, YAROSLAVSKY MOVES. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 14 ORDERED. ON 17, WE HAVE TO MOVE FOR RECONSIDERATION. SECONDED
- 15 BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. MOTION BY MOLINA,
- 16 SECONDED BY BURKE, WITH SUPERVISOR KNABE VOTING "NO" SO
- 17 ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 19.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I JUST RECEIVED AN EMAIL THAT INDICATES THAT
- 20 THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATIVE LEADERSHIP HAVE REACHED AN
- 21 AGREEMENT ON THE STATE BUDGET. AND THE...

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SAY THAT AGAIN?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEADERSHIP HAVE JUST
- 2 ANNOUNCED THEY'VE REACHED AN AGREEMENT ON THE STATE BUDGET AND
- 3 IT WILL BE VOTED ON BEFORE THE 1ST OF JULY. IT DOESN'T SAY
- 4 WHAT THE AGREEMENT IS, JUST THAT THEY'VE REACHED ONE. OKAY.
- 5 ITEM NUMBER 19 IS A REPORT BY MENTAL HEALTH AND OUR OFFICE ON
- 6 A PLAN TO IDENTIFY OPERATIONAL SAVINGS AND DEAL WITH THE
- 7 STRUCTURAL DEFICIT IN THE MENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT. IT IS A
- 8 RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT. THE BUDGET INCLUDES \$15.2 MILLION AS
- 9 A TRANSITION. THE CHALLENGE IS GOING TO BE TO ACTUALLY
- 10 IMPLEMENT THE REDUCTIONS THROUGHOUT THIS FISCAL YEAR. THE GOOD
- 11 THING I THINK ABOUT THE REPORT IS THAT IT IDENTIFIES A MULTI
- 12 YEAR-- IT LOOKS AT THE ISSUE ON A MULTI-YEAR STRATEGY THE WAY
- 13 THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT DOES, SO IT'S NOT JUST MONTH-TO-MONTH,
- 14 SO THEY'RE IDENTIFYING, IN '07/'08, THAT THE PROBLEM IS
- 15 ACTUALLY \$83 MILLION THAT NEEDS TO BE RESOLVED. I'M SURE MARV
- 16 IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT SUPERVISOR MAY HAVE,
- 17 SUPERVISOR MOLINA MAY HAVE.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. SOUTHARD? I HAVE A COUPLE OF
- 20 QUESTIONS I'D LIKE TO ASK DR. SOUTHARD.

21

22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HE'S HERE. HE'S COMING.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RELATIVE TO ITEM 12 IN THE DEPARTMENT
- 25 OF MENTAL HEALTH'S CURTAILMENT PLAN WHICH REDUCES THE MAXIMUM



- 1 CONTRACT AMOUNT FOR SERVICES TO THE UNINSURED TO REFLECT THE
- 2 ACTUAL LEVEL OF EXPENDITURES INCURRED IN THE PRIOR YEAR, DOES
- 3 THIS IMPACT SERVICES?

4

- 5 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: MARV SOUTHARD, DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT
- 6 OF MENTAL HEALTH. THIS WILL NOT AFFECT SERVICES IN THIS YEAR
- 7 BECAUSE THESE ARE FUNDS THAT WERE NOT USED FOR SERVICES. THE
- 8 EFFECT WILL BE THEY WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR SERVICES IN THE
- 9 NEXT YEAR BECAUSE THE MONEY WILL HAVE BEEN REMOVED.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WOULD THE CURTAILMENT OF UNUSED C.G.F.
- 12 BE IMPACTED IF SERVICE PROVIDERS ARE ALLOWED TO SHIFT CGF?

13

- 14 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: MR. MAYOR, THE DEPARTMENT IS CONSIDERING
- 15 RETAINING FLEXIBILITY FOR THE '05/'06 FISCAL YEAR AND THE
- 16 SAVINGS THAT ARE PROJECTED IN THIS PLAN DO NOT REST ON
- 17 LIMITING THE FLEXIBILITY FOR '05/'06.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CURRENTLY, WHAT IS THE PROJECTED
- 20 TRANSFORMATION AMOUNT IN PREVIOUS SERVICES TO NEW ACTIVITIES
- 21 THAT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING UNDER THE M.H.S.A. AND DOES THIS
- 22 IMPACT SERVICES?

- 24 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT WE
- 25 UNDERTOOK IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET OF ABOUT \$14 MILLION FOR



- I REPLACEMENT OF THE 1115 WAIVER FUNDING THAT ARE A PART OF THE
- 2 TRANSFORMATION AND THE GOAL OF TRANSFORMATION IS TO FIND THOSE
- 3 ACTIVITIES THAT WE ARE ENGAGING IN OR THAT COULD SERVE CLIENTS
- 4 THAT WOULD NOT INVOLVE SUPPLANTATION AND THAT WOULD INVOLVE
- 5 PROVIDING ONGOING SERVICES. SO A MAJOR COMPONENT OF OUR PLAN
- 6 IS FINDING LEGITIMATE WAYS TO SERVE PEOPLE USING M.H.S.A.
- 7 FUNDS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY QUESTIONS? SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THEN
- 10 SUPERVISOR KNABE.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: THE RISK HERE IS THAT, AGAIN, IF IMPLEMENTATION
- 13 DOESN'T WORK, WHAT'S OUR BACKUP PLAN?

14

- 15 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: IMPLEMENTATION IN WHAT FASHION,
- 16 SUPERVISOR?

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I MEAN, I'M REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE
- 19 MONITORING OF IT. THIS IS, AGAIN, TRANSFERRING PATIENTS ON TO
- 20 A WHOLE DIFFERENT FUNDING LEVEL AND WHEN I LOOKED AT SOME OF
- 21 THE BEGINNINGS OF WHAT WAS-- I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S AN
- 22 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BUT WHAT THE REMEDY WAS, MY CONCERN IS
- 23 THAT WE MAY LEAVE AS MANY OF THESE PEOPLE OUT OF FUNDING, I
- 24 MEAN, DEPENDING ON YOUR ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE AND MANAGE AND
- 25 TRANSFER ALL OF THESE FOLKS WITHOUT A GLITCH AND, MARV, YOU



- 1 KNOW THAT MY CONCERN IS THAT WHETHER IN FACT, THAT CAN HAPPEN
- 2 AFTER WATCHING THE WHOLE RETROACTIVE THING LAST WEEK, WHICH
- 3 WAS VERY TROUBLESOME. I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 4 BE LEAVING PEOPLE OUT OF THE SYSTEM BECAUSE, IN ORDER FOR YOU
- 5 TO SUCCEED, AS I UNDERSTAND THIS PLAN, IS YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE
- 6 THOSE 18,000, 14,000, OR WHATEVER THEY ARE AND TRANSFER THEM
- 7 OVER TO A NEW FUNDING UNDER PROP 62, RIGHT? UNDER PROP 63?

8

- 9 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: SUPERVISOR, THAT'S PART OF THE PLAN BUT
- 10 THAT'S NOT THE WHOLE PLAN. THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE
- 11 PLAN.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW. THAT'S \$24 MILLION WORTH OF THE PLAN AND
- 14 THAT'S THE PART I'M CONCERNED ABOUT. IS THAT I JUST-- I NEED
- 15 TO KNOW WHAT THE GAME PLAN IS. I LOOK AT IT AND IT'S, YOU
- 16 KNOW, I'M GLAD IT'S THERE. I'M GLAD WE'RE GOING TO MAKE AN
- 17 EFFORT BECAUSE CONSTANTLY MAKING THIS DEPARTMENT WHOLE IN THIS
- 18 DEFICIT IS JUST NOT WORKING BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, WATCHING IT
- 19 IMPLEMENT SOME OF THESE THINGS, I'M CONCERNED OF THE PEOPLE
- 20 THAT MAY FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 21 FOLKS THAT ARE PRETTY DELICATE TO BEGIN WITH. SO THAT'S WHAT
- 22 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT. SO WHAT HAPPENS NEXT YEAR? LET'S SAY THEY
- 23 DON'T SUCCEED, WHAT HAPPENS? I'M ASKING THE QUESTION.



- 1 MARVIN J. SOUTHARD: SUPERVISOR, YOUR CONCERN IS ALSO OUR
- 2 CONCERN, PARTICULARLY PARTS OF THIS PLAN ARE RELATED TO
- 3 FINDING NEW WAYS OF PROVIDING SERVICES TO OUR INDIGENT CLIENTS
- 4 AND, AS YOU KNOW, THE INDIGENT CARE PORTION OF OUR BUDGET IS
- 5 THAT WHICH HAS BEEN HISTORICALLY FLAT AND SO THE NEED
- 6 CONTINUES TO GROW. SO OUR EFFORT WILL BE TO TRY TO TRACK THOSE
- 7 THAT WE ARE CURRENTLY SERVING. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM,
- 8 SUPERVISOR, IS THERE IS AN UNMET NEED ALREADY EXISTING IN THE
- 9 COMMUNITY FOR INDIGENT SERVICES AND IT WILL BE TRYING TO
- 10 MANAGE THAT UNTIL THE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT FOR
- 11 PREVENTION AND EARLY INTERVENTION FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE IN A
- 12 YEAR OR TWO.

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THERE IS, MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISOR, I MEAN,
- 15 WE'VE-- THE SIMPLE ANSWER IS THE GENERAL FUND IS GOING TO BE
- 16 UNDER ATTACK AGAIN NEXT SPRING IF WE'RE UNABLE TO DO THIS BUT
- 17 THE MAIN DIFFERENCE OVER THE LAST FEW YEARS IS THE
- 18 AVAILABILITY OF PROP 63 FUNDS, AND A CERTAIN LEVEL OF
- 19 UNCERTAINTY ABOUT HOW MUCH OF THAT CAN LEGITIMATELY BE USED TO
- 20 DEAL WITH THE POPULATION. PROP 63, AS A RESULT OF THE FACT
- 21 THAT REALIGNMENT HAS BEEN EATEN AWAY BY GROWTH AND IN-HOME
- 22 SUPPORTIVE SERVICES, AND THE DOLLARS TRICKLING DOWN TO MENTAL
- 23 HEALTH HAVE DECREASED YEAR AFTER YEAR AFTER YEAR, SO HE IS
- 24 RIGHT, THE GENERAL FUND HAS NOT INCREASED ITS CONTRIBUTION TO
- 25 MENTAL HEALTH. PRIORITIES, FRANKLY, HAVE BEEN IN PUBLIC SAFETY



- 1 AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT. SO IT IS A LEGITIMATE QUESTION THAT
- 2 HE'S RAISING BUT, WITH PROP 63, WE HAVE-- WE'RE MORE
- 3 OPTIMISTIC THAT WE CAN SOLVE THIS AND WE WON'T BE BACK HERE
- 4 NEXT YEAR AGAIN BUT WE MAY BE.

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: AND I APPRECIATE THAT THAT'S THE WAY IT'S GOING
- 7 TO BE HANDLED BECAUSE IT'S WORTHWHILE. MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT
- 8 THERE HAS TO BE A VERY SOLID IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AS YOU MOVE
- 9 FORWARD ON THIS, REALLY BEING MONITORED, CLOSELY WATCHED,
- 10 SEEING HOW IT WORKS, TESTING AND SO ON, BECAUSE, AT THE END OF
- 11 THE DAY, AS I SAID, THESE ARE THE INDIGENTS, THESE ARE THE
- 12 MOST FRAGILE OF PATIENTS THAT REALLY, I MEAN, FOR THE MOST
- 13 PART, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO FIND THEIR WAY INTO THESE
- 14 FACILITIES AND SO WE HAVE TO BE SUCCESSFUL. BUT THE OTHER
- 15 ISSUE AS WELL IS THAT, FOR THE LONGEST TIME, THE DEPARTMENT
- 16 SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE INSTEAD OF-- I'M JUST A
- 17 PART OF BRINGING THESE MOTIONS IN TO MAKE IT WHOLE EVERY
- 18 SINGLE YEAR AND YET, AT THE SAME TIME, I AM CONCERNED THAT WE
- 19 LOOK AT A LONG-TERM SOLUTION. NOW, THIS MAY BE IT AND IT'S A
- 20 GOOD WAY TO GO BUT IF PART OF THAT SOLUTION ALSO HAS TO
- 21 CONFRONT AND DEAL WITH THE FACT THAT THIS COUNTY NEEDS TO PUT
- 22 MORE MONEY ON THE TABLE FOR INDIGENT MENTAL HEALTH, THEN WE
- 23 NEED TO DO THAT AS WELL. SO IT'S DEALING WITH IT SQUARELY, YOU
- 24 KNOW, YOU NEED TO TRACK YOUR OWN MANAGEMENT ABILITY, TO
- 25 TRANSFER AND TO FOLLOW UP AND TRANSFER NOT ONLY THE PATIENTS



- 1 BUT CERTAINLY A LOT OF THE MONEY, BECAUSE THIS IS \$62 MILLION,
- 2 RIGHT, THAT WE'RE SORT OF BEHIND. IS THAT HOW BIG THE DEFICIT
- 3 IS?

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S 69.2.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. AND SO-- BUT A PORTION OF IT DOES HAVE SOME
- 8 VERY REAL PEOPLE ATTACHED TO IT BUT ITS SUCCESS IS GOING TO BE
- 9 BASED ON OUR ABILITY TO DO IT, YOUR ABILITY AS A DEPARTMENT TO
- 10 DO IT. IF IT'S NOT, I JUST DON'T THINK THAT THE BOARD IS
- 11 NECESSARILY GOING TO SAY, OKAY, WE'LL JUST FUND IT ALL. WE
- 12 NEED TO SEE THE DEPARTMENT TAKE A REAL LEAD IN MANAGING THESE
- 13 DOLLARS AND SO-- BUT IF, AT THE END OF THE DAY, NOT ALL OF IT
- 14 CAN BE DONE, WHICH I CAN APPRECIATE THAT, THEN WE HAVE TO
- 15 CONFRONT THE ISSUE OF MAYBE HAVING TO PUT MORE MONEY ON THE
- 16 TABLE. YOU CAN'T LEAVE THIS POPULATION OUT OF SERVICES. THEY
- 17 HAVE TO HAVE SOME MECHANISM BY WHICH IT'S GOING TO BE
- 18 ADDRESSED AND RIGHT NOW THIS LOOKS POTENTIALLY AS A SOLUTION
- 19 EXCEPT, AGAIN, THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THE DEPARTMENT CAN MANAGE
- 20 THIS TRANSITION. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE EASY AND YOU HAVE A
- 21 SHORT YEAR IN WHICH TO DO IT. I'LL END WITH THAT.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? SUPERVISOR KNABE?



- 1 SUP. KNABE: I KNOW THAT PROBABLY PART OF THIS WILL BE
- 2 ADDRESSED TOMORROW IN THE RENEWAL OF THE AGREEMENTS AND THOSE
- 3 THINGS ON THE BOARD AGENDA BUT I OBVIOUSLY HAVE A CONCERN
- 4 ABOUT THAT PIECE AS IT RELATES TO THE CPOS AND THE \$5 MILLION,
- 5 AND SOMETIMES I THINK WE FORGET THAT THEY ARE OUR PARTNERS AND
- 6 I KNOW THAT YOU HAVE PUBLICLY STATED OR SAID TO OTHER PEOPLE
- 7 THAT THERE'S OTHER WAYS TO, YOU KNOW, DRAW DOWN FROM OTHER
- 8 SOURCES TO BALANCE YOUR BUDGET, BUT I REALLY THINK THAT WE
- 9 NEED TO LOOK SERIOUSLY AT PROTECTING THAT. I MEAN, WE CAN'T
- 10 AFFORD TO GIVE THEM INCREASES, SO WHY WOULD WE WANT TO PREVENT
- 11 THEM THEIR ABILITY TO DRAW DOWN FEDERAL FUNDS WHICH, IN MANY
- 12 CASES, IS A SURVIVAL ITEM FOR THEM AS WELL, TOO? SO THERE'S AN
- 13 APPEARANCE ISSUE HERE. WE COMPLAIN ABOUT THE STATE TAKING
- 14 MONEY AWAY FROM THE COUNTY TO BALANCE THEIR BUDGET AND DEFICIT
- 15 AND WE DO THE SAME THING TO OUR MENTAL HEALTH COMMUNITY-BASED
- 16 PROVIDERS. SO I REALLY THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE PROTECT
- 17 THAT FIVE MILLION FOR THE C.B.O.S TO BE ABLE TO DO THEIR THING
- 18 AND BE ABLE TO DRAW DOWN THE FEDERAL DOLLARS THAT ARE TIED
- 19 WITH THAT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, AT THE END OF THE DAY, THEY ARE
- 20 OUR PARTNERS. IT'S NOT US VERSUS THEM AND YOU HAVE INDICATED,
- 21 HAVE YOU NOT, THAT YOU HAVE OTHER WAYS TO FIND DOLLARS TO
- 22 COVER THAT DEFICIT? IS THAT CORRECT?

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY HAVE ASSUMED THE 5-MILLION-DOLLAR PART OF

25 THE REDUCTION PLAN WILL NOT IMPACT THE C.B.O.S, THEY'VE



- 1 ASSUMED IT WILL NOT IMPACT THE C.B.O.S. IN OTHER WORDS, SOME
- 2 ORDER OF FLEXIBILITY WILL BE ALLOWED TO THE C.B.O.S OR AT
- 3 LEAST YOU WILL CONSIDER MOTIONS TOMORROW UNDER THOSE TWO ITEMS
- 4 TO ALLOW FLEXIBILITY TO THE C.B.O.S. I WOULD DIRECT YOUR
- 5 ATTENTION TO A MEMO THE DEPARTMENT DISTRIBUTED FRIDAY
- 6 AFTERNOON ON THIS ISSUE WHICH WAS ONE OF THE BEST DISCUSSIONS
- 7 FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE SITUATION IS. BEFORE, IT'S JUST
- 8 BEEN, YOU KNOW, BRUCE SAYING THIS, THE DEPARTMENT SAYING THIS.
- 9 THIS REALLY LAYS OUT, IN ABOUT THREE OR FOUR PAGES, THE
- 10 COMPLEXITY OF THE ISSUE AND WHAT IT IS THAT THEY'RE STRUGGLING
- 11 WITH BUT THE 5 MILLION, THE PLAN ASSUMES FLEXIBILITY FOR THE
- 12 C.B.O.S.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, THE POINT IS THIS ISSUE DIDN'T COME UP ON
- 15 THURSDAY, THEY ADDRESSED IT ON FRIDAY BEFORE A BUDGET HEARING
- 16 ON MONDAY. I MEAN, IT'S A BIG ISSUE, I THINK, AND ONE THAT
- 17 WE'VE ASKED TO BE ADDRESSED PREVIOUSLY. SO I WILL DEFINITELY
- 18 LOOK AT IT.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND IT IS AN ISSUE THAT HAS, FOR TWO YEARS
- 21 NOW, BEEN UNADDRESSED AND IT NEEDS TO BE RESOLVED IN '06/'07
- 22 OR YOU WILL BE SITTING HERE, WE WILL BE SITTING HERE NEXT YEAR
- 23 WITH THE SAME ISSUE.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: SAME PROBLEM. ON THIS...



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: THE DEFICIT REDUCTION AND ALL OF THAT THAT'S
- 5 GOING ON, I KNOW YOUR STAFF HAS BEEN INTIMATELY INVOLVED WITH
- 6 THE DEPARTMENT IN TRYING TO GET THEM FOCUSED IN THAT DIRECTION
- 7 AND I KNOW THERE'S GOING TO BE QUARTERLY REPORTS, RIGHT, THAT
- 8 ARE GOING TO TELL US BUT I WOULD HOPE THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE AN
- 9 EFFECTIVE WORKING GROUP THAT WOULD START OPERATING DIRECTLY
- 10 WITH THE DEPARTMENT, ONLY BECAUSE I'M JUST-- I AM NERVOUS
- 11 ABOUT THIS AND WE NEED TO HAVE ASSURANCES VERY EARLY ON. I
- 12 KNOW IT DOESN'T GO INTO PLACE UNTIL THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN IS
- 13 PUT IN PLACE BUT I REALLY WOULD LIKE TO SEE HOW YOU'RE GETTING
- 14 THERE. AS WE KEEP LOOKING AT IT, THERE'S JUST MORE THAT COMES
- 15 OUT ALL OF THE TIME AS TO HOW THIS IS GOING TO WORK, SO I HOPE
- 16 THAT YOUR STAFF, DAVID, WILL BE WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT IN
- 17 DEVELOPING THAT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND HAVING SOME KIND OF A
- 18 WORKING GROUP TO SORT OUT ANY ISSUES THAT MAY COME UP FROM IT.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE WILL, SUPERVISOR.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THANK YOU,
- 25 DOCTOR. MOTION...



1
2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ... BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 20 IS A REPORT BACK ON THE OFFICE
- 8 OF PUBLIC SAFETY RECRUITMENT, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND KNABE. WE
- 9 HAVE FUNDED THE ADDITIONAL POSITIONS IN THE BUDGET FOR
- 10 RECRUITMENT.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED BY
- 13 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 21 IS POSSIBLE FUNDING STRATEGIES
- 16 FOR THE YOUTH ENHANCING PARKS PROGRAM. WE HAVE FUNDED THIS IN
- 17 THE BUDGET AS WELL SO THIS IS A RECEIVE AND FILE.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 22 IS A REPORT ON THE CHIEF PROBATION
- 23 OFFICER. THIS IS A LITTLE BIT LIKE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR
- 24 THE SHERIFF. WE SET ASIDE \$2 MILLION IN ONGOING AND \$8 MILLION
- 25 IN THE BUDGET. IT'S GOING TO BE SUBSTANTIALLY HIGHER THAN THAT



- 1 BUT WE ARE NOT READY, AT THIS POINT, TO HAVE A DETAILED
- 2 DISCUSSION ON THE PROBATION BUDGET AND DO WE HAVE A DATE,
- 3 DEBBIE, THAT WE WOULD? SEPTEMBER, WE WILL HAVE THIS DISCUSSION
- 4 THAT WAS REQUESTED ON ITEM 22, SO RECEIVE AND FILE. CONTINUE
- 5 IT TO SEPTEMBER.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO WE'LL CONTINUE THESE TWO. SO MOVED.
- 8 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ON ITEM 23, THIS WAS FUNDED IN THE BUDGET.
- 11 IT'S THE BACKUP ATTENDANT PROGRAM AND IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE
- 12 SERVICES. THIS IS A NOTE AND FILE, RECEIVE AND FILE.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED.
- 15 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 24 IS A MOTION FROM SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 18 ON ADDITIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNICIANS IN THE SHERIFF'S
- 19 DEPARTMENT. YOU HAVE ACTED ON THIS ITEM.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 25 IS A REPORT ON THE FISCAL AND HIRING
- 25 PLAN TO COMMENCE UNINCORPORATED PATROL, ADDITION OF



- 1 UNINCORPORATED PATROL. THIS ITEM HAS DOLLARS, REQUESTED
- 2 DOLLARS BEHIND IT, OF ABOUT \$5.5 MILLION. IT IS A PRETTY
- 3 THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF WHAT THE TASK FORCE BELIEVES IS
- 4 NECESSARY TO INCREASE THE HIRING. IT DOES NOT DIRECTLY
- 5 RESPOND, UNFORTUNATELY, TO SUPERVISOR KNABE'S REQUEST ABOUT
- 6 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. BUT THERE IS A 5.5-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 7 REQUEST BEHIND THIS TO ADD A NUMBER OF POSITIONS, BASICALLY
- 8 PRIMARILY TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF ACADEMIES FROM THREE TO
- 9 FIVE AND THEREBY INCREASING THE NUMBER OF DEPUTIES THAT CAN BE
- 10 HIRED. IT INDICATES IT'S ABOUT A THREE-YEAR-- CURRENTLY THREE
- 11 YEARS...

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK YOU'RE GETTING-- LET'S UNDERSTAND. YOU'RE
- 14 DOING 25 OR 26?

15

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MAYBE I'M GETTING AHEAD OF MYSELF. I AM MIXING
- 17 THEM UP. 25 AND 26 ARE SIMILAR ISSUES.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: BUT I THINK THERE'S BEEN A MIX-UP FROM DAY ONE.

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE WORKING GROUP, 3.4 MILLION IN TRAINING...

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: 25, AS I UNDERSTAND, IS DEALING WITH
- 24 UNINCORPORATED PATROL AND 26 IS SUPPOSED TO BE DEALING...



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT BUT 25 LEAKED INTO 26.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: AND SOMEHOW THE RECRUITMENT PROGRAM GOT ATTACHED
- 4 TO THESE THINGS WITH MONEY.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. 25 AND 26 BLED INTO EACH OTHER.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH, BUT IT DIDN'T BLEED RECRUITMENT MONEY.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, I-- WELL...

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AMNESIA AGAIN. [LAUGHTER]

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY ARE-- I MEAN, VICTOR WOULD LOVE TO TALK
- 15 ABOUT THE ADDITIONAL 5.5 MILLION THAT THEY NEED FOR
- 16 RECRUITMENT BUT 25 AND 26-- 25 SHOULD HAVE BEEN FOCUSED ONLY
- 17 ON THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS...

18

19 SUP. KNABE: AS IT RELATES TO THE M.O.U.

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. AND IT DID NOT, IT BLED INTO THE HIRING
- 22 ISSUE THAT'S DISCUSSED IN 26, SO WE STILL OWE YOU BACK A
- 23 REPORT ON 25, WHICH WE WILL DO.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL...



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. ON THE RECRUITMENT ISSUE, SINCE THAT'S
- 3 WHAT YOU WANT TO DEAL WITH, THIS, I THINK, WOULD BE CALLED 25-
- 4 A OR SOMETHING BECAUSE IT CERTAINLY ISN'T 25 AT ALL OR 26.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S 26. IT'S 26.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE IT'S-- IT WAS PUT ON THE TABLE. NOW, MY
- 9 CONCERN ABOUT THIS IS THAT YOU ALL WANT MONEY AND WE DON'T
- 10 KNOW WHERE WE'RE AT, RIGHT?

11

- 12 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE ARE ASKING FOR SOME ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO
- 13 EXPAND THE NUMBER OF DEPUTY SHERIFFS WE CAN HIRE.

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: YOU KNOW WHAT'S INTERESTING TO ME ABOUT THAT IS
- 16 THAT, WHEN I LOOKED AT THIS AND WE PUT TOGETHER THIS TASK
- 17 FORCE, IT REALLY WAS TO GET SOME UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT
- 18 RECRUITMENT NEEDED TO HAPPEN. AND IT SEEMS-- I UNDERSTAND THAT
- 19 THE FIRST REPORT INCLUDES \$15 MILLION WORTH OF REQUESTS. I
- 20 MEAN, THAT'S UNBELIEVABLE. I MEAN, THAT'S LIKE GIVING EVERY
- 21 SINGLE DEPUTY THAT WE HAVE A COUPLE OF GRAND JUST TO RECRUIT
- 22 THEIR UNCLE OR THEIR BROTHER TO COME IN, YOU KNOW? IT'S JUST A
- 23 LOT OF MONEY AND I DON'T THINK THAT THE COMMITTEE IS WORKING
- 24 ON THE ISSUES OF RETENTION OR RECRUITMENT, OTHER THAN JUST



- 1 THROWING MORE MONEY IN IT. HOW MANY MORE DEPUTIES WILL YOUR
- 2 FIVE MILLION PRODUCE?

3

- 4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE BELIEVE IT WILL PRODUCE 200 ADDITIONAL
- 5 DEPUTIES OVER...

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: HOW MANY?

8

- 9 VICTOR RAMPULLA: 200 MORE THAN THE 1,000 WE ALREADY HAVE
- 10 PROGRAMMED, TOTAL OF 1,200 DEPUTIES IN THE NEXT...

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: AND SO HOW MUCH MONEY DID YOU HAVE TO GET THE
- 13 1,000?

14

- 15 VICTOR RAMPULLA: I DON'T HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF ME. THE FUNDING
- 16 THAT THE BOARD HAS PROVIDED FOR THAT, I DON'T HAVE THAT
- 17 NUMBER, EXACT NUMBER, BUT THIS WAS AN EFFORT...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IS SEEMS TO ME-- THAT'S AN INTERESTING
- 20 QUESTION-- IT SEEMS TO ME IT WAS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$5
- 21 MILLION THAT WE APPROPRIATED FOR TRAINING.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: SO PROPORTIONATELY, IT SHOULD COST A LOT LESS.



- 1 VICTOR RAMPULLA: BUT THE ADDITIONAL FIVE MILLION IS NOT ONLY
- 2 FOR RECRUITMENT, IT IS FOR HIRING ADDITIONAL POLYGRAPH
- 3 EXAMINERS, WHICH WE DID NOT HIRE BEFORE, IT'S HAVING THE
- 4 STAFFING FOR THE TWO ADDITIONAL CLASSES SO WE CAN RUN A FOURTH
- 5 AND FIFTH CLASS, ALSO FOR THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS VEHICLE
- 6 TRAINING AT POMONA AND THE WEAPONS TRAINING. SO IT WASN'T \$5
- 7 MILLION FOR RECRUITING, THE RECRUITING WAS A VERY LOW, ABOUT
- 8 ONE POINT SOMETHING, IT WAS THE FIVE MILLION ADDRESSING AREAS
- 9 THAT WE GOT OVERSATURATED WITH AND THAT WE HAD NEVER FUNDED
- 10 BECAUSE THE BOARD HAD FUNDED, INITIALLY, RECRUITING,
- 11 BACKGROUND INVESTIGATORS AND A MINOR LITTLE BIT OF TRAINING,
- 12 NEVER GOT INTO THE REST OF THE TRAINING SIDE AND THAT'S WHY WE
- 13 BROUGHT THIS BACK.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: AND THIS REPORT NEVER ADDRESSED THE ISSUES OF
- 16 RETENTION. AND IT IS NOT-- I MEAN, THERE WERE A LOT OF THINGS
- 17 THAT WERE PUT ON THE TABLE THAT HAVE BEEN DISMISSED BY THE
- 18 SHERIFF. I'M GOING TO RAISE IT ONE MORE TIME. IF YOU HAVE A
- 19 COP THAT WOULD LIKE TO CHANGE HIS ABILITY TO DRIVE IN FROM
- 20 RIVERSIDE TO 4:00 IN THE MORNING INSTEAD OF DRIVING WITH THE
- 21 6:30, 7:00 RUSH, YOU WEREN'T WILLING TO ADJUST HIS HOURS,
- 22 CORRECT?

- 24 VICTOR RAMPULLA: I CAN'T ANSWER THAT BECAUSE I WASN'T INVOLVED
- 25 WITH THAT...



- 2 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I CAN ANSWER IT. IT'S, NO, THEY ARE NOT
- 3 WILLING TO. SO HERE WE ARE TRYING TO KEEP OUR OFFICERS AND
- 4 THEN WE AREN'T WILLING TO ADDRESS SOME OF THESE ISSUES THAT
- 5 WOULD REQUIRE A SCHEDULING CHANGE. AND, YOU KNOW, THIS
- 6 HAPPENED WITH THE NURSES AS WELL. I REMEMBER TWO YEARS AGO WE
- 7 ASKED THIS ISSUE. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE NURSE EXIT INTERVIEWS,
- 8 SO MANY OF THEM LEFT BECAUSE THEY JUST WANTED TO SPEND MORE
- 9 TIME WITH THEIR KIDS AND THAT WE WOULDN'T ADJUST THEIR HOURS
- 10 SO THAT THEY COULD BE HOME WHEN THEIR KIDS CAME HOME AND THEY
- 11 WERE WILLING TO START EARLIER. THIS ONLY CONTAINS MONEY AND,
- 12 WHEN I WROTE UP AND THOUGHT ABOUT THIS MOTION, IT WASN'T THE
- 13 IDEA HOW MUCH MORE MONEY DO YOU NEED TO GET MORE DEPUTIES? IT
- 14 WAS THE IDEA OF HOW ARE YOU GOING TO MAKE YOUR SYSTEM MORE
- 15 EFFECTIVE TO RECRUIT MORE DEPUTIES AND RETAIN MORE DEPUTIES?
- 16 THIS REPORT DOES NOT ADDRESS IT, SO I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE THIS
- 17 ITEM UNTIL SEPTEMBER SO YOU CAN ADDRESS IT COMPREHENSIVELY.
- 18 YOU HAVE ENOUGH MONEY NOW ANYWAY. IT'S NOT GOING TO DELAY IT
- 19 AT ALL. I'D LIKE TO SEE YOU REALLY ADDRESS THESE ISSUES. I
- 20 KNOW THIS GROUP HAS TO START MEETING, ROLLING UP ITS SLEEVES
- 21 AND TALKING BEYOND MONEY ABOUT THE REAL ISSUE OF HOW YOU'RE
- 22 GOING TO REALLY BRING IN THESE FOLKS. I PUT IN THAT-- THIS
- 23 TASK FORCE AND, I MEAN, I WAS APPALLED WHEN I HEARD ABOUT THE
- 24 \$15 MILLION AND SO-- AND I KNOW, ONCE THE C.A.O. GOT A HOLD OF
- 25 IT, HE CROPPED IT DOWN TO FIVE MILLION BUT YOU'RE STILL NOT



- 1 ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF RETENTION AND SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT
- 2 HAVE BEEN PUT ON THE TABLE, SOME BY THE UNION, SOME OTHERS,
- 3 AND IT JUST SEEMS LIKE EVERY TIME YOU HAVE A PROBLEM, IT'S
- 4 MORE MONEY INSTEAD OF HERE'S THE THINGS WE CAN DO. IF YOU
- 5 DON'T ADDRESS THAT ISSUE AND DEPUTIES ARE, IN FACT,
- 6 COMPLAINING THAT THEY CAN'T ADJUST THEIR HOURS AND IT JUST
- 7 MAKES MORE SENSE TO THEM, WHY DOES SOMEONE HAVE TO DRIVE IN 2-
- 8 1/2, 3 HOURS OF TRAFFIC TO GET TO THEIR JOB WHEN, IN FACT, YOU
- 9 COULD POTENTIALLY CHANGE IT THAT THEY COULD START EARLIER SO
- 10 THAT THEY COULD START DRIVING FROM RIVERSIDE AT 4:00 IN THE
- 11 MORNING.

12

- 13 VICTOR RAMPULLA: THE INTENTION OF THE WORKING GROUP WAS TO
- 14 ADDRESS ALL THOSE AREAS.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH THEY DID NOT.

17

- 18 VICTOR RAMPULLA: CORRECT. IN THE FIRST REPORT BACK, WE FELT
- 19 THAT WE COULD IMMEDIATELY IMPACT HIRING AND GETTING MORE
- 20 INDIVIDUALS IN THE SYSTEM WHILE WE CONTINUED TO COME BACK WITH
- 21 THE ADDITIONAL AREAS THAT...

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IN THE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE BEEN ASKING,
- 24 AND WE'VE BEEN PART OF THE WORKING GROUP AS WELL, IS: WHAT'S
- 25 THE DIFFERENCE THAT THIS IS GOING TO MAKE? SOME OF IT-- SO



- 1 VERY LITTLE OF THIS MONEY IS REALLY GOING TO DEMONSTRATE ANY
- 2 ESCALATION, OTHER THAN THE ADDITIONAL TRAINING CLASS AND
- 3 THAT'S OUR CONCERN THAT IT'S JUST MONEY, WHEREAS YOU HAVEN'T
- 4 LOOKED AT HOW MANY COPS, HOW MANY DEPUTIES COULD YOU KEEP AND
- 5 NOT LOSE IF, IN FACT, YOU ADDRESSED THE OTHER ISSUES? AND I
- 6 CAN'T-- IF I LET THIS THING GO AND, MEMBERS, THIS IS WHAT'S
- 7 GOING TO HAPPEN, YOU LET THIS GO, THIS COMMITTEE WILL NEVER
- 8 MEET AGAIN AND THOSE ISSUES WILL NEVER BE ADDRESSED.

10 VICTOR RAMPULLA: SUPERVISOR, THE...

12 SUP. MOLINA: SO HOLD ON TO THE MONEY UNTIL THEY COME BACK WITH

13 THE ANSWERS.

9

11

14

22

15 VICTOR RAMPULLA: OUR INTENT WAS TO CONTINUE MEETING, CONTINUE

- 16 REPORTING BACK ON A MONTHLY BASIS, ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF
- 17 THE BOTTLENECKS IN THE SYSTEM, THE ISSUES OF HOUSING ISSUES,
- 18 ALL OF THAT, BUT WE THOUGHT AT THIS TIME THERE WAS AN
- 19 OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SHERIFFS INTO OUR SYSTEM
- 20 IF THIS COULD BE APPROVED. THAT'S WHY IT WAS SUBMITTED, BUT
- 21 NOT TO IN ANY WAY TO NOT DO THE REPORT.
- 23 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THIS ISN'T GOING TO MOVE BETWEEN NOW AND
- 24 SEPTEMBER, IT'S NOT GOING MOVE ANY NEW DEPUTIES INTO THE
- 25 SYSTEM. I MEAN, THERE ARE MANY ISSUES. I THINK THE REPORT JUST



- 1 ADDRESSES IT FINANCIALLY. I'D LIKE A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT.
- 2 AND, AGAIN, I'M USING MY LEVERAGE, DAVID, BECAUSE, IF I LET
- 3 THIS MONEY GO, IT WILL NEVER COME BACK.

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SUPERVISOR, THEY HAVE 10-- 19 DIFFERENT
- 6 ALTERNATIVE RECRUITMENT RETENTION MITIGATION CONCEPTS THAT ARE
- 7 BEING DISCUSSED. THESE ARE FROM THE UNION, SO THEY'RE NOT
- 8 PUBLIC, THEY DON'T WANT THEM PUBLIC BUT JUST TO ASSURE YOU
- 9 THAT THOSE DISCUSSIONS ARE TAKING PLACE AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL
- 10 HAVE A RESOLUTION BY SEPTEMBER. SO THEY HAVEN'T TOTALLY
- 11 IGNORED THAT BUT THE INTENT WAS TO TRY TO ADD TWO MORE
- 12 ACADEMIES AND ADDING TWO MORE ACADEMIES IS GOING TO COST ABOUT
- 13 \$3 MILLION.

14

- 15 VICTOR RAMPULLA: AND THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS TO GET THEM
- 16 THROUGH THE SYSTEM, BECAUSE WE'RE SHORT ON THAT, BECAUSE WE
- 17 PUT SO MUCH INTO THE SYSTEM AND THEN THE VEHICLE TRAINING AND
- 18 THE WEAPONS TRAINING, IT ALL AFFECTED OUR TRAINING PERSONNEL,
- 19 HOW MANY WE HAVE, VERY SMALL NUMBER TO DO THIS. SO WE FELT
- 20 THAT WE NEEDED AN ENHANCEMENT TO MOVE THAT BEYOND THE THOUSAND
- 21 TO GET US 1,200 OR MAYBE 1,300.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHERE IS THIS MONEY GOING TO COME FROM, THE
- 24 \$5 MILLION?



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: PROP 172 REVENUES, ANTICIPATED PROP 172.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT WE KNOW THAT FUNDS THAT HAVE BEEN
- 4 APPROPRIATED TO THIS DEPARTMENT ARE NOT GOING TO BE ENTIRELY
- 5 USED NEXT YEAR.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. WE DO KNOW THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHY DON'T WE TAKE IT FROM THAT ALLOCATION
- 10 INSTEAD OF ALLOCATING NEW MONEY?

11

12 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WITH A DESIGNATION FOR UNINCORPORATED?

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: NO. WHERE'S MY... [LAUGHTER]

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT-- YOU HAVE A BUDGET.
- 17 YOU GUYS ARE NOT GOING TO SPEND ALL OF THE MONEY. I DON'T CARE
- 18 WHICH PART IT IS, I'M TALKING ABOUT YOUR WHOLE BUDGET, THE BIG
- 19 MACRO PICTURE.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WAS THIS SUPPOSED TO BE DISCUSSED IN
- 22 SEPTEMBER AND THEN YOU JUST ATTACHED IT TO THIS MOTION TODAY?

- 24 VICTOR RAMPULLA: THE WORKING GROUP? OUR REPORT WAS SUPPOSED
- 25 TO-- THE ORIGINAL DIRECTION WAS THE WORKING GROUP HAD TO COME



- 1 BACK WITHIN NINE MONTHS WITH A FINAL REPORT AND THAT WAS BE
- 2 ABOUT SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SEPTEMBER BUT THEN YOU...

5

- 6 VICTOR RAMPULLA: AND THIS WAS AN INTERIM-- WELL, 26 I THINK
- 7 WAS THE REPORT BACK ON THE -- WELL, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE
- 8 ADDITIONAL 5 MILLION WAS TO COME UP WITH AN ADDITIONAL
- 9 ALLOCATION FOR...

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THAT'S ALL FOR SEPTEMBER.

12

- 13 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE COULD HAVE ALL THAT BACK IN ONE REPORT IN
- 14 SEPTEMBER...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO WHY ARE WE DISCUSSING IT NOW WHEN
- 17 IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE IN SEPTEMBER?

18

- 19 VICTOR RAMPULLA: IT WAS ONLY TO PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET
- 20 ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL IN PLACE IN TRAINING, OUR POLYGRAPHS AND
- 21 NOT WAIT ANOTHER THREE MONTHS BEFORE WE COULD IMPACT THOSE
- 22 AREAS.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH WOULD THAT COST, JUST THE POLYGRAPH
- 25 PIECE OF THIS?



2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 1.2 MILLION.

3

1

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO WHY DON'T WE JUST APPROVE THAT
- 5 POLYGRAPH AND...

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON A SECOND. WHAT WAS THE OTHER 3.8
- 8 MILLION FOR?

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ATTACHMENT 4-- GO TO ATTACHMENT 4 OF NUMBER
- 11 26.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RATHER THAN GO THROUGH THE PAPERWORK, JUST
- 14 TELL ME.

15

- 16 VICTOR RAMPULLA: IT WAS-- THE POLYGRAPHS, IT WAS FOR THE
- 17 WEAPONS TRAINING CENTER TO TRAIN THE DEPUTIES ON HOW TO SHOOT,
- 18 THE RECRUITS, ADDITIONAL STAFF THERE AND ALSO OUT AT FAIRPLEX
- 19 AND POMONA WHERE WE HAVE OUR EMERGENCY VEHICLE OPERATIONS,
- 20 THAT SOME ADDITIONAL STAFF FOR WHAT WE CALL EVOC OR EMERGENCY
- 21 VEHICLE OPERATIONS.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT DO YOU NEED TO SPEND THE MONEY ON IN
- 24 ORDER NOT TO LOSE THREE MONTHS? IS IT JUST THE POLYGRAPH GUYS?



- 1 VICTOR RAMPULLA: THE POLYGRAPH AND THEN WE WOULD LIKE-- WE HAD
- 2 ASKED FOR THE STAFFING FOR THE TWO ADDITIONAL ACADEMY CLASSES.
- 3 OTHERWISE, AS WE TOLD THE BOARD, WE WERE GOING TO MOVE TO A
- 4 FOURTH AND...

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO HOW MUCH IS THAT? HOW MUCH IS THAT?
- 7 SHARON, CAN YOU PAY ATTENTION, I'M SORRY?

8

- 9 VICTOR RAMPULLA: FOR THE FOURTH AND FIFTH CLASS. BECAUSE
- 10 OTHERWISE WE HAVE TO TAKE THAT OUT OF HIDE.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO HOW MUCH IS THAT?

13

14 SHARON HARPER: IT'S 1.7 MILLION FOR THE TWO CLASSES.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT'S 1.7 MILLION PLUS THE POLYGRAPH
- 17 PEOPLE, WHICH IS HOW MUCH?

18

19 SHARON HARPER: 1.2.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S 2.9.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S 2.9 AS OPPOSED TO 5.5.



- 1 VICTOR RAMPULLA: AND THEN THERE WAS 787,000 FOR THE WEAPONS
- 2 TRAINING STAFF AND THEN FOR THE...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I ASKED YOU, WHAT DO YOU ACTUALLY NEED? THIS
- 5 IS A SCOPE CREEP HERE.

6

- 7 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE NEED THE TWO CLASSES, THE TWO ACADEMY
- 8 CLASSES, THE POLYGRAPHS. I THINK THAT WOULD...

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M TRYING TO HELP AND YOU'RE MAKING IT
- 11 DIFFICULT FOR ME TO HELP.

12

- 13 VICTOR RAMPULLA: I THINK THAT WOULD BE IT. I THINK IF WE HAD
- 14 THE TWO ACADEMY CLASSES AND THE POLYS, THAT WOULD GET US
- 15 MOVING QUICKLY.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S 2.9.

18

19 VICTOR RAMPULLA: RIGHT.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO WHY DON'T WE JUST APPROVE THE 2.9
- 22 AND THE REST IS SUPPOSED TO COME BACK IN SEPTEMBER.

- 24 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE'LL HAVE A FULL REPORT IN SEPTEMBER WHICH
- 25 ADDRESSES ALL THE NONMONETARY ISSUES, THE HOUSING, ALL OF



- 1 THAT, IN A FULL REPORT TO THE BOARD BUT THIS GETS US THE
- 2 POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS -- BECAUSE, REMEMBER, THE POLYGRAPH
- 3 EXAMINERS, ONCE YOU HIRE THEM AND TRANSFER THEM IN, THEY HAVE
- 4 TO GO TO TRAINING, SO THIS GETS THE WHOLE PROCESS MOVING
- 5 INSTEAD OF HAVING TO WAIT THREE OR FOUR MONTHS BEFORE WE CAN
- 6 DO ANYTHING IN THAT AREA, BECAUSE THE POLYS HAVE NOT BEEN
- 7 ENHANCED AND THEN THE TWO ACADEMY CLASSES, IF WE GET THAT
- 8 STAFFED, IT PREVENTS US FROM HAVING TO TAKE DEPUTIES OUT OF
- 9 HIDE, OUT OF THE INCORPORATED TO FILL THOSE.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THIS IS THERE A MOTION IN ORDER ON THIS?
- 12 I WOULD MOVE THAT WE APPROPRIATE -- WELL, WHERE WOULD IT COME
- 13 FROM? 2.9 MILLION...

14

- 15 VICTOR RAMPULLA: SUPERVISOR, MAY I MAKE ONE COMMENT? THE ONLY
- 16 OTHER THING, BECAUSE YOU ASKED ME EXACTLY AND I OVERLOOKED IT,
- 17 WAS THE 530,000 FOR THE POMONA TRAINING. THAT'S ALL.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: THEY DON'T STOP. HOW MUCH DID WE ALLOCATE LAST
- 20 YEAR TO THEM FOR RECRUITMENT?

21

- 22 SHARON: \$7 MILLION, 7.7-- ABOUT 7.8 MILLION DOLLARS OVER THREE
- 23 FISCAL YEARS.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: SO HOW LARGE IS THIS TEAM? THIS IS A \$15 MILLION
- 2 OPERATION WITH THIS ADDITIONAL 5 MILLION.

3

4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE HAVE 16 FULL-TIME RECRUITERS, WE HAVE...

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: AMAZING. AND THE PRODUCTIVITY HAS NOT INCREASED.

7

- 8 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WELL, WE HAVE SOME TRAINING COSTS IN THAT 16
- 9 MILLION, ALSO.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: ARE THE RECRUITERS WHO ARE GOING TO THE MILITARY
- 12 BASES, ARE THEY ALREADY WORKING?

13

- 14 VICTOR RAMPULLA: YES, WE HAVE TWO AND THEN WE HAVE ALSO SOME
- 15 RETIREES THAT HAVE COME BACK, 120 DAYS, WHO ARE RETIRED
- 16 MILITARY ALSO AND THEY'RE OUT AT THE BASES ON A REGULAR BASIS
- 17 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, SO TO ANSWER THE SUPERVISOR
- 18 YAROSLAVSKY'S QUESTION, I THINK IT WAS THOSE-- THE ACADEMY
- 19 CLASS, THE POLYS AND THAT TRAINING AT POMONA AND THAT WOULD
- 20 REALLY GET US GOING BECAUSE I THINK THE OBJECTIVE AND EVERYONE
- 21 WORKING WITH YOUR OFFICES, YOURSELVES WAS HOW DO WE GET MORE
- 22 DEPUTY SHERIFFS HIRED IN THIS COUNTY?

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHAT'S THE DOLLAR AMOUNT BETWEEN NOW
- 25 AND SEPTEMBER THAT WE APPROVE TODAY?



1 SHARON HARPER: IT WOULD BE THE 2.9, IT WOULD BE THE TWO 2 3 ADDITIONAL CLASSES, THE 1.7. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: I REALLY THINK YOU SHOULD HOLD OFF UNTIL... 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT HE ADDED THE POMONA THING. HOW MUCH IS 8 POMONA? 9 VICTOR RAMPULLA: 530,000. 10 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, WHAT'S THE TOTAL AMOUNT? 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 3.5. 3.4/3.5, THAT'S FOR A FULL YEAR. 14 15 16 SHARON HARPER: THAT'S CORRECT. AND THE EVOC WOULD ALLOW THEM TO DO A DRIVER TRAINING IN TWO SESSIONS DURING THE DAY AND 17 18 ALSO A P.M. SESSION AS WELL. THAT'S WHAT THE ADDITIONAL 19 500,000 IS FOR. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THE-- IS THIS ASSUMING A FULL YEAR'S 21 22 EXPENDITURE ON THESE PEOPLE? 23

25

24

VICTOR RAMPULLA: 3.5? YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE YOU TO HIRE A
- 2 POLYGRAPH PERSON?

3

- 4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE'LL GET THEM ON STAFF HOPEFULLY WITHIN THE
- 5 NEXT 30 DAYS AND I THINK THERE'S A THREE-MONTH TRAINING THEY
- 6 GO TO, TO BECOME FULLY -- THEY HAVE TO BE CERTIFIED BY THE
- 7 STATE AND WE'LL HAVE THEM WORKING, SO THE LONGER YOU WAIT ON
- 8 THAT, THE LONGER...

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU CAN HIRE POLYGRAPH PEOPLE IN 30 DAYS?

11

- 12 VICTOR RAMPULLA: THEY WILL BE COMING FROM INTERNAL, WE HAVE--
- 13 THE POLYGRAPH IS A BONUS 1 POSITION, IT'S A COVETED POSITION,
- 14 SO WE HAVE LISTS OF PEOPLE THAT ARE ALREADY INTERVIEWED FOR
- 15 IT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, SO WHERE DO THEY COME FROM, WHAT ARE
- 18 THE POSITIONS THEY COME FROM?

19

- 20 VICTOR RAMPULLA: THEY'LL COME-- I'M NOT SURE WHERE THEY ALL
- 21 COME FROM. SOME MAY COME FROM WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, THEY'RE
- 22 DEPUTY SHERIFFS...

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THESE ARE SWORN PERSONNEL?



- 1 VICTOR RAMPULLA: YES, SWORN DEPUTIES WHO DO THE POLYGRAPH
- 2 EXAMS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'RE GOING TO BE MOVING SWORN PERSONNEL
- 5 TO BECOME POLYGRAPH OPERATORS?

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** MMM-HMM.

8

9 VICTOR RAMPULLA: YES.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN SO HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE YOU TO
- 12 BACKFILL THE DEPUTY SHERIFFS?

13

- 14 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE'LL HAVE TO BACKFILL AS WE GRADUATE ABOUT
- 15 90 ABOUT EVERY SIX WEEKS NOW WE'RE GRADUATING. SO OUR
- 16 GRADUATION RATES ARE TREMENDOUSLY HIGHER THAN THEY WERE A FEW
- 17 MONTHS AGO. WE'RE REALLY KNOCKING OUT CLASSES EVERY SIX WEEKS
- 18 NOW.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS REALLY NOT READY FOR...

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, IT REALLY ISN'T READY FOR PRIME TIME.
- 23 I'M BOTHERED VERY BY THIS.



1 SUP. BURKE: CAN YOU HIRE RETIRED PEOPLE TO DO THE POLYGRAPH

2 OR...?

3

4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE HAVE TRIED THAT. WE HAVE SOME ON CONTRACT

5 BUT THIS WOULD BE ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SHERIFFS FULL-TIME.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: DO THEY HAVE TO BE REALLY DEPUTIES TO DO
- 8 POLYGRAPH? I THOUGHT THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS VERY
- 9 TECHNICAL.

10

- 11 VICTOR RAMPULLA: WE'VE HAVE DEPUTY SHERIFFS DO THAT. WE'VE
- 12 ALSO HAD INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE WORKED FOR PRIVATE COMPANIES ON
- 13 CONTRACT IN THE PAST. WE'VE EXPLORED THAT. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT
- 14 TO GET THE CONTRACTS BECAUSE SO MANY AGENCIES AND OTHER ONES
- 15 ARE USING POLYGRAPHS IN BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS,
- 16 SO WE FELT THAT IT WAS APPROPRIATE THAT, IF WE COULD HAVE A
- 17 SMALL INCREASE IN OUR POLYGRAPH STAFF, THAT WOULD REALLY
- 18 ENHANCE US.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: WHAT ABOUT FROM UNIVERSITIES? DO YOU RECRUIT
- 21 UNIVERSITY PEOPLE TO DO THE POLYGRAPH WORK?

22

- 23 VICTOR RAMPULLA: I HAVE TO GET BACK ON THAT, SUPERVISOR. I
- 24 DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER TO THAT.

1

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

SUP. KNABE: I THINK WE SHOULD HOLD OFF.



2 SUP. MOLINA: DAVID, WOULD YOU AGREE? 3 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK WE NEED TO CONTINUE THIS TO SEPTEMBER AND, IF WE'RE READY EARLIER THAN THAT, WE'LL BE BACK EARLIER. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU CAN ALWAYS BRING IT BACK EARLIER. 9 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH, I WOULDN'T MIND BUT I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE 10 11 THE FULL REPORT AND A BETTER UNDERSTANDING. 12 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. I AGREE. 14 15 SUP. KNABE: OTHERWISE, WE'RE JUST GETTING NICKELED AND DIMED 16 TO DEATH.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: SO LET'S GET TO THE REAL 25 ISSUE.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHICH IS...?

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: UNINCORPORATED PATROL AND...

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OH, THERE IS A RESPONSE IN THERE. IT SAYS

25 YOU...

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. MOLINA: OH, YEAH, LET ME READ THE RESPONSE. 2 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT SAYS YOU CAN'T DO IT. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: ...WHICH IS-- YEAH, IT SAYS WE DON'T WANT TO DO 6 7 IT. 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, IT SAYS YOU CAN'T DO IT. 9 10 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NO, NO. IT DIDN'T SAY YOU CAN'T DO IT. IT 11 JUST SAYS WE DON'T WANT TO DO IT AND SO I REALLY DO THINK 12 THAT, AGAIN, THIS IS AN ISSUE OF BASELINE IMPORTANCE TO ALL OF 13 US. WE'VE BEEN ALL OVER THE PLACE, AND I KNOW MR. FORTNER'S 14 REQUEST IS SAYING YOU CAN'T SUE YOURSELF. I DON'T PLAN ON 15 16 SUING YOU. I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SUPPOSED TO GET AND MY RESIDENTS IN MY AREA WANT TO BE TREATED LIKE CITIES, 17 18 YOU KNOW, AND GET ASSURANCES THAT THEY'RE GETTING THE PATROLS 19 THAT THEY SHOULD BE GETTING. SO, SINCE YOU WOULDN'T ANSWER THE OUESTION, I HAVE PUT TOGETHER A MOTION, IT'S CO-AUTHORED BY 20 21 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, AND THIS IS THE AMENDMENT TO ITEM 22 NUMBER 25. ON APRIL 18TH, 2006, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 23 INSTRUCTED THE C.A.O. AND THE SHERIFF DEVELOP LANGUAGE FOR A MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND 24

THE SHERIFF TO SPECIFY HOW PUBLIC SAFETY PERSONNEL WILL BE



- 1 ALLOCATED TO THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR
- 2 RESIDENTS OF EACH OF THE 22 UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES TO BE
- 3 ASSURED THAT AN ADEQUATE LEVEL OF PATROL AND OTHER LAW
- 4 ENFORCEMENT SERVICES ARE CONSISTENTLY PROVIDED AND PERSONNEL
- 5 ARE NOT SHIFTED AWAY TO MEET OTHER SHERIFF RESPONSIBILITIES.
- 6 IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE COMPLETION OF THE FINAL MEMORANDUM OF
- 7 AGREEMENT AND TO INCREASE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THESE
- 8 UNINCORPORATED RESIDENTS, WE HAVE PROVIDED A DRAFT MEMORANDUM
- 9 OF UNDERSTANDING TO SERVE AS A BLUEPRINT. THAT'S JUST TO SAVE
- 10 YOU TIME, MR. FORTNER. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SHOULD REQUEST
- 11 THAT THE SHERIFF IMMEDIATELY DEVELOP THE DEPLOYMENT OF
- 12 PERSONNEL FORMS FOR EACH OF THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES,
- 13 SPECIFYING THE NUMBER OF DEPUTIES, PATROL CARS, SUPERVISORY
- 14 SUPPORT AND OTHER RESOURCES THAT ARE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THE
- 15 SCHEDULED MINUTES ALLOTTED TO EACH UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY IN
- 16 THE JUNE 1ST, 2006 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 17 PATROL AREA STATISTICAL SUMMARY. THESE DEPLOYMENT AND
- 18 PERSONNEL FORMS, ALONG WITH THE FINAL M.O.A. DRAFTED BY COUNTY
- 19 COUNSEL, SHOULD BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD FOR FINAL ADOPTION
- 20 ON JULY 5TH, 2006, TO GOVERN THE LEVEL OF SHERIFF'S SERVICES
- 21 FOR EACH OF THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES FOR FISCAL YEAR
- 22 2006/2007. THE SHERIFF THEN SHOULD BE REQUESTED TO PERFORM
- 23 LEVEL ONE AND LEVEL TWO STUDIES TO DETERMINE THE LAW
- 24 ENFORCEMENT NEEDS OF EACH UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY AND DEVELOP
- 25 A NEW DEPLOYMENT OF PERSONNEL FORMS BASED UPON THAT NEED AND



- 1 THE AVAILABLE RESOURCES FOR THE 2007/2008 FISCAL YEARS. WE
- 2 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INSTRUCT COUNTY
- 3 COUNSEL TO PRESENT FOR ADOPTION ON JULY 5TH A FINAL MEMORANDUM
- 4 OF AGREEMENT, BASED UPON THE ATTACHED BLUEPRINT, BETWEEN THE
- 5 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE SHERIFF TO GOVERN THE LEVEL OF
- 6 SHERIFF'S SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED IN EACH OF THE
- 7 UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES. THE SHERIFF SHOULD BE REQUESTED TO
- 8 PRESENT A SPECIFIC DEPLOYMENT OF PERSONNEL FORUM AT A LEVEL OF
- 9 SERVICE NECESSARY TO MEET THE SCHEDULED MINUTES ALLOTTED FOR
- 10 EACH UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY IN THE JUNE 1ST, 2006 L.A.
- 11 COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OF PATROL AREA STATISTICAL
- 12 SUMMARY. THE MOA AND THE DEPLOYMENT OF PERSONNEL FORUMS
- 13 TOGETHER WILL COMPRISE THE FINAL MOA GOVERNING THE DEPLOYMENT
- 14 LEVELS FOR 2006/2007. WE THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS REQUEST THE SHERIFF TO PERFORM THE PHASE I STUDY
- 16 AND ASSESSMENT OF CURRENT AND FUTURE PATROLS NEEDS, TAKING
- 17 INTO ACCOUNT THE CURRENT POPULATION AND PART ONE, PART TWO
- 18 CRIMES AND THE PHASE II STUDY, WHICH DESIGNS THE DEPLOYMENT
- 19 PLAN FOR EACH UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY TO FILL THAT PATROL
- 20 NEED IDENTIFIED IN PHASE I OF EACH UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY TO
- 21 DETERMINE THE STAFFING NEEDS AND TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD OF
- 22 SUPERVISORS WITH AN AMENDED DEPLOYMENT OF PERSONNEL FORMS FOR
- 23 THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES AND THIS WILL BE FOR THE FUTURE
- 24 OF 2007/2008. SO WE'LL HAVE AN ACTUAL...

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECOND. 1 2 3 VICTOR RAMPULLA: MAY I MAKE A COMMENT ON THAT FOR THE SHERIFF? 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SURE. 6 7 SUP. MOLINA: AND YOU ALL RECEIVED A COPY OF THE DRAFT? 8 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT. 10 11 VICTOR RAMPULLA: ASSISTANT SHERIFF TANAKA WAS CALLED AWAY ON SOME... 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: YOU CAN JUST FILL IN THE BLANKS. IT'S SO EASY. 14 15 16 VICTOR RAMPULLA: ON BEHALF OF THE SHERIFF... 17 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T BELIEVE MR. HERTZBERG IS A ATTORNEY, 19 THOUGH, SO I THINK THERE'S A... 20 RAY FORTNER, COUNSEL: I NOTICED I HAVE A NEW DEPUTY, THOUGH, 21 22 SUPERVISOR. 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO I THINK THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION... 24



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: YES, YOU HAVE A NEW DEPUTY. WE WILL CUT AND PAST
- 2 THIS FOR HIM.

3

4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: HAVING HIM WORKING FOR ME IS GOING TO BE...

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: HE ATTACHED HIMSELF TO IT.

7

8 VICTOR RAMPULLA: ...A LOT DIFFERENT THAN REPORTING TO HIM.

9

- 10 VICTOR RAMPULLA: ASSISTANT SHERIFF TANAKA WAS CALLED AWAY SO
- 11 HE ASKED ME TO DISCUSS THIS. WE JUST SAW THIS MOTION THIS
- 12 MORNING FOR THE FIRST TIME AND THE SHERIFF HAS NOT BEEN TOLD
- 13 ABOUT IT AND DISCUSSED WITH HIM. MR. TANAKA IS MEETING WITH
- 14 THE SHERIFF THIS AFTERNOON, SO WE'RE REQUESTING IF THIS MOTION
- 15 COULD BE CONTINUED FOR A WEEK UNTIL THE SHERIFF GETS FULLY
- 16 ENGAGED WITH IT. HE'S COMING BACK ON THE 5TH FOR A CLOSED
- 17 SESSION, SO WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A CHANCE FOR THE SHERIFF TO
- 18 DIGEST THE MOTION, HAVE SOME DISCUSSIONS BEFORE IT WAS PASSED
- 19 SO WE ASK FOR THAT.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, WAIT A MINUTE. HE DOES KNOW ABOUT THIS
- 22 BECAUSE WE MADE A MOTION AND HE JUST SAID, "NO, I DON'T WISH
- 23 TO DO IT."



- 1 VICTOR RAMPULLA: BUT IT WAS JUST TO HAVE THIS PARTICULAR
- 2 MOTION AND THIS-- WHERE THE BOARD WAS GOING WITH THIS...

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND, BECAUSE HE'S NOT GOING TO DO IT.
- 5 I'VE BEEN BEGGING FOR 10 YEARS.

6

- 7 VICTOR RAMPULLA: SO THAT WAS THE REQUEST, IF WE CAN CONTINUE
- 8 IT.

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO SEE THIS MOVE FORWARD. I THINK THAT
- 11 THEY CAN COME BACK AT THAT TIME. HE'LL HAVE PLENTY OF TIME.
- 12 THIS IS BASICALLY A DRAFT, A TEMPLATE HOW TO DO IT. I
- 13 UNDERSTAND THAT I CAN'T SUE HIM FOR IT AND I'M NOT GOING TO DO
- 14 THAT BUT I THINK I'D LIKE TO KNOW, I'D LIKE TO BE-- IN
- 15 COMMUNITIES THAT I REPRESENT, AND I THINK MY COLLEAGUES ARE IN
- 16 THE SAME SITUATION, IS THAT THEY WANT PATROL LIKE EVERYONE
- 17 ELSE DOES. THEY WANT ASSURANCES LIKE EVERYONE ELSE DOES. I
- 18 MEAN, I KNOW THAT HOLLYWOOD HAS THEIR OWN PATROL CARS THAT
- 19 SAYS HOLLYWOOD, YOU KNOW, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WHATEVER--
- 20 WHOEVER THEY CONTRACT WITH. PICO RIVERA HAS IT. I SEE IT ON A
- 21 REGULAR BASIS. I'D LIKE EAST L.A. TO HAVE THAT, I'D LIKE
- 22 FLORENCE-FIRESTONE TO HAVE IT. I'D LIKE TO HAVE THE VALINDA
- 23 AREA. AGAIN, WE'VE BEEN ASKING AND ASKING AND ASKING AND, BY
- 24 GIVING US A RESPONSE OF "WE SAW IT AND WE DON'T WANT TO DO
- 25 IT", IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH FOR ME.



1

- 2 VICTOR RAMPULLA: AND JUST FOR THE RECORD, IT MAY VERY WELL,
- 3 THIS DOES OCCUR BUT IT WAS JUST A MATTER FOR THE SHERIFF TO
- 4 HAVE A CHANCE TO HAVE A BRIEFING THIS AFTERNOON AND HAVE MR.
- 5 TANAKA REPORT.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: THEN HE COULD BE READY ON THE 5TH TO BRING IT IN.
- 8 THIS IS ONLY MOVING IT FORWARD.

9

- 10 SPEAKER: BECAUSE, AS THE SHERIFF WAS ADDRESSED, I THINK THERE
- 11 WAS A NUMBER OF CONSIDERATIONS ON THIS AND...

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: WELL, HE CAN ASK FOR CHANGES. I MEAN, YOU KNOW, AT
- 14 LEAST THIS IS A WORKING DRAFT BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE HAVEN'T GOT
- 15 ANYTHING BACK.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: DOES HE HAVE A FORMULA FOR UNINCORPORATED JUST
- 18 LIKE HE DOES FOR THE CONTRACTS?

19

20 SUP. KNABE: NUH-UH.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: NOT FOR THE CONTRACTS, NO. HE HAS A DEPLOYMENT
- 23 PLAN.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: YEAH, HE HAS A DEPLOYMENT PLAN BUT HE ALSO HAS THE
- 2 PROPOSED NUMBER OF SHERIFFS PER AREA.

3

4 VICTOR RAMPULLA: FOR THE EQUITY PROGRAM...

5

6 SUP. BURKE: NO, NOT EQUITY. WHEN HE ENTERS INTO A CONTRACT...

7

8 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BUT THEY PAY FOR THAT.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: I KNOW THEY PAY FOR IT BUT WHAT THEY PAY FOR IS
- 11 BASED UPON SOME ANALYSIS THAT HE MAKES OF THE AREA, THE NUMBER
- 12 OF PEOPLE, THE NUMBER OF CRIMES IN THE AREA...

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: NOT THE UNINCORPORATED, THEY DON'T.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: NO, I DIDN'T SAY UNINCORPORATED. FOR THE
- 17 CONTRACTS.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: YES, THEY DO.

- 21 SUP. BURKE: AND SO I THINK THAT THAT'S THE KIND OF ANALYSIS WE
- 22 NEED ON THE UNINCORPORATED, WHICH, IF THEY BECAME A CONTRACT,
- 23 WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL? FOR INSTANCE, RECENTLY YOU SAID
- 24 COMPTON SHOULD HAVE HAD, WAS IT, 92 MORE THAN THEY HAD OR
- 25 SOMETHING.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
1
    VICTOR RAMPULLA: VERY GOOD. SO...
2
3
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION...
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON. JANSSEN WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING
6
7
    BEFORE.
8
    SUP. MOLINA: HE'S NOT ON THE RIGHT ITEM STILL.
10
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: I'M STILL ON 26. [ LAUGHTER ]
11
12
13
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY, ONE WEEK IS AN
    UNREALISTIC TIMEFRAME BUT IT DOES GET THE DISCUSSION STARTED
14
    BY MOVING ITS DIRECTION AND THE IDEA OF PUTTING A NAME ON THE
15
16
    CAR, I'VE NEVER HEARD THAT BEFORE. THAT'S A GREAT IDEA.
17
18
    SUP. MOLINA: THEY DO IT NOW, EXCEPT IN EAST L.A., WE STILL
19
    CAN'T FIND THE CAR. I THINK THEY SHOULD DRIVE IT IN FRONT OF
    MY HOUSE A LOT SO I CAN SEE IT.
20
21
22
    VICTOR RAMPULLA: THEY'RE THERE, SUPERVISOR.
23
    SUP. MOLINA: THEY TELL ME IT'S THERE.
24
```

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. KNABE: CITIES THAT CONTRACT DO THAT, I MEAN, WHAT WAS
- 2 HAPPENING ORIGINALLY, I MEAN, THAT'S WHY THEY WENT FROM THE
- 3 REGIONALIZATION OF PATROL, I THINK CITY OF CERRITOS WAS ONE OF
- 4 THE FIRST TO PUT CERRITOS ON THE CAR BECAUSE WHAT WAS
- 5 HAPPENING WASN'T ENOUGH ACTIVITY THERE AND THE REGIONAL CARS
- 6 WERE DOWN IN OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTY.

8 SUP. MOLINA: AND MINE IS MAGNETIC. THEY TAKE IT OFF. [

9 LAUGHTER]

7

10

12

14

16

19

22

24

11 SUP. KNABE: AND CERRITOS WASN'T GETTING...

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. THAT WAS EITHER 25 OR 26.

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT ALSO INCLUDED YAROSLAVSKY'S

18 AMENDMENT. SECONDED, THE 3.5...

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. NO, THAT'S GOING TO GO OVER TO

21 SEPTEMBER.

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OR SOONER.

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 26, WAS THERE...

C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.

24

25



1 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 26 NOW IS A RECEIVE AND FILE. I THINK WE JUST 3 DID... 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THE MOTION I HAD REQUESTED WAS THAT THE C.A.O. AND THE SHERIFF PROVIDE A PLAN ON EXPEDITING 6 7 THE INCREASE OF UNINCORPORATED PATROLS, SO I'D LIKE THAT 8 REPORT TO COME BACK. 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT WILL, ALONG WITH THE M.O.U. 10 11 **SUP. KNABE:** 26-A, ISN'T IT? [LAUGHTER] 12 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ATTACH IT TO EITHER ONE. 14 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOVE THAT. SECONDED BY MOLINA, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THAT REPORT COMING BACK. 17 18 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT WAS UNDER 26. ITEM 27 IS A REPORT FROM 20 THE SHERIFF ON EARLY RELEASE AND IT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE. 21 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 27? 23

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: NOW, ON THIS ISSUE, THE SHERIFF IS COMING
- 5 TOMORROW, RIGHT?

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. THE 5TH. I DON'T THINK HE'S COMING...

8

9 SPEAKER: HE'S COMING IN ON THE 5TH FOR THE CLOSED SESSION.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S ON THE 5TH NOW. WE CHANGED IT TO THE 5TH,
- 12 NEXT WEEK.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: OH, OKAY. THAT'S WHEN HE'S GOING TO ADDRESS THE
- 15 WHOLE ISSUE...

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT IS CORRECT.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BECAUSE THE REPORT SAYS HE'S MOVING FROM 10%
- 20 TO 35% OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 28.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY. 28. THIS SHOULD BE CONTINUED TO JULY THE
- 2 11TH. THIS IS A REPORT ON THE CANCELLATION OF THE STATE
- 3 CONTRACT...

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: JULY 11TH BY KNABE, SECONDED, WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION...

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: I HAD A MOTION FOR IT BUT THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I
- 9 WANT.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU HAD A MOTION?

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: GOOD. THAT WORKED OUT WELL, THEN.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION TO JULY 11TH, SO
- 16 ORDERED. 29.

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 29 SIMILARLY SHOULD GO TO THE JULY 11TH. IT'S
- 19 A REPORT ON THE REIMBURSEMENT RATE FOR THE CONTRACT

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: FOR JULY 11, SO MOVED, SECONDED BY
- 22 BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 30 AND 31 SHOULD GO TO JULY THE 11TH.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: JULY THE 11TH. SO MOVED BY
- 2 YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 32, YOU DID IN ITEM NUMBER 6, SO THAT ONE
- 5 SHOULD BE RECEIVE AND FILE.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 8 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 33, WE ARE WITHDRAWING. ITEM 33.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. RECEIVE AND FILE.

13

14 SUP. KNABE: 34, I'VE REFERRED BACK TO MY OFFICE.

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 34, REFERRED BACK.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: REFERRED BACK BY KNABE, SECONDED,
- 19 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE QUESTION TO ASK
- 22 BEFORE WE GET TO THE CLOSING STUFF HERE, IF THAT'S WHERE WE
- 23 ARE. ON THE JAILS, I UNDERSTAND THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE IS
- 24 HAVING, AS WE SPEAK, A CONFERENCE CALL WITH LOCAL-- I DON'T
- 25 KNOW WITH WHOM BUT STATEWIDE ABOUT HIS PLAN FOR THE CORRECTION



- 1 SYSTEM STATEWIDE, INCLUDING OVERCROWDING, ET CETERA, ET
- 2 CETERA. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT'S LEADING TO ANY FINANCING OR
- 3 ANYTHING LIKE THAT BUT I WOULD ASK THAT, IN ADVANCE OF THE
- 4 JULY-- IS IT 11TH THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE BIG MEETING?
- 5 THAT WE HAVE YOUR STAFF GET FAMILIAR WITH WHAT THEY'RE TALKING
- 6 ABOUT BECAUSE IT MAY HAVE AN IMPACT ON YOUR FINANCING PLAN,
- 7 TOO.

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST SAW IT TODAY AND MY STAFF IS ON THE
- 12 CALL BUT YOUR STAFF...

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'LL REPORT BACK. ITEM NUMBER 35 IS APPROVE
- 15 THE REVISED FIGURES OF THE BUDGET AND DIRECT THE AUDITOR TO
- 16 PREPARE A FINAL RESOLUTION.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 19 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND HOPEFULLY THAT WON'T TAKE VERY LONG. ITEM
- 22 36 IS A ROUTINE APPROVAL OF MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS TO ALLOW
- 23 CONTRACTS WITH THE ARTS COMMISSION, MUSIC CENTER, ALLOW THE
- 24 MOVEMENT OF MONEY BETWEEN OBJECTS UP TO 250,000.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE.

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S ROUTINE.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 6 ORDERED. DAVID, A QUESTION. THE SHERIFF IS GOING TO BE HERE ON
- 7 THE 5TH. WE ALSO HAVE ITEMS THAT PERTAIN TO THE SHERIFF ON THE
- 8 11TH. SHOULD WE DO THE TWO TOGETHER, OR SHOULD WE HAVE THE
- 9 SHERIFF COME BOTH TIMES OR ONE TIME?

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. I THINK THE ITEMS ON HIS BUDGET ARE MUCH
- 12 MORE SPECIFIC.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MORE COMPLEX?

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MUCH MORE SPECIFIC. UNRELATED TO THE...

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SO ITEM 37.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 37, WE NEED A FINAL FIGURE FROM THE
- 21 AUDITOR.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. DO WE HAVE IT?

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND THERE HE IS COMING DOWN.



1

- 2 J. TYLER MCCAULEY: MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND BOARD MEMBERS, TYLER
- 3 MCCAULEY, AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. WE HAVE INCORPORATED ALL THE
- 4 CHANGES INTO THE PROPOSED BUDGET AND ARE PREPARED TO PRODUCE
- 5 THE FINAL BUDGET RESOLUTION.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SO MOVED. SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 8 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE DONE.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND WE WANT TO FIRST-- I WANT TO THANK
- 13 MY STAFF BUT I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE C.A.O.'S STAFF FOR THEIR
- 14 JOB AND ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS FOR THEIR COOPERATION AND ALL
- 15 OF MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD WHO-- STAFFS HAVE WORKED VERY
- 16 HARD TOGETHER TO DEVELOP-- CONSTRUCT A BUDGET FOR THE COMING
- 17 FISCAL YEAR.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAYOR AND
- 20 BOARD MEMBERS.

21

- 22 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THE NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD
- 23 TOMORROW, JUNE 27TH, 2006, AT 9:30 A.M.

24

1

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



2	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
3	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
4	California, do hereby certify:
5	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
6	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 26, 2006,
7	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
8	direction and supervision;
9	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
10	archived in the office of the reporter and which
11	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
12	Supervisors as certified by me.
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
14	related to any party to the said action; nor
15	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
17	28th day of June 2006 for the County records to be used only
18	for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
19	as on file of the office of the reporter.
20	
21	JENNIFER A. HINES
22	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
23	
24	